1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	SAN JOSE DIVISION
5	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CR-18-00258-EJD
7	PLAINTIFF, ) ) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
8	VS. ) MARCH 10, 2022 RAMESH SUNNY BALWANI, ) VOLUME 2
10	DEFENDANT. ) ) PAGES 258 - 497
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	APPEARANCES:
14	
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: JOHN C. BOSTIC
16	JEFFREY B. SCHENK 150 ALMADEN BOULEVARD, SUITE 900 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113
17	
18	BY: ROBERT S. LEACH  KELLY VOLKAR
19	1301 CLAY STREET, SUITE 340S OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612
20	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
21	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS:
22	IRENE L. RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
23	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595
24	
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER

1		
	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
2		
3	FOR DEFENDANT BALWANI:	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP BY: SHAWN ESTRADA
4 5		SACHI SCHURICHT THE ORRICK BUILDING 405 HOWARD STREET
		SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105
6		BY: JEFFREY COOPERSMITH
7		AMANDA MCDOWELL
8		701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 5600 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
9		BY: STEPHEN CAZARES
10		77 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 3200 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
11		BY: AMY WALSH 51 W 52ND STREET
12		NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019
13	ALCO DDECEME.	OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY
14	ALSO PRESENT:	BY: MADDI WACHS, PARALEGAL SARA SLATTERY, PARALEGAL
15		UNITED STATES POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE
16		BY: CHRISTOPHER MCCOLLOW
17		FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION BY: MARIO C. SCUSSEL
18		UNITED STATES FOOD & DRUG
19		ADMINISTRATION
20		BY: GEORGE SCAVDIS
21		JURY CONSULTANT BY: DAVID PERROTT
22		
23		
24		
25		

	1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA	MARCH 10, 2022
	2	PROCEEDINO	G S
	3	(COURT CONVENED AT 9:18 A.M.)	
09:18AM	4	(PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL OUT AT 9:1	8 A.M.)
09:18AM	5	THE COURT: LET'S GO ON THE R	ECORD IN THE BALWANI
09:18AM	6	MATTER. ALL COUNSEL ARE PRESENT, AND M	R. BALWANI IS PRESENT.
09:19AM	7	WE'RE OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF OU	JR PROSPECTIVE JURORS.
09:19AM	8	WE ARE GOING TO CONTINUE WITH THE VOIR I	DIRE PROCESS WITH OUR
09:19AM	9	SECOND PANEL THIS MORNING.	
09:19AM	10	BEFORE WE DO THAT, THOUGH, IT WAS I	BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION
09:19AM	11	FROM OUR JURY COMMISSIONER THAT ONE JURG	OR EXPRESSED MEDICAL
09:19AM	12	ISSUES IN REGARDS TO HIS PERSONAL COMFOR	RT LEVEL.
09:19AM	13	APPARENTLY THIS JUROR EXPRESSED TO	THE JURY COMMISSIONER
09:19AM	14	THE NEED TO STAND DURING PROCEEDINGS, AN	ND I'M JUST INFORMED
09:19AM	15	THAT THIS WOULD REQUIRE HIM TO STAND FOR	R A PERIOD OF TIME EVERY
09:19AM	16	30 MINUTES, I BELIEVE, WAS THE REQUEST.	
09:19AM	17	SO I HAVE CALLED UP BEFORE WE BI	RING THE PANEL IN, I'M
09:19AM	18	GOING TO CALL THIS JUROR UP, AND WE CAN	HAVE SOME QUESTIONING.
09:19AM	19	IT'S JUROR NUMBER 153.	
09:20AM	20	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)	
09:20AM	21	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR 153 PRESENT.)	
09:20AM	22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK	YOU.
09:21AM	23	WE ARE ALL PRESENT. WE'RE OUTSIDE	OF THE PRESENCE OF THE
09:21AM	24	JURY PANEL, BUT WE HAVE INVITED JUROR N	JMBER 153.
09:21AM	25	GOOD MORNING, SIR. I'M GOING TO II	DENTIFY YOU I MEAN NO

DISRESPECT, BUT I'M GOING TO IDENTIFY YOU BY YOUR JUROR NUMBER, 1 09:21AM PLEASE, FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE. I HOPE YOU WON'T TAKE OFFENSE 2 09:21AM WITH THAT. 3 09:21AM 09:21AM 4 I'VE ASKED YOU TO COME UP, SIR, BECAUSE I HAVE YOUR JURY QUESTIONNAIRE HERE. QUESTION 2, YOU'VE TOLD US SOMETHING ABOUT 09:21AM 09:21AM 6 A MEDICAL CONDITION. 09:21AM 7 I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU ALSO MADE SOME COMMENTS OR SHARED SOME INFORMATION WITH OUR JURY COMMISSIONER DOWNSTAIRS, AND I 09:21AM 8 RECEIVED THAT INFORMATION, SOMETHING ABOUT YOUR NEED TO STAND. 09:21AM 9 09:21AM 10 I TOLD THESE LAWYERS THAT I WOULD ASK YOU TO COME UP OUT 09:21AM 11 OF THE PRESENCE OF OTHERS SO YOU COULD SHARE WITH US ANY 09:21AM 12 INFORMATION THAT YOU FEEL COMFORTABLE DOING SO. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 09:21AM 13 I SUFFER FROM RESTLESS LEG SYNDROME, WHICH I INHERITED 09:21AM 14 09:22AM 15 FROM MY FATHER, AND MY DAUGHTER -- I SUFFER FROM RESTLESS LEG SYNDROME. IT'S A SEIZURE DISORDER RELATED TO PARKINSON'S 09:22AM 16 09:22AM 17 DISEASE. AND I TAKE A MEDICATION CALLED PRAMIPEXOLE, AND 09:22AM 18 CURRENTLY I'M TAKING IT THREE TIMES A DAY: MORNING, MIDDAY, 09:22AM 19 AND EVENING. AND IT'S PRETTY SUCCESSFUL. 09:22AM 20 BUT WHAT I HAVE FOUND DURING THE DAY IS THAT AS I GET 09:22AM 21 TIRED, I START TO GET -- THE LEG SEIZURES BEGIN. THE WAY I'VE DEALT WITH IT IN THE PAST -- I HAVE A CAREER 09:22AM 22 09:22AM 23 AS A PROFESSOR, AND BASICALLY IF I CAN MOVE AROUND, IF I CAN 09:22AM 24 WALK AROUND, I CAN KEEP IT AT BAY, BASICALLY. 09:22AM 25 SO AS A PROFESSOR, I WAS ABLE TO WALK UP AND DOWN IN THE

09:22AM	1	AUDITORIUM. AND IN MEETINGS I WOULDN'T SIT DOWN, I WOULD STAND
09:22AM	2	BEHIND THE CHAIR.
09:22AM	3	AND THEN IF I FELT A LEG SEIZURE COMING ON, MY OPTIONS ARE
09:23AM	4	REALLY TO STOMP MY FEET ON THE GROUND, OR JUST TO BE ABLE TO
09:23AM	5	MOVE AROUND.
09:23AM	6	AND I WOULD WALK BASICALLY AROUND THE TABLE AND DO THE
09:23AM	7	SAME THING WHEN I MET WITH STUDENTS AND SO ON.
09:23AM	8	AND I FOUND WITH THE FLEXIBILITY OF THE OF BEING A
09:23AM	9	PROFESSOR, I COULD HANDLE IT. AND, IN FACT, IN MANY WAYS IT
09:23AM	10	WAS USEFUL BECAUSE IT KEPT ME AWAKE.
09:23AM	11	BUT MY CONCERN IN EXPRESSING IT HERE WAS THAT I NEVER
09:23AM	12	ACTUALLY SERVED ON A JURY BEFORE, ALTHOUGH I'VE BEEN CALLED.
09:23AM	13	AND I WAS CONCERNED THAT IF I WAS SEATED FOR LONGER THAN AN
09:23AM	14	HOUR, I WOULD START TO HAVE LEG SEIZURES.
09:23AM	15	IN FACT, IT HAPPENED THE OTHER DAY WHEN I WAS HERE IN THE
09:23AM	16	JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM AND I STAYED SEATED. I DIDN'T KNOW TO WHAT
09:23AM	17	EXTENT THAT WOULD DISRUPT YOUR PROCEEDINGS AND WHETHER I WOULD
09:23AM	18	EVEN BE ABLE TO STAND UP.
09:23AM	19	IF I'M PINNED IN THE SEAT, IT'S JUST UNCONTROLLABLE, I'LL
09:24AM	20	HAVE TO START BANGING MY LEG ON THE GROUND.
09:24AM	21	THE COURT: SURE.
09:24AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND THAT WAS MY PRINCIPAL
09:24AM	23	CONCERN. IS THAT SUFFICIENT?
09:24AM	24	THE COURT: NO, NO, THANK YOU FOR YOUR CANDOR AND
09:24AM	25	FOR SHARING THAT WITH US. I APPRECIATE THAT.

09:24AM	1	I ALSO GLEANED FROM YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE THAT YOU HAVE
09:24AM	2	SOME YOU'RE CARING FOR YOUR WIFE.
09:24AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
09:24AM	4	THE COURT: AND SHE HAS A, IT LOOKS LIKE, A SERIOUS
09:24AM	5	MEDICAL CONDITION THAT REQUIRES SOME ATTENTION AS WELL.
09:24AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. ACTUALLY
09:24AM	7	THE COURT: AND YOU DON'T HAVE TO TELL US WHAT THAT
09:24AM	8	IS. I DON'T NEED TO KNOW THAT.
09:24AM	9	WE KNOW THAT. IT IS IN YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE. BUT WHATEVER
09:24AM	10	YOU'RE COMFORTABLE SAYING.
09:24AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY CONCERN IS COMING HERE FROM
09:24AM	12	SANTA CRUZ, I'M BRINGING OUR ONLY CAR WITH ME, AND SO SHE'S
09:24AM	13	PINNED BACK IN SANTA CRUZ.
09:24AM	14	SHE'S DOING WELL. SHE HAS THREE MONTHLY MEETINGS WITH AN
09:24AM	15	ONCOLOGIST AND A SKIN DOCTOR AND HAS BLOOD TESTS, AND THOSE
09:25AM	16	KINDS OF THINGS.
09:25AM	17	THE COURT: SURE.
09:25AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BUT SHE HAS TO GET TO THOSE
09:25AM	19	APPOINTMENTS. SHE'S ABOUT 80 PERCENT DEAF IN BOTH EARS, EVEN
09:25AM	20	WITH HEARING AIDS, AND I SO GO WITH HER IN ORDER TO LISTEN.
09:25AM	21	BUT THE MAIN CONCERN WITH HER ONCOLOGIST IS THAT HE
09:25AM	22	DOESN'T WANT HER MIXING WITH THE GENERAL PUBLIC BECAUSE HE
09:25AM	23	DOESN'T WANT HER TO GET COVID-19.
09:25AM	24	SHE'S DOING WELL IN TERMS OF HER BATTLING HER DISEASE,
09:25AM	25	MELANOMA, BUT SO THAT WAS ANOTHER CONCERN FOR ME, THAT I WAS

09:25AM	1	BRINGING THE ONLY FORM OF PUBLIC TRANSPORT WITH ME HERE.
09:25AM	2	THE COURT: SURE.
09:25AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SHE WAS PINNED THERE.
09:25AM	4	NONE OF THESE THINGS ARE OVERWHELMING.
09:25AM	5	THE COURT: WELL, THEY'RE, THEY'RE OF GREAT
09:25AM	6	CONSIDERATION TO YOU AND YOUR FAMILY, SO I APPRECIATE YOU
09:25AM	7	SHARING THESE WITH US AT THIS TIME.
09:25AM	8	MAY I ASK YOU ONE ADDITIONAL QUESTION? WHAT DID YOU
09:26AM	9	TEACH?
09:26AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I TAUGHT APPLIED STATISTICS
09:26AM	11	THE COURT: YES.
09:26AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: TO STUDENTS IN THE SOCIAL
09:26AM	13	SCIENCES, BIOSTATISTICS, EDUCATION, PSYCHOLOGY, SOCIOLOGY. I
09:26AM	14	DREW STUDENTS FROM ALL ACROSS HARVARD AND M.I.T. TO MY CLASSES.
09:26AM	15	THE COURT: DID YOU TEACH AT OXFORD? I THINK YOU
09:26AM	16	MATRICULATED FROM OXFORD.
09:26AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. I HAVE A DEGREE IN QUANTUM
09:26AM	18	MECHANICS FROM OXFORD, BUT MY PH.D. IS FROM STANFORD IN
09:26AM	19	STATISTICS.
09:26AM	20	THE COURT: I SEE. I SEE. WELL, THANK YOU FOR
09:26AM	21	THAT.
09:26AM	22	IT SOUNDS YOU'VE LEARNED FROM THE QUESTIONNAIRE THAT
09:26AM	23	THIS TRIAL IS ABOUT 13 WEEKS
09:26AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
09:26AM	25	THE COURT: IN DURATION. WE PROBABLY WOULD GO AT

09:26AM	1	LEAST THREE DAYS A WEEK TO START. IT MAY EXTEND. AND OUR
09:26AM	2	STARTING TIME IS TYPICALLY 9:00 A.M., I HOPE TO END AT
09:26AM	3	3:00 P.M., WITH A COUPLE OF BREAKS IN BETWEEN.
09:26AM	4	AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR CONDITION.
09:27AM	5	IT SOUNDS LIKE, NOTWITHSTANDING THE COMFORT THAT THESE CHAIRS
09:27AM	6	BRING, IT MIGHT NONETHELESS REQUIRE YOU TO IT SOUNDS
09:27AM	7	REALISTIC THAT SOMETHING IS GOING TO HAPPEN THAT WOULD CAUSE
09:27AM	8	YOU TO HAVE TO TAKE CARE AND TAKE SOME MEASURES TO RESOLVE.
09:27AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. I BROUGHT MY MEDICATION
09:27AM	10	WITH ME. I WOULD HAVE TO TAKE SOME MEDICATION AROUND ABOUT
09:27AM	11	NOON OR 1:00.
09:27AM	12	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY.
09:27AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BUT I I DON'T KNOW TO WHAT
09:27AM	14	I WOULD HAVE TO STAND UP AND MOVE AROUND.
09:27AM	15	THE COURT: RIGHT.
09:27AM	16	YOU KNOW, I'M GOING TO ASK THESE LAWYERS IF THEY HAVE ANY
09:27AM	17	QUESTIONS FOR YOU.
09:27AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M SORRY.
09:27AM	19	THE COURT: NO, NOT AT ALL. PLEASE.
09:27AM	20	MR. SCHENK?
09:27AM	21	MR. SCHENK: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
09:27AM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH: NOTHING FURTHER.
09:27AM	23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
09:27AM	24	JUROR 153. I APPRECIATE YOU COMING UP AND SHARING THIS
09:27AM	25	INFORMATION WITH US.

09:27AM	1	I'LL ASK YOU TO OUR COURTROOM DEPUTY WILL RETRIEVE THE
09:27AM	2	MIKE FROM YOU, AND THEN IF YOU COULD JUST GO DOWNSTAIRS AND
09:27AM	3	CHECK IN WITH THE JURY COMMISSIONER. THANK YOU SO MUCH. IT'S
09:28AM	4	A PLEASURE MEETING YOU.
09:28AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, NO, THE JURY COMMISSIONER,
09:28AM	6	IS THAT THE LADY CLARISSA?
09:28AM	7	THE COURT: YES, YES. THANK YOU.
09:28AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I
09:28AM	9	APPRECIATE IT.
09:28AM	10	THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME.
09:28AM	11	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR 153 NOT PRESENT.)
09:28AM	12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT
09:28AM	13	THAT JUROR 153 HAS LEFT THE COURTROOM.
09:28AM	14	MR. SCHENK.
09:28AM	15	MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, IT DOES APPEAR THAT THIS
09:28AM	16	JUROR HAS A HARDSHIP, AND WHILE I DON'T THINK ALL JURIES OR
09:28AM	17	JURY SERVICE WOULD BE A CHALLENGE FOR AN UNDUE HARDSHIP FOR
09:28AM	18	THIS GENTLEMAN, IT CERTAINLY APPEARS THAT A TRIAL OF THIS
09:28AM	19	LENGTH WOULD BE PARTICULARLY DIFFICULT FOR THIS JUROR. SO THIS
09:28AM	20	MIGHT NOT BE THE RIGHT TRIAL FOR THIS JUROR, AND FOR THAT
09:28AM	21	REASON THE GOVERNMENT WOULD BE FINE WITH EXCUSING 153 FOR
09:28AM	22	HARDSHIP.
09:28AM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: WE AGREE, YOUR HONOR.
09:29AM	24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY
09:29AM	25	MUCH.

09:29AM	1	I WILL EXCUSE JUROR 153. HE INDICATED HIS HEALTH
09:29AM	2	CONDITION, WHICH I THINK WOULD NOT ONLY CAUSE DISRUPTION FOR
09:29AM	3	THE TRIAL PERHAPS, BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, WOULD BE SIGNIFICANT
09:29AM	4	DISCOMFORT AND EVEN EMBARRASSMENT FOR HIM, AND I DON'T THINK
09:29AM	5	THAT'S RIGHT.
09:29AM	6	I THINK YOU'RE RIGHT, THIS IS NOT THE RIGHT TRIAL. I
09:29AM	7	THINK HE WOULD BE A TERRIFIC JUROR IN ANOTHER CASE, BUT PERHAPS
09:29AM	8	NOT THIS ONE.
09:29AM	9	SO I'LL EXCUSE HIM.
09:29AM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
09:29AM	11	THE COURT: WE'LL BRING THE BALANCE OF OUR PANEL.
09:29AM	12	COULD YOU LET THE COMMISSIONER KNOW THAT HE CAN GO?
09:29AM	13	THE CLERK: I DID.
09:29AM	14	THE COURT: THANK YOU. WE'LL BRING THE BALANCE OF
09:29AM	15	OUR PANEL UP, AND THEN WE'LL BEGIN VOIR DIRE ON THOSE.
09:29AM	16	I KNOW WE'VE HAD SOME OTHERS THAT WE'VE PASSED TO SEE IF
09:29AM	17	WE'RE GOING TO ASK ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS AND THE TIMING OF THAT.
09:29AM	18	WHAT I THOUGHT WE WOULD DO IS JUST GO FORWARD WITH VOIR
09:29AM	19	DIRE THIS MORNING, SEE HOW FAR WE GET, AND THEN MAYBE THAT WILL
09:30AM	20	INFORM US AS TO SCHEDULING.
09:30AM	21	WOULD THAT BE ALL RIGHT WITH YOU?
09:30AM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
09:30AM	23	MR. SCHENK: YES. THANK YOU.
09:30AM	24	THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT.
09:30AM	25	(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)

09:30AM	1	THE COURT: I'M INFORMED THE PANEL HAS NOT CHECKED
09:30AM	2	IN, SO THAT WILL BE ABOUT 15 MINUTES.
09:30AM	3	THE CLERK: FIFTEEN MINUTES.
09:30AM	4	THE COURT: FAIR ENOUGH. GREAT.
09:30AM	5	(RECESS FROM 9:30 A.M. UNTIL 10:22 A.M.)
10:22AM	6	(PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL IN AT 10:22 A.M.)
10:22AM	7	THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JURORS, IF YOU WOULD REMAIN
10:22AM	8	STANDING AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT HANDS, OUR COURTROOM DEPUTY IS
10:22AM	9	GOING TO ADMINISTER AN OATH.
10:22AM	10	(PROSPECTIVE JURORS WERE GIVEN THE OATH.)
10:22AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JURORS: YES.
10:22AM	12	THE COURT: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED, LADIES AND
10:22AM	13	GENTLEMEN.
10:22AM	14	THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE THIS MORNING. GOOD MORNING TO
10:22AM	15	ALL OF YOU.
10:22AM	16	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, YOU HAVE BEEN SUMMONED BY THIS COURT
10:23AM	17	IN A CRIMINAL CASE ENTITLED UNITED STATES VERSUS
10:23AM	18	RAMESH "SUNNY" BALWANI.
10:23AM	19	IN THIS CASE THE DEFENDANT, RAMESH "SUNNY" BALWANI, IS
10:23AM	20	CHARGED WITH WIRE FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT WIRE FRAUD.
10:23AM	21	THE INDICTMENT ALLEGES THAT MR. BALWANI WAS THE PRESIDENT
10:23AM	22	AND CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER OF THERANOS INCORPORATED, WHICH WAS
10:23AM	23	IN THE BLOOD TESTING BUSINESS.
10:23AM	24	THE INDICTMENT ALLEGES THAT THE DEFENDANT AND
10:23AM	25	ELIZABETH HOLMES, THERANOS'S CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, KNOWINGLY

AND INTENTIONALLY DEVISED A SCHEME OR PLAN TO DEFRAUD INVESTORS 1 10:23AM IN THERANOS AND PAYING CUSTOMERS OF THERANOS. 10:23AM 2 THE CHARGES ARE ONLY ALLEGATIONS AND NOT EVIDENCE. 3 10:23AM 10:23AM 4 THE DEFENDANT HAS ENTERED A PLEA OF NOT GUILTY TO THE CHARGES AND IS PRESUMED TO BE INNOCENT. 10:23AM 5 IT WILL BE THE GOVERNMENT'S BURDEN THROUGHOUT THE TRIAL TO 10:23AM 6 10:24AM 7 PROVE THOSE CHARGES BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. THE INDICTMENT ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS: IN COUNTS ONE AND TWO, 10:24AM 8 THAT MR. BALWANI VIOLATED 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 1349, 10:24AM 9 10:24AM 10 CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT WIRE FRAUD. 10:24AM 11 THE INDICTMENT ALLEGES IN COUNTS THREE, FOUR, FIVE, SIX, 10:24AM 12 SEVEN, EIGHT, NINE, TEN, ELEVEN, AND TWELVE WITH WIRE FRAUD IN VIOLATION OF 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 1343. 10:24AM 13 THE INDICTMENT IS NOT EVIDENCE OF ANY KIND. IT IS THE 10:24AM 14 10:24AM 15 CHARGING DOCUMENT THAT IS FILED IN THIS CASE. 10:24AM 16 TO THESE CHARGES MR. BALWANI HAS PLEADED NOT GUILTY, AND 10:24AM 17 THIS IS AN ABSOLUTE DENIAL OF THE CHARGES. 10:25AM 18 IT WILL BE THE PURPOSE OF THIS TRIAL FOR YOU TO DETERMINE 10:25AM 19 IF THE GOVERNMENT HAS MET THEIR BURDEN IN PRESENTING THEIR CASE 10:25AM 20 TO YOU. NOW, JURY SERVICE IS IMPORTANT. I AGAIN WANT TO WELCOME 10:25AM 21 YOU AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE, INCLUDING THANKING YOU FOR 10:25AM 22 10:25AM 23 COMING IN LAST WEEK AND FILLING OUT THE QUESTIONNAIRES THAT YOU 10:25AM 24 DID. WE'LL TALK MORE ABOUT THAT IN A MOMENT. 10:25AM 25 BUT BEFORE WE BEGIN, I'M GOING TO DESCRIBE FOR YOU HOW THE

10:25AM	1	TRIAL WILL BE CONDUCTED AND TO EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT YOU, THE
10:25AM	2	LAWYERS, AND I WILL BE DOING.
10:25AM	3	NOW, WHEN I REFER TO THE GOVERNMENT, I MEAN
10:25AM	4	MR. ROBERT LEACH, MS. KELLY VOLKAR, MR. JOHN BOSTIC, AND
10:25AM	5	MR. JEFF SCHENK, WHO ARE THE ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS
10:25AM	6	PROSECUTING THIS CASE.
10:25AM	7	MR. LEACH, WOULD YOU INTRODUCE YOUR TEAM, PLEASE.
10:25AM	8	MR. LEACH: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
10:25AM	9	GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
10:25AM	10	MY NAME IS ROBERT LEACH. I'M AN ASSISTANT UNITED STATES
10:25AM	11	ATTORNEY WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.
10:25AM	12	TO MY LEFT ARE THE OR STRAIGHT IN FRONT OF ME ARE THE
10:26AM	13	TWO OTHER PROSECUTORS AT THE TABLE: JOHN BOSTIC, AND NEXT TO
10:26AM	14	HIM IS JEFF SCHENK.
10:26AM	15	TO MY RIGHT IS CHRISTOPHER MCCOLLOW, WHO IS A POSTAL
10:26AM	16	INSPECTOR WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE, ONE
10:26AM	17	OF THE AGENCIES INVOLVED IN THIS CASE.
10:26AM	18	OUT HERE JUST INSIDE OF THE WELL IS MADDI WACHS. SHE'S A
10:26AM	19	PARALEGAL WITH MY OFFICE.
10:26AM	20	AND THEN IN THE SECOND ROW BACK HERE YOU'LL SEE
10:26AM	21	MS. VOLKAR, WHO IS ALSO A PROSECUTOR WITH MY OFFICE, AND TO HER
10:26AM	22	RIGHT ARE MARIO SCUSSEL AND GEORGE SCAVDIS.
10:26AM	23	MARIO SCUSSEL IS A SPECIAL AGENT WITH THE FEDERAL BUREAU
10:26AM	24	OF INVESTIGATION, AND AGENT SCAVDIS IS A SPECIAL AGENT WITH THE
10:26AM	25	FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION.

10:26AM	1	THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR BEING HERE TODAY.
10:26AM	2	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
10:26AM	3	WHEN I REFER TO DEFENSE COUNSEL, I MEAN
10:26AM	4	MR. JEFF COOPERSMITH, MS. AMY WALSH, AND MR. STEPHEN CAZARES,
10:26AM	5	WHO ARE THE ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING MR. BALWANI.
10:27AM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH, WOULD YOU PLEASE INTRODUCE YOUR TEAM?
10:27AM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
10:27AM	8	I'M JEFF COOPERSMITH. I REPRESENT
10:27AM	9	MR. RAMESH "SUNNY" BALWANI, AND HE'S SEATED RIGHT HERE.
10:27AM	10	I'M HERE WITH MY COLLEAGUES AMY WALSH, STEPHEN CAZARES,
10:27AM	11	SHAWN ESTRADA, AND AMANDA MCDOWELL.
10:27AM	12	THANK YOU.
10:27AM	13	THE COURT: THANK YOU. THIS CASE IS EXPECTED TO
10:27AM	14	TAKE APPROXIMATELY 13 WEEKS OF EVIDENCE IN COURT. IT MAY BE A
10:27AM	15	LITTLE LONGER, IT MAY BE A LITTLE SHORTER, BUT THAT'S THE
10:27AM	16	APPROXIMATE LENGTH OF THE TIME THIS TRIAL SHOULD TAKE.
10:27AM	17	THIS MORNING WE WILL BE SELECTING JURORS WHO WILL SIT TO
10:27AM	18	HEAR THIS CASE.
10:27AM	19	THIS PROCESS INVOLVES INTERVIEWING SEPARATE PANELS OF
10:27AM	20	POTENTIAL JURORS OVER THE COURSE OF THE DAY.
10:27AM	21	AFTER OUR SESSION TODAY, YOU WILL BE PERMITTED TO LEAVE
10:27AM	22	THE COURTHOUSE, AND YOU WILL THEN BE NOTIFIED BY THE COURT
10:27AM	23	WHETHER AND WHEN YOU SHOULD RETURN TO THE COURTHOUSE.
10:28AM	24	WE'LL THEN COMPLETE THIS PROCESS, AND WE'LL THEN BEGIN THE
10:28AM	25	TRIAL.

NOW, YOU HAVE -- I BELIEVE ON YOUR SEATS YOU SHOULD HAVE A 1 10:28AM 2 TRIAL SCHEDULE. AGAIN, THIS IS THE ANTICIPATED TRIAL SCHEDULE. 10:28AM LOOKING AT THIS SCHEDULE, YOU CAN SEE THAT WE PREDICT THAT 3 10:28AM 10:28AM 4 DELIBERATIONS SHOULD BEGIN AROUND THE END OF MAY OR THE SECOND WEEK OF JUNE, PERHAPS. 10:28AM THIS MAY CHANGE, AND OF COURSE I'LL KEEP YOU INFORMED AS 10:28AM 6 10:28AM 7 TO ANY CHANGES IN OUR SCHEDULE. AND AS YOU SAW IN THE PROPOSED QUESTIONNAIRE, OUR PROPOSED 10:28AM 8 10:28AM 9 DAYS IN COURT WILL BE ON TUESDAYS, WEDNESDAYS, AND FRIDAYS, 10:28AM 10 INITIALLY FROM 9:00 A.M. TO 3:00 P.M., AND IT MAY BE WE'LL 10:28AM 11 MODIFY THE SCHEDULE AND ADD DAYS, AND I'LL LET YOU KNOW IN 10:28AM 12 ADVANCE IF THERE'S A CHANGE IN OUR SCHEDULE. 10:29AM 13 WE ANTICIPATE BREAKING TWO TIMES A DAY WITH 30 TO 45 MINUTES AS OPPOSED TO A LENGTHY LUNCH BREAK IN THE MIDDLE OF 10:29AM 14 10:29AM 15 THE DAY. AND BECAUSE OF THE LENGTH OF THE TRIAL, I HOPE THIS 10:29AM 16 10:29AM 17 SCHEDULE ACCOMPLISHES THE TAKING OF EVIDENCE IN THE TRIAL, AND 10:29AM 18 ALSO WILL AVOID STRESS AND FATIGUE THAT MIGHT ARISE FROM 10:29AM 19 ALL-DAY EVERY DAY SCHEDULE THAT SHORTER TRIALS TYPICALLY HAVE. 10:29AM 20 NOW, I ALSO REALIZE THAT THERE ARE OCCASIONS WHEN SOMEONE 10:29AM 21 MAY NEED TO TAKE AN UNSCHEDULED BREAK FOR ANY REASON. IF YOU 10:29AM 22 OR ANYONE IN THE WELL HERE NEEDS TO TAKE A BREAK, PLEASE LET ME 10:29AM 23 KNOW AND I'LL BE HAPPY TO TAKE A BREAK FOR ANY PURPOSE. 10:29AM 24 NOW, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THE FIRST STEP IN THE TRIAL 10:29AM 25 FOLLOWING THE SELECTION OF THE JURY IS THE GOVERNMENT'S OPENING

1 STATEMENT. 10:29AM THE DEFENDANT MAY CHOOSE TO GIVE AN OPENING STATEMENT 10:29AM FOLLOWING THE GOVERNMENT OR AT THE BEGINNING OF THE DEFENSE 3 10:29AM 10:29AM 4 CASE. 10:30AM 5 THE PURPOSE OF AN OPENING STATEMENT IS TO GIVE YOU AN 10:30AM 6 OVERVIEW OF WHAT THE ATTORNEYS BELIEVE THAT THE EVIDENCE IN THE 10:30AM 7 CASE WILL SHOW. NEXT, THE GOVERNMENT WILL OFFER EVIDENCE. EVIDENCE 10:30AM 8 USUALLY INCLUDES WITNESSES' TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS. 10:30AM 9 10:30AM 10 AFTER THE GOVERNMENT PRESENTS THEIR EVIDENCE, THE DEFENSE 10:30AM 11 MAY ALSO PRESENT EVIDENCE, BUT HE IS NOT REQUIRED TO DO SO. 10:30AM 12 BECAUSE MR. BALWANI IS PRESUMED INNOCENT, HE DOES NOT HAVE 10:30AM 13 TO PROVE THAT HE IS NOT GUILTY. NOW, YOU HAVE ON THE BACK OF YOUR SCHEDULES ALSO A LIST OF 10:30AM 14 10:30AM 15 WITNESSES WHO MAY TESTIFY IN THIS CASE. I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO LOOK AT THAT LIST AND ASK COUNSEL, 10:30AM 16 10:30AM 17 COUNSEL, WOULD YOU AGREE AND STIPULATE THAT THE COURT NEED NOT 10:30AM 18 READ THE ENTIRETY OF THIS WITNESS LIST INTO THE RECORD NOW? IT 10:30AM 19 IS PART OF THE RECORD IN THE QUESTIONNAIRES. 10:31AM 20 MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR, SO STIPULATED. MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU. 10:31AM 21 10:31AM 22 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 10:31AM 23 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU JUST A MOMENT 10:31AM 24 TO REVIEW THAT WITNESS LIST. I KNOW IT'S SEVERAL COLUMNS. 10:31AM 25 PLEASE REVIEW THAT NOW. I'M GOING TO ASK YOU QUESTIONS ABOUT

10:31AM	1	THAT LIST IN A MOMENT, PARTICULARLY WHETHER OR NOT YOU KNOW OR
10:31AM	2	YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH ANYONE ON THAT WITNESS LIST.
	3	
10:32AM		(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
10:32AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T HAVE THE LIST.
10:32AM	5	THE COURT: OH, A JUROR DOES NOT HAVE THE LIST. I
10:32AM	6	APOLOGIZE. THAT'S JUROR 124.
10:32AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
10:32AM	8	THE COURT: RIGHT HERE, 124.
10:32AM	9	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
10:32AM	10	THE COURT: NOW, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, YOU SHOULD
10:32AM	11	NOTE THAT THE PARTIES ARE NOT REQUIRED AND MIGHT NOT WISH TO
10:32AM	12	CALL ALL OF THESE WITNESSES, AND THEY MAY LATER FIND IT
10:32AM	13	NECESSARY TO CALL OTHER WITNESSES.
10:32AM	14	IT MAY OCCUR THAT THE PARTIES STIPULATE TO A WITNESS'S
10:32AM	15	TESTIMONY OR AN EXHIBIT. THIS MEANS THAT THE PARTIES AGREE
10:33AM	16	THAT THE STATEMENT OR EXHIBIT MAY BE INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE.
10:33AM	17	AFTER YOU HAVE HEARD ALL OF THE EVIDENCE AND AFTER THE
10:33AM	18	ATTORNEYS HAVE GIVEN THEIR FINAL ARGUMENTS, I WILL THEN
10:33AM	19	INSTRUCT YOU ON THE LAW THAT APPLIES TO THIS CASE.
10:33AM	20	AFTER YOU'VE HEARD THE ARGUMENTS AND INSTRUCTIONS, YOU
10:33AM	21	WILL THEN RETIRE TO THE JURY ROOM TO DELIBERATE THE MERITS OF
10:33AM	22	THE CASE AND THEN TO RETURN WITH YOUR FINDINGS.
10:33AM	23	NOW, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, JURY SERVICE IS AN OBLIGATION.
10:33AM	24	I REALIZE IT MAY BE INCONVENIENT, BUT I WOULD HOPE YOU ALSO
10:33AM	25	VIEW JURY SERVICE AS A PRIVILEGE TO SERVE YOUR COMMUNITY AND TO

PARTICIPATE IN YOUR SYSTEM OF JUSTICE.

WE ALL KNOW AND WE'RE SENSITIVE TO THE FACT THAT NONE OF YOU ARE HERE BECAUSE YOU HAVE NOTHING ELSE TO DO WITH YOUR TIME, OR THAT YOU PERHAPS VOLUNTEERED FOR JURY SERVICE OUT OF THE GOODNESS OF YOUR HEARTS.

WE RECOGNIZE THAT JURY SERVICE IS AN IMPOSITION ON EACH OF YOU. THIS SERVICE TAKES YOU FROM THOSE WHO NEED AND RELY ON YOU AT YOUR HOMES AND AT YOUR WORKPLACES.

FOR MANY OF US WORKING IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM, TRYING TO IMPROVE ON THE QUALITY OF JUSTICE WHILE MAINTAINING FAIRNESS ACROSS THE BOARD IS A CHALLENGE. IT IS A CHALLENGE TO DELIVER ON THE PROMISE OF A JURY OF ONE'S PEERS, A TRUE CROSS-SECTION OF OUR COMMUNITY. WE HAVE THIS PROMISE AND WE MAKE THIS PROMISE TO EVERYONE WHO ENTERS OUR COURTS. IT'S A PROMISE THAT WE ENDEAVOR TO FULFILL AS WE RECOGNIZE WE OURSELVES WOULD RELY ON THAT PROMISE IF WE WERE EVER TO COME INTO THE JUSTICE SYSTEM AS A PARTY.

OUR CONSTITUTION GUARANTEES THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL, AND THAT IS THE BASIS FOR ALL OF OUR OBLIGATIONS, YOURS, AS WELL AS MINE, TO SERVE AS JURORS.

AND I SHOULD LET YOU KNOW, JUDGES ARE ALSO SUMMONED TO JURY SERVICE. I'VE BEEN SUMMONED SEVERAL TIMES IN MY COMMUNITY. I MUST CONFESS, FOR REASONS THAT I CANNOT TELL YOU, I'VE NEVER BEEN SELECTED TO SERVE AS A JUROR REGRETTABLY, BUT I LOOK FORWARD TO THAT TIME HAPPENING.

NOW, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT IS PERHAPS MORE SIGNIFICANT 1 10:35AM 2 NOW TO PARTICIPATE IN YOUR SERVICE SO OUR COMMUNITY, COUNTRY, 10:35AM AND EVEN THE WORLD CAN OBSERVE THAT EVEN DURING THE CHALLENGE 3 10:35AM 10:35AM 4 OF A PANDEMIC AND A WORLD CONFLICT, OUR COURTS ARE OPEN AND OUR 10:35AM 5 SYSTEM OF JUSTICE ENDURES. 10:35AM 6 NOW, I WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT TO SHARE WITH YOU SOME 10:35AM 7 INFORMATION REGARDING COVID PROTOCOLS. AT ALL TIMES WE WILL STRIVE TO ENSURE YOUR PROTECTION, 10:35AM 8 SAFETY, AND YOUR GOOD HEALTH. 10:36AM 9 10:36AM 10 AS YOU CAN SEE, WE WILL ENGAGE DISTANCING AND FACE MASKS 10:36AM 11 IN OUR COURT. 10:36AM 12 IF YOU ARE VACCINATED, OUR MEDICAL INFORMATION INFORMS 10:36AM 13 THAT THAT FACT, THE FACT OF YOUR VACCINATION, SIGNIFICANTLY ENHANCES YOUR SAFETY. 10:36AM 14 10:36AM 15 PARTIES IN COURT WILL WEAR MASKS. WITNESSES, DEPENDING ON THEIR HEALTH AND VACCINATION STATUS, MAY BE PERMITTED TO REMOVE 10:36AM 16 10:36AM 17 THEIR MASKS OR WEAR A PROTECTIVE PLASTIC CLEAR FACE SHIELD 10:36AM 18 WHILE TESTIFYING. 10:36AM 19 COUNSEL MAY REMOVE THEIR MASK AT THE LECTERNS WHEN THEY'RE 10:36AM 20 EXAMINING WITNESSES. NOW, I SHOULD TELL YOU, COUNSEL HAVE PERMITTED ME TO 10:36AM 21 10:36AM 22 INFORM AND LET YOU KNOW THAT ALL OF THEIR TEAMS ON BOTH SIDES 10:36AM 23 ARE FULLY VACCINATED, AND BY THAT I MEAN THEY ALSO HAVE BOOSTER SHOT. 10:36AM 24 10:36AM 25 AND I SHOULD LET YOU KNOW ALL OF MY TEAM, OUR COURT STAFF

1 10:37AM 2 10:37AM 3 10:37AM 10:37AM 4 10:37AM 10:37AM 6 10:37AM 7 10:37AM 8 10:37AM 9 10:37AM 10 10:37AM 11 10:37AM 12 10:37AM 13 10:37AM 14 10:37AM 15 10:37AM 16 10:37AM 17 10:37AM 18 10:38AM 19 10:38AM 20 10:38AM 21 10:38AM 22 10:38AM 23 10:38AM 24 10:38AM 25

HERE, HAVE ALSO -- WE'RE ALL FULLY VACCINATED AND HAVE HAD OUR BOOSTER SHOT.

WE WILL HAVE AIR PURIFIERS AT THE WITNESS STAND. THERE'S ONE UNDER THE WITNESS STAND HERE THAT IS OPERATIONAL, AND WE'LL HAVE WITNESS -- EXCUSE ME -- THE AIR PURIFIERS AT COUNSEL TABLE AS WELL.

WE MAY BE ABLE TO PROVIDE A PURIFIER NEAR THE JURY BOX IF THERE'S A REQUEST FOR THAT, AND WE CAN DO THAT IF IT'S NECESSARY.

YOU SEE THE PLASTIC SHIELDS THAT WE HAVE PLACED, AND I ANTICIPATE WE'LL KEEP THOSE IN PLACE FOR THE DURATION OF THE TRIAL.

IF AT ANY TIME A JUROR HAS DIFFICULTY EITHER HEARING OR SEEING OR OBSERVING THROUGH THESE PLASTIC SHIELDS, THAT JUROR SHOULD RAISE THEIR HAND AND LET ME KNOW SO WE CAN ATTEND TO THAT ISSUE.

I'D LIKE TO TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT OUR BUILDING. THIS COURTHOUSE IS OLD. I THINK IT WAS BUILT IN THE '70S, SOMETHING LIKE THAT. ASIDE FROM THE, I THINK IT'S CALLED BRUTALIST ARCHITECTURE, THAT WAS EN VOGUE THEN. IT'S PROBABLY NOT AS ATTRACTIVE AS OTHER COURTHOUSES THAT YOU'VE HAD THE PRIVILEGE OF ATTENDING OR BEING IN.

I SHOULD TELL YOU, ABOUT 25 YEARS AGO WE WERE SCHEDULED TO BUILD A NEW COURTHOUSE IN SAN JOSE. CONGRESS GAVE US \$10 MILLION FOR SITE ACQUISITION, THAT IS, TO BUY PROPERTY THAT

1 10:38AM 10:38AM 2 3 10:38AM 10:38AM 4 10:38AM 10:38AM 6 10:38AM 7 10:39AM 8 10:39AM 9 10:39AM 10 10:39AM 11 10:39AM 12 10:39AM 13 10:39AM 14 10:39AM 15 10:39AM 16 10:39AM 17 10:39AM 18 10:39AM 19 10:39AM 20 10:40AM 21 10:40AM 22 10:40AM 23 10:40AM 24 10:40AM 25

WE CAN BUILD THE COURTHOUSE ON, AND SO WE, THE COURT, RECEIVED THAT \$10 MILLION AND THEN BEGAN THE SEARCH FOR PROPERTY WHERE WE COULD BUILD A NEW COURTHOUSE.

WELL, CONGRESS THEN CHANGED THEIR MIND AND THEY SAID, WELL, SAN JOSE, WE'RE NOT GOING TO GIVE YOU A NEW COURTHOUSE JUST YET, BUT YOU CAN KEEP THE \$10 MILLION. SO THAT WAS GREAT.

SO WE THOUGHT THEN, GREAT, WE CAN UPDATE OUR COURTHOUSE, AND THE GENERAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATION SAID, YEAH, OF COURSE YOU CAN USE THAT MONEY TO UPDATE AND IMPROVE THE APPEARANCE OF THE COURTHOUSE.

AND I WANT TO TELL YOU, ABOUT 7.9 MILLION OF THAT \$10 MILLION WAS SPENT ON OUR HVAC SYSTEM. WE CAN'T SEE IT. IT'S ON TOP OF THE ROOF HERE.

SO WE DIDN'T BENEFIT FROM ESTHETIC PLEASING REMEDIATIONS OF THE COURTHOUSE, BUT WE DID BENEFIT FROM A NEW HVAC SYSTEM. AND IT WAS TIMELY, WASN'T IT?

I SHOULD TELL YOU, THIS HVAC SYSTEM IS ABOUT FOUR OR FIVE YEARS NEW. WE'VE UPGRADED OUR SYSTEM TO MERV 14 FILTRATION. THE CURRENT GUIDANCE IS A MERV 13.

OUR SYSTEM IS ALSO EFFECTIVE AGAINST OTHER POLLUTANTS THAT MAY BE IN THE AREA. AND YOU REMEMBER THE FIRES THAT WE HAD IN THE SUMMER. INDIVIDUALS IN OUR COURTROOM WERE PROTECTED FROM ALL OF THAT SMOKE THAT WAS IN OUR COMMUNITIES AT THAT TIME, SO IT'S A WONDERFUL SYSTEM.

I AM INFORMED THAT THE AIR CIRCULATION IN THIS COURTROOM

1 10:40AM 2 10:40AM 3 10:40AM 10:40AM 4 10:40AM 10:40AM 6 10:40AM 7 8 10:40AM 10:40AM 9 10:40AM 10 10:40AM 11 10:40AM 12 10:41AM 13 10:41AM 14 10:41AM 15 10:41AM 16 10:41AM 17 10:41AM 18 10:41AM 19 10:41AM 20 10:41AM 21 10:41AM 22 10:41AM 23 10:41AM 24 10:41AM 25

CIRCULATES AT WHAT IS CALLED ACH 6.3, WHICH IS AIR CHANGES PER HOUR, AND WHAT THIS MEANS IS THAT THE AIR IN THIS COURTROOM IS COMPLETELY CIRCULATED EVERY TEN MINUTES. SO IT'S A GOOD SYSTEM. \$7 MILLION WAS WELL SPENT.

WE HAD SOME OTHER MONIES THAT WE SPENT TO IMPROVE THE LOOK OF OUR COURTHOUSE. I HOPE YOU ENJOYED THE LOBBY WHEN YOU CAME IN AND SAW THAT.

WE'RE DOING THESE OTHER THINGS. THESE MURALS THAT YOU SEE IN OUR COURTHOUSE ARE COMING UP, TOO. SO WE'RE TRYING TO DO THE BEST THAT WE CAN.

NOW, OUR SYSTEM RUNS ABOUT TWO HOURS BEFORE COURT AND AFTER COURT, AFTER WE CLOSE OUR BUILDING AND BEFORE WE OPEN, AND WE DO THIS FOR ADDED VENTILATION.

THE GENERAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATION HAS ALSO ADOPTED CLEANING GUIDELINES FROM THE CENTER FOR DISEASE CONTROL, THE CDC, AND THESE STANDARDS INCLUDE ROUTINE CLEANING AND DISINFECTION OF HIGH TOUCH SURFACES AND COMMON AND HIGH TRAFFIC AREAS.

WE HAVE FACE MASKS AND SANITIZER TO PROVIDE YOU AS NEEDED. AND I SHOULD TELL YOU WE ALSO HAVE AVAILABLE TO US -- THIS IS NEW, THIS IS JUST RECENT -- WE HAVE AVAILABLE TO ANY JUROR COVID TESTS. WE HAVE THE KITS AVAILABLE SHOULD A JUROR DURING THEIR SERVICE OR AT ANY TIME FEEL THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO OR FEEL THE NEED TO BE TESTED FOR THEIR PROTECTION, AS WELL AS THE PROBATION OF OTHERS IN THE COURTROOM, WE CAN AND WE HAVE THOSE

10:42AM 1 2 10:42AM 3 10:42AM 10:42AM 4 10:42AM 10:42AM 6 10:42AM 7 8 10:42AM 10:42AM 9 10:42AM 10 10:42AM 11 10:42AM 12 10:42AM 13

10:42AM 14

10:42AM 15 10:43AM 16

10:43AM 17

10:43AM 18

10:43AM 19

10:43AM 20

10:43AM 21

10:43AM 22

10:43AM 23

10:43AM 24

10:43AM 25

TESTS AVAILABLE FOR YOU.

I SHOULD TELL YOU THAT THEY WERE BROUGHT TO OUR COURT TODAY. THEY WERE BROUGHT TO OUR COURT TODAY. WE HAVE THEM AND THEY'RE AVAILABLE FOR YOU SHOULD THAT EVER BECOME A NEED DURING THE COURT OF THE TRIAL.

THE JURY ROOM THAT YOU WILL USE WILL BE AN ENTIRE COURTROOM AND IT WILL BE JUST ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THIS WALL. WE HAVE CLEARED A COURTROOM IN ITS ENTIRETY FOR THE JURY TO USE FOR THEIR DELIBERATIONS AND MEETINGS AND BREAKS. YOU WILL BE ABLE TO SPACE YOURSELVES OUT IN THAT COURTROOM AS YOU NEED. SO YOU'LL HAVE THE ENTIRETY OF A COURTROOM.

IT'S NOT QUITE AS LARGE AS THIS, BUT IT IS LARGE. IT'S A LARGER ROOM OTHER THAN OUR SMALLER DELIBERATION ROOM.

WE ALSO ANTICIPATE, AND I SHOULD TELL YOU THIS, THAT OUR COURT BUDGET WILL PERMIT, AND WE DO PROVIDE, A LIGHT BREAKFAST FOR OUR JURORS DURING THE WEEK. WE HAVE A GOOD VENDOR. I'M INFORMED THE BAGELS ARE TERRIFIC AND THE SALAD IS TERRIFIC AND THE PARFAIT IS TERRIFIC. I TELL YOU THIS JUST TO OFFER YOU SOME OTHER INCENTIVE FOR YOUR SERVICE IN THIS TRIAL.

NOW, IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTION OR ISSUE REGARDING YOUR SAFETY OR COURT CONDITIONS DURING YOUR TIME HERE, YOU SHOULD CONTACT MY COURTROOM DEPUTY, MS. ROBINSON, OR ANY OF OUR STAFF.

NOW, LET ME ASK ANOTHER QUESTION. IS THERE ANY MEMBER OF THE PANEL WHO HAS ANY SPECIAL DISABILITY OR PROBLEM THAT WOULD MAKE SERVING AS A MEMBER OF THIS JURY DIFFICULT OR IMPOSSIBLE?

10:43AM	1	NOW, LET ME SAY, WE HAVE ASSISTED LISTENING DEVICES
10:43AM	2	AVAILABLE. IF SOMEONE NEEDS OR WOULD LIKE A DEVICE TO ASSIST
10:43AM	3	YOU, THIS IS THE ASSISTED LISTENING DEVICE, WOULD YOU PLEASE
10:44AM	4	RAISE YOUR HAND NOW AND WE'LL GET ONE OUT TO YOU.
10:44AM	5	I SEE NO HANDS. THANK YOU.
10:44AM	6	THERE MAY BE OTHER INDIVIDUALS THAT HAVE OTHER HEALTH
10:44AM	7	ISSUES, BACK ISSUES, SEATING ISSUES, THAT WOULD BENEFIT FROM
10:44AM	8	ASSISTED CUSHIONS, PILLOWS, OR OTHER RELIEF. WE MAY BE ABLE TO
10:44AM	9	PROVIDE THOSE FOR YOU, TOO.
10:44AM	10	IS THERE ANYONE WHO WANTS TO IDENTIFY SUCH A CONDITION TO
10:44AM	11	ME NOW, A BACK ISSUE, ANY TYPE OF ISSUE THAT MIGHT CREATE A
10:44AM	12	PROBLEM?
10:44AM	13	I SEE A HAND IN THE BACK.
10:44AM	14	WE'LL TAKE A MICROPHONE BACK TO YOU, MA'AM.
10:44AM	15	IF YOU COULD IDENTIFY YOUR JUROR NUMBER.
10:44AM	16	LET ME SAY, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I'M GOING TO IDENTIFY
10:44AM	17	YOU BY YOUR JUROR NUMBER. IF YOU'RE CALLED ON, WHAT I WOULD
10:45AM	18	ASK YOU TO DO IS TO SAY YOUR NUMBER BEFORE YOU SPEAK SO OUR
10:45AM	19	COURT REPORTER CAN ACCURATELY REPORT THAT.
10:45AM	20	I MEAN NO DISRESPECT TO YOU, BUT IT'S JUST A CONVENIENCE
10:45AM	21	THAT WE DO FOR THIS PURPOSE.
10:45AM	22	YES, MA'AM, MAY I KNOW YOUR JUROR NUMBER?
10:45AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 176.
10:45AM	24	THE COURT: YES. AND WHAT WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO
10:45AM	25	KNOW?

10:45AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO I HAVE AN ISSUE WITH MY LEG
10:45AM	2	AND BACK THAT I'VE HAD FOR FOUR MONTHS AND I'M UNDER THE CARE
10:45AM	3	OF MY DOCTOR. I'M NOT ABLE TO SIT.
10:45AM	4	I WORK AS A SCHOOL SECRETARY. I DO STAND FOR TEN HOURS,
10:45AM	5	AND I'M NOT ABLE TO SIT AT ALL, AND IF I AM, I'M ALWAYS MOVING
10:45AM	6	AROUND.
10:45AM	7	I DON'T WANT TO ACT LIKE AN ADH CHILD OR SOMEONE WHO KEEPS
10:45AM	8	FIDGETING, BUT I DO HAVE TO BE MOVING AROUND. IT'S LIKE MY LEG
10:45AM	9	FALLS ASLEEP.
10:45AM	10	AND IT'S BEEN GOING ON FOR THREE MONTHS, AND I'M UNDER THE
10:45AM	11	CARE OF A DOCTOR.
10:45AM	12	SO IF I WAS ABLE TO SIT, I WOULD HAVE TO IF YOU DON'T
10:45AM	13	MIND THAT AND IT'S NOT A DISRUPTION, I WOULD LIKE YOU TO KNOW
10:46AM	14	THAT. BUT I NEED TO MOVE AND BE STANDING AROUND.
10:46AM	15	THE COURT: THANK YOU FOR THAT.
10:46AM	16	SO AT WORK YOU USE A STANDING DESK, I THINK THEY'RE
10:46AM	17	CALLED?
10:46AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE A STANDING DESK, AND
10:46AM	19	BECAUSE I WORK AT THE SCHOOL, I'M ALWAYS ON THE MOVE. I'M
10:46AM	20	ALWAYS MOVING.
10:46AM	21	AND WHEN I GET HOME I ACTUALLY HAVE TO SIT WITH MY LEG UP.
10:46AM	22	THE COURT: RIGHT. SO TELL US, WHAT GRADES OF
10:46AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M ACTUALLY A SECRETARY AT AN
10:46AM	24	ELEMENTARY SCHOOL FROM KINDER THROUGH 6, AND SO I'VE BEEN
10:46AM	25	WORKING THERE FOR 20 YEARS.

10:46AM	1	THE COURT: I SEE. AND THE CHILDREN REQUIRE
10:46AM	2	EDUCATORS TO MOVE ABOUT A BIT, I TAKE IT.
10:46AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. I'M IN THE OFFICE, SO I
10:46AM	4	ACTUALLY SEE THEM COMING IN AND OUT.
10:46AM	5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
10:46AM	6	SO THE DURATION OF THIS TRIAL IS 13 WEEKS APPROXIMATELY,
10:46AM	7	AND REGRETTABLY, WE DON'T HAVE STANDING DESKS YET FOR OUR IN
10:46AM	8	OUR JURY BOX. BUT MAYBE THAT'S A WISH LIST ITEM WE COULD LOOK
10:46AM	9	AT.
10:46AM	10	LET ME ASK THESE LAWYERS IF THEY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR
10:46AM	11	YOU.
10:46AM	12	MR. SCHENK?
10:46AM	13	MR. SCHENK: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR. THANK
10:47AM	14	YOU.
10:47AM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, YOUR HONOR.
10:47AM	16	THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR LETTING US KNOW
10:47AM	17	THAT.
10:47AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU SO MUCH.
10:47AM	19	THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME.
10:47AM	20	WAS THERE ANOTHER HAND?
10:47AM	21	YES, I SEE A HAND THERE.
10:47AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M OKAY TODAY, BUT
10:47AM	23	THE COURT: PARDON ME. ARE YOU JUROR 119?
10:47AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 119, YES.
10:47AM	25	THE COURT: YES.

10:47AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M OKAY TODAY, BUT I JUST
10:47AM	2	WANTED TO POINT OUT THAT I'LL BE 31 WEEKS PREGNANT BY THE END
10:47AM	3	OF THIS JURY. SO I CAN SIT TODAY, BUT I CAN'T SAY THAT FOR THE
10:47AM	4	DURATION OF THE ENTIRE TRIAL.
10:47AM	5	THE COURT: I SEE. AND YOU KNOW OUR SCHEDULE IS
10:47AM	6	APPROXIMATELY THREE DAYS A WEEK. IT MIGHT CHANGE.
10:47AM	7	DOES THAT CAUSE YOU SOME
10:47AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD HAVE TO SCHEDULE MY
10:47AM	9	DOCTOR'S APPOINTMENTS I GUESS AROUND THE SCHEDULE OF THE TRIAL.
10:47AM	10	THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY.
10:47AM	11	IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THE NATURE OF THE TRIAL AND YOUR
10:48AM	12	SERVICE AS A JUROR THAT YOU HAVE A CONCERN ABOUT?
10:48AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I GUESS I WOULD HOPE THAT I
10:48AM	14	WOULDN'T CAUSE STRESS ON MYSELF WHICH WOULD AFFECT ANYTHING IN
10:48AM	15	MY PREGNANCY, BUT
10:48AM	16	THE COURT: RIGHT. HAVE YOU TALKED TO YOUR
10:48AM	17	PHYSICIAN AND EXPLAINED TO HER WHAT JURY SERVICE MIGHT BE?
10:48AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'VE EMAILED HER. I EMAILED HER
10:48AM	19	YESTERDAY WHEN I WAS ASKED TO COME BACK TODAY.
10:48AM	20	THE COURT: OH. AND YOU HAVE NOT HEARD BACK FROM
10:48AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, I HAVE NOT.
10:48AM	22	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR LETTING
10:48AM	23	US KNOW.
10:48AM	24	YES?
10:48AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 135.

10:48AM	1	THE COURT: 135, YES. THANK YOU.
10:48AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'VE BEEN RECENTLY DIAGNOSED
10:48AM	3	WITH AN OVERACTIVE THYROID GLAND AND THE CHEMICALS ARE
10:48AM	4	ATTACKING MY JOINTS, MY KNEES, MY SHOULDER, MY HANDS.
10:49AM	5	I'M SCHEDULED FOR A BIOPSY IN THE UPCOMING WEEK TO SEE IF
10:49AM	6	IT'S MALIGNANT OR BENIGN, SO I SEE SURGERY IN MY FUTURE.
10:49AM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
10:49AM	8	ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
10:49AM	9	ANYONE ELSE IN RESPONSE TO THAT QUESTION?
10:49AM	10	YES, IN THE BACK I SEE A HAND.
10:49AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M NUMBER 181.
10:49AM	12	I ALSO HAVE A LOWER BACK PROBLEM. MY LEGS START TO GO
10:49AM	13	NUMB IF I STAND FOR ABOUT 20 MINUTES OR MORE. I'M USUALLY OKAY
10:50AM	14	SITTING DOWN, BUT SOMETIMES MY LEGS GO NUMB AND I JUST NEED TO
10:50AM	15	MOVE POSITIONS.
10:50AM	16	BUT OTHER THAN THAT, IT'S OKAY.
10:50AM	17	THE COURT: OKAY. DO CUSHIONS OR PILLOWS SOMETIMES
10:50AM	18	ASSIST?
10:50AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SOMETIMES STANDING UP, SOMETIMES
10:50AM	20	LAYING DOWN. IT'S JUST DIFFERENT.
10:50AM	21	THE COURT: I SEE.
10:50AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WORK FROM HOME, SO I CAN DO
10:50AM	23	WHATEVER I NEED TO DO.
10:50AM	24	THE COURT: RIGHT.
10:50AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, BUT SITTING DOWN FOR

EXTENDED PERIODS MIGHT BECOME AN ISSUE. 1 10:50AM THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU FOR THAT. 2 10:50AM LET ME TELL YOU -- AND RIGHT NOW YOU'RE SEATED IN THE HARD 3 10:50AM PEWS, HARD WOOD PEWS. THEY'RE NOT AS COMFORTABLE AS THESE 10:50AM 4 LUXURIOUS SEATS OVER HERE WHERE YOUR COLLEAGUES ARE SITTING. 10:50AM 10:50AM 6 SO THAT MIGHT MAKE A DIFFERENCE. 10:50AM 7 I SHOULD TELL YOU THAT WE HAVE HAD JURORS THAT HAVE A SIMILAR ISSUE THAT NEED TO STAND OR STRETCH, AND WHAT WE HAVE 10:50AM 8 BEEN ABLE TO DO IN THE PAST IS TO HAVE THAT JUROR SEATED AT THE 10:50AM 9 10:50AM 10 END, OR SEATED IN A WAY SUCH THAT THEY CAN STAND AND STRETCH. 10:50AM 11 AND WE LET THE PARTIES KNOW OF THIS IN ADVANCE, AND THE 10:50AM 12 INDIVIDUAL IS ABLE TO STAND AND STRETCH, OR WE CAN TAKE BREAKS, TOO, AS NEEDED. 10:51AM 13 10:51AM 14 BUT THAT SEEMED TO WORK IN PAST TRIALS THAT I'VE HAD. I 10:51AM 15 JUST WANT TO LET YOU KNOW THAT. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, THAT SEEMS LIKE IT WOULD 10:51AM 16 10:51AM 17 BE OKAY. YES. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SIR. 10:51AM 18 10:51AM 19 THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. I SEE NO OTHER HANDS. THANK YOU. 10:51AM 20 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE NOW COME TO THAT PORTION OF THE 10:51AM 21 10:51AM 22 TRIAL WHERE I WILL ASK YOU SOME OUESTIONS REGARDING YOUR 10:51AM 23 QUALIFICATIONS TO SERVE AS JURORS IN THIS CASE. 10:51AM 24 THE LAWYERS WILL ALSO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ASK YOU SOME 10:51AM 25 QUESTIONS.

1 10:51AM 2 10:51AM 3 10:51AM 10:51AM 4 10:51AM 10:52AM 6 10:52AM 7 8 10:52AM 10:52AM 9 10:52AM 10 10:52AM 11 10:52AM 12 10:52AM 13 10:52AM 14 10:52AM 15 10:52AM 16 10:52AM 17 10:52AM 18 10:52AM 19 10:52AM 20 10:53AM 21 10:53AM 22 10:53AM 23 10:53AM 24 10:53AM 25

THIS PROCESS IS VERY IMPORTANT BECAUSE THE PARTIES AND THE COURT WANT FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JURORS WHO ARE FREE OF ANY PRECONCEIVED IDEA, BELIEF, ATTITUDE, BIAS OR PREJUDICE ABOUT THE OFFENSES CHARGED, OR THE ACCUSED, AND JURORS WHO WILL DECIDE THIS CASE ONLY AFTER HAVING HEARD ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, THE ARGUMENTS OF COUNSEL, THE LAW AS GIVEN TO YOU BY THE COURT, AND THEN ONLY AFTER HAVING DELIBERATED WITH YOUR FELLOW JURORS.

BY THE OATH THAT YOU HAVE TAKEN, YOU ARE OBLIGATED TO ANSWER ALL OF THESE QUESTIONS TRUTHFULLY AND COMPLETELY.

YOU WILL HELP THIS PROCESS BY VOLUNTEERING INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCES, FEELINGS, OR BIASES, IF ANY, EVEN THOUGH YOU BELIEVE YOU CAN PUT THESE FEELINGS, EXPERIENCES, BIASES ASIDE AND SIT AS A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUROR IN THIS CASE.

NOW, LET ME SAY, IF YOU ARE SENSITIVE ABOUT ANSWERING ANY QUESTION, YOU SHOULD PLEASE LET ME KNOW AND WE CAN DISCUSS THE MATTER PRIVATELY WITH COUNSEL.

THIS PROCESS SEEKS TO IDENTIFY ANY ISSUE, INCLUDING THOSE THAT MIGHT BE CONTROVERSIAL, THAT RAISE AN ISSUE OF BIAS OR INABILITY TO BE FAIR OR IMPARTIAL.

NOW, IF ANY JUROR DURING THIS PROCESS FEELS THAT DURING THE COURSE OF THE DISCUSSION AN ISSUE ARISES THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO OR YOU THINK IT MIGHT BE BETTER TO SPEAK IN PRIVATE WITH THE COURT AND COUNSEL, PLEASE LET ME KNOW, AND DON'T BE SHY ABOUT LETTING ME KNOW THAT.

10:53AM 1 2 10:53AM 3 10:53AM 10:53AM 4 10:53AM 10:53AM 6 10:53AM 7 10:53AM 8 10:53AM 9 10:53AM 10 10:53AM 11 10:54AM 12 10:54AM 13 10:54AM 14 10:54AM 15 10:54AM 16 10:54AM 17 10:54AM 18 10:54AM 19 10:54AM 20 10:54AM 21 10:54AM 22 10:54AM 23 10:54AM 24 10:54AM 25

WE'VE ARRANGED PROTOCOLS TO ACCOMPLISH THAT.

SO PLEASE LET ME KNOW IF AT ANY TIME DURING OUR CONVERSATION OR YOUR CONVERSATION WITH THE LAWYERS, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK PRIVATELY ABOUT SOMETHING, WE CAN DO THAT, PLEASE.

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOU EXPRESS ISSUES WITH THE PARTIES. AS I SAID, WE CAN MEET PRIVATELY.

WE DO WANT TO ALLOW JURORS TO BE FRANK AND OPEN, BUT WE ALSO WANT TO, AND I WANT TO, BE CAREFUL TO NOT ALLOW ANYTHING THAT IS SAID TO NEGATIVELY INFLUENCE OR OTHERWISE AFFECT ANY OTHER JUROR.

THIS IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE WANT TO HEAR YOUR CANDID THOUGHTS ON TOPICS, AND IT'S IMPORTANT THAT THOSE EXPRESSIONS, WHILE HEARTFELT AND SINCERE, DO NOT TAINT OR OTHERWISE NEGATIVELY IMPACT THE OPINIONS OR FAIRNESS OF OTHER PROSPECTIVE JURORS.

SO IF YOU FEEL YOU'RE GOING TO SAY SOMETHING THAT, WELL, THIS COULD AFFECT SOMEBODY ELSE, I'LL ASK YOU TO THINK ABOUT THAT. IF YOU WANT TO TALK PRIVATELY ABOUT THAT, WE CAN DO THAT.

IT MAY BE DURING THE COURSE OF OUR CONVERSATION I MAY ASK YOU -- I MAY INTERRUPT YOU AND SAY, YOU KNOW, THIS IS SOMETHING THAT I WOULD LIKE TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT A LITTLE LATER, AND I MEAN NO DISRESPECT, BUT I JUST WANT TO MAINTAIN THAT PROTOCOL FOR THE BENEFIT OF EVERYONE HERE AND YOUR COLLEAGUES.

10:54AM 1 10:54AM 2 3 10:54AM 10:54AM 4 10:55AM 10:55AM 6 10:55AM 7 10:55AM 8 10:55AM 9 10:55AM 10 10:55AM 11 10:55AM 12 10:55AM 13 10:55AM 14 10:55AM 15 10:55AM 16 10:55AM 17 10:55AM 18 10:56AM 19 10:56AM 20 10:56AM 21 10:56AM 22 10:56AM 23 10:56AM 24 10:56AM 25

NOW, IN SELECTING A JURY, EACH SIDE IS PERMITTED A CERTAIN NUMBER OF WHAT ARE CALLED PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES TO PROSPECTIVE JURORS. AN ATTORNEY MAY EXCUSE A POTENTIAL JUROR JUST BECAUSE IN THEIR OPINION THEY FEEL THAT THIS ISN'T THE RIGHT TRIAL FOR THAT JUROR.

CHALLENGES FOR CAUSE ARE CHALLENGES WHERE A PARTY OR THE COURT FEELS THAT A PROSPECTIVE JUROR CANNOT SIT ON A CASE BECAUSE OF A BIAS, INTEREST, OR OTHER INABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL. I WILL DETERMINE IF JURORS SHOULD BE EXCUSED FOR CAUSE.

THE QUESTIONS OF THE COURT AND COUNSEL AND THE ANSWERS OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS ASSIST THE ATTORNEYS IN THEIR DECISIONS.

NOW, FOLLOWING MY QUESTIONS AND THOSE OF THE ATTORNEYS, THE LAWYERS WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE DECISIONS AS TO ANY CHALLENGES THEY MAY HAVE. WE WILL TAKE THIS UP AFTER YOU AND I HAVE MET AND YOU WILL BE NOTIFIED BY THE COURT WHETHER AND WHEN YOU ARE TO RETURN TO COURT.

WE WILL ALSO SELECT SIX ALTERNATE JURORS WHO WILL SIT DURING THE TRIAL. AND SHOULD A MEMBER OF THE SEATED 12 JURORS BE UNABLE TO SIT AS A JUROR, THE ALTERNATE JUROR WILL REPLACE THAT SITTING JUROR AND WILL JOIN THE OTHER JURORS IN DELIBERATION AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE CASE.

IF THERE IS NO NEED FOR SUBSTITUTION OF A SITTING JUROR, THE ALTERNATE JURORS WILL NOT JOIN THE DELIBERATIONS OF THE SITTING JURORS AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE CASE, BUT YOU WILL BE

10:56AM	1	PERMITTED TO LEAVE THE COURT SUBJECT TO BEING RECALLED TO
10:56AM	2	REPLACE A DELIBERATING SHOULD THAT NEED ARISE.
10:56AM	3	NOW, YOU HAVE COMPLETED THE QUESTIONNAIRES LAST WEEK, AND
10:56AM	4	THESE HAVE BEEN VERY HELPFUL TO COUNSEL AND MYSELF IN
10:56AM	5	PREPARATION FOR THIS PROCESS.
10:56AM	6	SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT I HAVE MAY BE REPETITIVE, AND
10:56AM	7	SOME OF THEM ARE REPETITIVE OF QUESTIONS, THEY'RE VERY SIMILAR
10:56AM	8	TO QUESTIONS THAT YOU ANSWERED IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE, AND I WILL
10:56AM	9	HAVE SOME ADDITIONAL FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS TO ASK YOU AS WELL.
10:57AM	10	I'M GOING TO ASK YOU ALL TO PAY ATTENTION, PLEASE LISTEN
10:57AM	11	CAREFULLY TO MY QUESTIONS ASKED, AS WELL AS THE ANSWERS OF THE
10:57AM	12	OTHER PROSPECTIVE JURORS. DOING SO MAY ASSIST YOU IN THINKING
10:57AM	13	ABOUT WHAT YOUR RESPONSE MIGHT BE TO THE QUESTIONS.
10:57AM	14	NOW, LET ME ASK YOU, AND THIS IS FOR ALL OF YOU, DO ANY OF
10:57AM	15	YOU KNOW THE ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS PROSECUTING THIS
10:57AM	16	CASE OR ANY DEFENSE COUNSEL OR ANY MEMBERS OF THEIR TEAMS?
10:57AM	17	ANYONE KNOW THESE LAWYERS OR ANY OF THEIR TEAMS?
10:57AM	18	I SEE NO HANDS.
10:57AM	19	HAVE ANY OF YOU HAD ANY BUSINESS DEALINGS WITH THE
10:57AM	20	ATTORNEYS OR BEEN REPRESENTED BY THEM OR MEMBERS OF THEIR
10:57AM	21	FIRMS?
10:57AM	22	YES, I SEE A HAND HERE. WE'LL GET A MICROPHONE TO YOU,
10:57AM	23	SIR.
10:57AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 113.
10:58AM	25	THE COURT: YES.

10:58AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'VE BEEN AN EXPERT WITNESS
10:58AM	2	RETAINED. I WORKED AT DELOITTE & TOUCHE FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS
10:58AM	3	AS A PARTNER IN THEIR FRAUD AND FORENSIC PRACTICE, AND I'VE
10:58AM	4	PROVIDED LITIGATION SUPPORT AND INVESTIGATION SUPPORT TO THE
10:58AM	5	DEFENDANT'S FIRM.
10:58AM	6	THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW, DO YOU KNOW ANY OF THE
10:58AM	7	LAWYERS THERE?
10:58AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DO NOT. I LEFT DELOITTE BACK
10:58AM	9	IN 2014, SO I HAVEN'T BEEN RETAINED BY THEM. RETENTION BY THAT
10:58AM	10	FIRM WOULD HAVE BEEN PRIOR TO 2014.
10:58AM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WHAT IS THE NAME OF THE FIRM?
10:58AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ORRICK.
10:58AM	13	THE COURT: OKAY.
10:58AM	14	AND DID YOU KNOW, DID YOU KNOW THAT THESE LAWYERS HOW
10:58AM	15	DID YOU KNOW THAT THEY WERE FROM THAT LAW FIRM?
10:58AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK IT WAS DISCLOSED IN WHAT
10:58AM	17	I LEARNED HERE.
10:58AM	18	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE.
10:58AM	19	TELL US AGAIN, HOW LONG AGO WAS YOUR PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT
10:58AM	20	WITH THAT FIRM?
10:58AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WAS AT DELOITTE FROM 1993 TO
10:59AM	22	2014, AND WE WORKED REGULARLY WITH ORRICK DURING THAT PERIOD OF
10:59AM	23	TIME, AND I WAS A PARTNER IN 2007 UNTIL I LEFT THE FIRM IN
10:59AM	24	2014.
10:59AM	25	THE COURT: I SEE. DID YOU DO WORK ON CIVIL CASES

10:59AM	1	AS WELL AS CRIMINAL CASES?
10:59AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: PRIMARILY CIVIL. AS A FORENSIC
10:59AM	3	ACCOUNTANT, WE WOULD DO LARGE SCALE FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS AND
10:59AM	4	DAMAGES CASES.
10:59AM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WERE THOSE IN LITIGATION OR
10:59AM	6	WERE THOSE INVESTIGATIONS OR INTERNAL INVESTIGATIONS OR BOTH?
10:59AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BOTH.
10:59AM	8	THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE TESTIFIED IN COURT BEFORE?
10:59AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE TESTIFIED, BUT NOT WITH
10:59AM	10	ORRICK'S COUNSEL.
10:59AM	11	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY.
10:59AM	12	HAVE YOU BEEN CROSS-EXAMINED BY THE ORRICK LAW FIRM?
10:59AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
10:59AM	14	THE COURT: REMIND ME AGAIN, WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME
10:59AM	15	THAT YOU TESTIFIED AS AN EXPERT?
10:59AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: PROBABLY AROUND 20 2012.
11:00AM	17	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WAS THAT FOR THE ORRICK FIRM?
11:00AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS NOT.
11:00AM	19	THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE CONFIDENCE THAT YOU DO NOT
11:00AM	20	KNOW ANY OF THESE LAWYERS?
11:00AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DO NOT KNOW ANY OF THOSE
11:00AM	22	LAWYERS.
11:00AM	23	THE COURT: OKAY. AND DO YOU STILL HAVE CONTACT
11:00AM	24	WITH INDIVIDUALS AT THE ORRICK LAW FIRM?
11:00AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVEN'T BEEN RETAINED BY

11:00AM	1	ANYBODY AT ORRICK FOR PROBABLY THE LAST SEVEN OR EIGHT YEARS.
11:00AM	2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
11:00AM	3	AND DO YOU HAVE CONTACTS OR FRIENDSHIPS WITH ANYBODY AT
11:00AM	4	THE FIRM?
11:00AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DO HAVE ONE OR TWO ATTORNEYS
11:00AM	6	THAT I KNOW THROUGH BUSINESS, BUT I WOULDN'T CALL THEM PERSONAL
11:00AM	7	FRIENDS.
11:00AM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WHAT, WHAT OFFICE ARE THEY
11:00AM	9	LOCATED IN? WHAT CITY?
11:00AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IN SAN FRANCISCO.
11:00AM	11	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:00AM	12	IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR FORMER EMPLOYMENT WITH
11:00AM	13	ORRICK, BEING HIRED BY ORRICK, THAT YOU THINK WOULD IMPAIR YOUR
11:00AM	14	ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES HERE?
11:00AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOPE.
11:00AM	16	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU'VE HEARD JUDGES TALK ABOUT
11:00AM	17	THIS BEFORE WHEN YOU'VE TESTIFIED I PRESUME?
11:01AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S CORRECT.
11:01AM	19	THE COURT: AND SO DO YOU THINK YOU HAVE FAMILIARITY
11:01AM	20	WITH THE TRIAL PROCESS AND THE NEED TO HAVE JURORS WHO ARE FREE
11:01AM	21	FROM ANY PRECONCEIVED BIAS?
11:01AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OF COURSE, YEAH.
11:01AM	23	THE COURT: AND DO YOU THINK YOU COULD SIT AS A FAIR
11:01AM	24	AND IMPARTIAL JUROR HERE IN THIS CASE?
11:01AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

11:01AM	1	THE COURT: IF THE EVIDENCE SO IF YOU FOUND THE
11:01AM	2	EVIDENCE SUGGESTED THAT YOU SHOULD FIND FOR THE GOVERNMENT,
11:01AM	3	COULD YOU DO THAT?
11:01AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:01AM	5	THE COURT: IF YOU FOUND THAT THE EVIDENCE SUGGESTED
11:01AM	6	THAT YOU SHOULD FIND FOR THE DEFENSE, COULD YOU DO THAT?
11:01AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:01AM	8	THE COURT: AND COULD YOU DO BOTH OF THOSE THINGS
11:01AM	9	WITH AN OPEN AND FAIR MIND?
11:01AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:01AM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:01AM	12	ANYONE ELSE IN RESPONSE TO THIS QUESTION? THIS IS A
11:01AM	13	QUESTION REGARDING THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE PARTIES?
11:01AM	14	I SEE NO HANDS.
11:01AM	15	DO ANY OF YOU KNOW THE DEFENDANT MR. BALWANI? ANY OF YOU
11:02AM	16	KNOW MR. BALWANI?
11:02AM	17	I SEE NO HANDS.
11:02AM	18	DO ANY OF YOU KNOW ANY OF THE WITNESSES THAT WERE ON THE
11:02AM	19	LIST? I RECOGNIZE THAT'S A VERY EXTENSIVE LIST. ANYONE KNOW
11:02AM	20	ANY OF THOSE WITNESSES?
11:02AM	21	I SEE A HAND IN THE FRONT.
11:02AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M JUROR 126.
11:02AM	23	THE TWO NAMES THAT I RECOGNIZE, I'M NOT SURE IF THEY'RE
11:02AM	24	THE EXACT PERSON, BUT I RECOGNIZE THE NAME.
11:02AM	25	BUT I RECOGNIZE THE NAME TERRY HUFF. THAT'S MY BEST

11:02AM	1	FRIEND'S DAD AND I GREW UP AROUND HIM AND I PERSONALLY KNOW
11:02AM	2	HIM, BUT HE'S AN OB/GYN IN ARIZONA AND I HAVE NO IDEA IF THAT'S
11:02AM	3	THE SAME PERSON.
11:02AM	4	AND ALSO CHRISTOPHER LUCAS. HE USED TO BE A PREVIOUS
11:02AM	5	TEAMMATE OF MINE, AND HE'S ORIGINALLY FROM CANADA AND I DON'T
11:02AM	6	KNOW WHAT PROVINCE. AND I THINK HE'S A LAWYER NOW.
11:03AM	7	BUT AGAIN, I'M NOT SURE IF THAT'S THE SAME PERSON.
11:03AM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. MR. LUCAS WAS A TEAMMATE OF
11:03AM	9	YOURS?
11:03AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, COLLEGE TEAMMATE.
11:03AM	11	THE COURT: IN A SPORT?
11:03AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, SORRY.
11:03AM	13	THE COURT: AND WHAT SPORT WAS THAT?
11:03AM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SWIMMING.
11:03AM	15	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. AND YOU SWAM IN
11:03AM	16	COLLEGE?
11:03AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:03AM	18	THE COURT: AND YOU SWAM WITH A CHRISTOPHER LUCAS?
11:03AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, YEAH. HE WAS A CLOSE
11:03AM	20	TEAMMATE, NOT JUST AN ACQUAINTANCE, UH-HUH.
11:03AM	21	THE COURT: AND WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME THAT YOU SAW
11:03AM	22	OR HAD CONNECTION WITH MR. LUCAS?
11:03AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WOULD BE 2007, 2008.
11:03AM	24	THE COURT: AND WAS THAT AT A SOCIAL EVENT?
11:03AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.

11:03AM	1	THE COURT: OKAY. WAS HE A TEAMMATE DID THEY
11:03AM	2	YOU KNOW, I EXPRESS MY IGNORANCE HERE.
11:03AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, THAT'S OKAY.
11:03AM	4	THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU.
11:03AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WE WERE NOT SEPARATE TEAMS. WE
11:03AM	6	TRAINED TOGETHER AND TRAVELLED TOGETHER AND HUNG OUT TOGETHER.
11:04AM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. I UNDERSTAND.
11:04AM	8	AND I WAS JUST TRYING TO KNOW, IN SWIMMING, DID THEY HAVE
11:04AM	9	A MIXED GENDER RELAY TEAM?
11:04AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:04AM	11	THE COURT: I HAVE NEVER SEEN THAT. I WAS JUST
11:04AM	12	CURIOUS WHETHER THAT HAPPENED.
11:04AM	13	ALL RIGHT. AND TERRY HUFF, YOU KNOW AN OB/GYN IN ARIZONA
11:04AM	14	BY THAT NAME?
11:04AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:04AM	16	THE COURT: AND TELL US ABOUT THAT KNOWLEDGE.
11:04AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, MY BEST FRIEND THAT I HAVE
11:04AM	18	KNOWN SINCE I WAS FIVE, AND TO THIS DAY I'M STILL IN TOUCH WITH
11:04AM	19	HER AND HER FAMILY, INCLUDING TERRY. HE WAS LIKE A SECOND DAD
11:04AM	20	TO ME, YEAH.
11:04AM	21	THE COURT: I SEE.
11:04AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I SAW HIM REGULARLY. IT WASN'T
11:04AM	23	JUST LIKE, OH, IT'S JUST SOMEONE WHO I SAW ONCE AND I KNOW OF
11:04AM	24	HIM. LIKE, I KNOW THEIR FAMILY VERY WELL.
11:04AM	25	THE COURT: I SEE. I SEE.

11:04AM	1	SO TELL US, IF THESE WITNESSES WERE TO TESTIFY IN THIS
11:04AM	2	CASE
11:04AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH.
11:04AM	4	THE COURT: AND IT TURNS OUT THEY'RE THE SAME
11:04AM	5	PEOPLE THAT YOU'RE TELLING US ABOUT
11:05AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:05AM	7	THE COURT: HOW WOULD THAT AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO
11:05AM	8	JUDGE AND WEIGH THEIR TESTIMONY FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY TO BOTH
11:05AM	9	SIDES?
11:05AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION. I'M
11:05AM	11	UNSURE HOW I WOULD REACT.
11:05AM	12	THE COURT: RIGHT.
11:05AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BECAUSE IT'S NOT I THINK IT
11:05AM	14	WOULD BE DIFFERENT IF IT WAS SOMEONE I KNEW OF, YOU KNOW,
11:05AM	15	SOMEONE THAT YOU JUST DON'T HAVE A PERSONAL CONNECTION WITH.
11:05AM	16	BUT I KNOW THESE TWO PEOPLE DIRECTLY AND FOR AN EXTENDED
11:05AM	17	AMOUNT OF TIME.
11:05AM	18	THE COURT: RIGHT.
11:05AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO I WOULDN'T TO INTENTIONALLY
11:05AM	20	TRY TO BE BIASSED. BUT, YOU KNOW, HOW COULD YOU CONTROL THAT?
11:05AM	21	THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU FOR THAT.
11:05AM	22	ONE OF THE JOBS OF A JUROR IS, YOUR JOB IS TO WEIGH
11:05AM	23	CREDIBILITY.
11:05AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT.
11:05AM	25	THE COURT: WITNESSES WILL SIT HERE. THEY'LL OFFER

11:05AM	1	THEIR TESTIMONY. THE LAWYERS WILL ASK THEM QUESTIONS, AND IT'S
11:05AM	2	THE JURY'S JOB TO DECIDE WHETHER TO BELIEVE OR NOT TO BELIEVE A
11:05AM	3	WITNESS.
11:05AM	4	A JUROR CAN BELIEVE EVERYTHING A WITNESS SAYS, NONE OF IT,
11:05AM	5	OR PART OF IT, AND USE THAT IN THE CALCULUS OF DECIDING THE
11:06AM	6	FACTS OF THE CASE.
11:06AM	7	ONE THING I SHOULD TELL YOU, AND MAYBE YOU KNOW THIS,
11:06AM	8	JURORS ARE ACTUALLY JUDGES, AND YOU GET TO JUDGE THE FACTS OF
11:06AM	9	THE CASE. THAT'S ENTIRELY YOUR PROVINCE AS A JUROR. YOU GET
11:06AM	10	TO DECIDE WHAT HAPPENED. YOU GET TO DECIDE WHAT PEOPLE SAID.
11:06AM	11	YOU GET TO PUT YOUR THOUGHTS ABOUT CREDIBILITY OF THAT
11:06AM	12	TESTIMONY. THAT'S YOUR JOB.
11:06AM	13	YOU WILL APPLY THE LAW THAT I GIVE TO YOU TO THE FACTS AS
11:06AM	14	YOU FIND THEM AS JURORS.
11:06AM	15	AND AGAIN, PART OF THAT PROCESS IS WEIGHING THE
11:06AM	16	CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES.
11:06AM	17	SO TELL US AGAIN ABOUT YOUR THOUGHTS IF THESE TWO PEOPLE
11:06AM	18	ARE WHO YOU THINK THEY ARE
11:06AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT.
11:06AM	20	THE COURT: AND THEY TESTIFY ABOUT SOMETHING
11:06AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT.
11:06AM	22	THE COURT: HOW WOULD YOU, HOW WOULD YOU SIT AS A
11:06AM	23	JUROR IN WEIGHING THE CREDIBILITY OF THEIR TESTIMONY?
11:06AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT. SO IN THIS PLATFORM,
11:06AM	25	THAT'S THE THAT IS THE PERSPECTIVE YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO

11:07AM	1	FUNCTION OFF OF, RIGHT, IS WHAT PEOPLE ARE TELLING YOU?
11:07AM	2	BUT THE HUMAN PART OF YOU IS SUCH THAT YOU KNOW THAT
11:07AM	3	PERSON, SO EVEN IF I, IF I SAID, I'M ONLY GOING TO LISTEN TO
11:07AM	4	WHAT THE PERSON TELLS ME, THAT'S ABSOLUTELY IMPOSSIBLE TO NOT
11:07AM	5	BE SLIGHTLY COLORED BY WHO YOU THINK THAT
11:07AM	6	THE COURT: SURE.
11:07AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: PERSON IS.
11:07AM	8	BUT, YOU KNOW, I COULD SAY THAT I COULD TRY MY BEST,
11:07AM	9	RIGHT, TO BE UNBIASSED, BUT THAT'S JUST THAT'S MY HONEST
11:07AM	10	OPINION.
11:07AM	11	THE COURT: NO. THANK YOU. THIS IS WHY WE ASK
11:07AM	12	THESE QUESTIONS, AND I APPRECIATE YOUR CANDOR. THE LAWYERS DO
11:07AM	13	AS WELL. THANK YOU FOR TELLING US ABOUT THAT.
11:07AM	14	ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE ME TO KNOW ABOUT THIS?
11:07AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. HUH-UH.
11:07AM	16	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:07AM	17	ANYONE ELSE? THE QUESTION IS KNOWLEDGE OF ANY OF THE
11:07AM	18	WITNESSES.
11:08AM	19	I SEE NO HANDS.
11:08AM	20	NOW, AS I MENTIONED TO YOU, THIS CASE RELATES TO
11:08AM	21	MR. BALWANI AND ALLEGATIONS OF WIRE FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY TO
11:08AM	22	COMMIT WIRE FRAUD AS INDICATED IN THE INDICTMENT.
11:08AM	23	I WANT TO NEXT ASK A QUESTION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT ANYONE
11:08AM	24	HAS READ OR HEARD ANY NEWS COVERAGE ABOUT THIS CASE.
11:08AM	25	ANYBODY HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THAT?

11:08AM	1	OKAY. WE'LL PASS THE MICROPHONE AROUND.
11:08AM	2	WHAT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU AND THE QUESTION IS, HAVE YOU
11:08AM	3	HEARD OR KNOW OF ANY NEWS COVERAGE OF THE CASE? THE PEOPLE WHO
11:08AM	4	RAISED THEIR HAND, I'M GOING TO PRESUME THAT ANSWER IS YES
11:08AM	5	BECAUSE OTHERWISE YOU WOULD NOT RAISE YOUR HAND.
11:08AM	6	WHEN I ASK YOU THIS, WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IS, FIRST
11:08AM	7	OF ALL, THE SOURCE OF THE INFORMATION.
11:08AM	8	I'M NOT GOING TO ASK YOU WHAT YOU'VE HEARD, BUT IF YOU
11:09AM	9	COULD TELL ME, PLEASE, THE SOURCE OF THE INFORMATION.
11:09AM	10	SO WHOSE HAND IS UP? OKAY.
11:09AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HI. JUROR NUMBER 117.
11:09AM	12	THE COURT: YES, SIR.
11:09AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE HEARD COVERAGE NOT SO
11:09AM	14	MUCH SPECIFICALLY ABOUT THIS DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE, BUT MORE
11:09AM	15	GENERALLY ABOUT THE COMPANY.
11:09AM	16	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE
11:09AM	17	INFORMATION?
11:09AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NPR, NATIONAL PUBLIC RADIO.
11:09AM	19	THE COURT: OKAY. AND CAN YOU TELL ME THE FREQUENCY
11:09AM	20	OF YOUR THE BASIS OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE?
11:09AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: DRIVING TO AND FROM WORK,
11:09AM	22	LISTENING TO THE RADIO, HEARING PRIMARILY HEADLINES STATING
11:09AM	23	FACTS AND INFORMATION ABOUT THESE ISSUES. NOT SO MUCH DETAILED
11:09AM	24	ANALYSIS OR DEEP DIVES OR COMMENTARY, MORE JUST GENERAL
11:10AM	25	HEADLINES.

11:10AM	1	THE COURT: OKAY. CAN I ASK YOU, DID YOU FOLLOW ANY
11:10AM	2	OF THE CASE? AND BY THAT I MEAN DID YOU PURSUE ADDITIONAL
11:10AM	3	INFORMATION OFF THE INTERNET, NEWSPAPERS, RADIO, OR WAS THIS A
11:10AM	4	NEWS ITEM THAT CAME UP WITH SOME FREQUENCY IN YOUR COMMUTE?
11:10AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DID PURSUE SOME ADDITIONAL
11:10AM	6	INFORMATION, HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH COWORKERS, FAMILY MEMBERS,
11:10AM	7	FRIENDS REGARDING THE ISSUE.
11:10AM	8	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY.
11:10AM	9	AND WHAT WAS THE FREQUENCY OF THOSE CONVERSATIONS?
11:10AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WEEKLY.
11:10AM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. AND DID ANY OTHER SOURCE OF
11:10AM	12	INFORMATION ABOUT OR ANYTHING ELSE YOU DID TO
11:10AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THERE IS THERE HAVE BEEN SOME
11:10AM	14	TELEVISION SHOWS THAT HAVE COME OUT RECENTLY.
11:11AM	15	THE COURT: AND DID YOU HAVE YOU SEEN THOSE?
11:11AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVEN'T WATCHED THEM FULLY,
11:11AM	17	BUT I'VE WATCHED PREVIEWS.
11:11AM	18	THE COURT: OKAY. TELL ME JUST WHAT SHOWS WERE THAT
11:11AM	19	YOU SAW.
11:11AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THERE WAS ONE ON HULU THAT JUST
11:11AM	21	RECENTLY CAME OUT, AND I THINK IT WAS CALLED "THE DROPOUT."
11:11AM	22	THE COURT: AND YOU SAW A PREVIEW?
11:11AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I SAW IT ON HULU AND IT
11:11AM	24	KIND OF GIVES YOU AN IDEA OF WHAT IT IS ABOUT.
11:11AM	25	AND THAT WAS PRIOR TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE LAST WEEK, AND I

11:11AM	1	DECIDED IF I WAS GOING TO BE A PARTICIPANT THAT I SHOULD
11:11AM	2	PROBABLY NOT PURSUE INFORMATION ABOUT THAT COMPANY AND THE
11:11AM	3	CHARGES.
11:11AM	4	THE COURT: I SEE.
11:11AM	5	SO YOU SAW THE PREVIEW BEFORE YOU FILLED OUT THE
11:11AM	6	QUESTIONNAIRE?
11:11AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S CORRECT.
11:11AM	8	THE COURT: AND THEN YOU STOPPED, YOU DIDN'T GO
11:11AM	9	FURTHER?
11:11AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S CORRECT.
11:11AM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD. GREAT. THANK YOU FOR
11:11AM	12	THAT.
11:12AM	13	LET ME ASK YOU, IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR EXPOSURE,
11:12AM	14	I'LL JUST CALL IT THAT, THAT YOU THINK WILL IMPAIR YOUR ABILITY
11:12AM	15	TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES? AND THAT'S A YES OR NO
11:12AM	16	QUESTION.
11:12AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T BELIEVE THAT ANY OF THE
11:12AM	18	EXPOSURE OR MY KNOWLEDGE WOULD IMPAIR ME TO BE IMPARTIAL OR
11:12AM	19	BIASSED.
11:12AM	20	THE COURT: CAN YOU FAIR TO BOTH SIDES?
11:12AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I CAN.
11:12AM	22	THE COURT: AND TO THE DEFENSE?
11:12AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:12AM	24	THE COURT: AND TO THE PROSECUTION?
11:12AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND TO THE PROSECUTION.

11:12AM	1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
11:12AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU.
11:12AM	3	THE COURT: YES, RIGHT NEXT TO YOU.
11:12AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M JUROR 116.
11:12AM	5	THE COURT: YES.
11:12AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO THE EXTENT OF MY KNOWLEDGE
11:12AM	7	COMES PRIMARILY FROM NEWS HEADLINES. SO MY SOURCE OF NEWS ARE
11:12AM	8	THINGS LIKE NPR, "WALL STREET JOURNAL," GOOGLE NEWS, APPLE
11:12AM	9	NEWS.
11:12AM	10	SO I AM AWARE OF THE COMPANY, BUT I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY
11:13AM	11	DETAILS. THIS HAS NOT BEEN A CASE THAT I FOLLOWED IN ANY
11:13AM	12	DETAIL WHATSOEVER.
11:13AM	13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
11:13AM	14	JUST LIKE JUROR 117, ON YOUR COMMUTE YOU'VE LISTENED TO
11:13AM	15	NPR AND HEADLINES AND THAT'S WHAT YOU'VE HEARD?
11:13AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T REALLY LISTEN TO AUDIO
11:13AM	17	NEWS, AND IT'S LOOKING AT HEADLINES. AND OF COURSE I'M AWARE
11:13AM	18	OF THE COMPANY.
11:13AM	19	BUT I DO NOT FOLLOW THE NEWS ARTICLES AND IT HAS NOT BEEN
11:13AM	20	SOMETHING OF INTEREST.
11:13AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:13AM	22	SAME QUESTION THAT I PUT TO JUROR NUMBER 117. BASED ON
11:13AM	23	THE UNIVERSE OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE CASE, IS THERE
11:13AM	24	ANYTHING ABOUT THAT THAT YOU BELIEVE WOULD IMPAIR YOUR ABILITY
11:13AM	25	TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES HERE?
		i

11:13AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:13AM	2	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:13AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I CAN BE FAIR TO
11:13AM	4	MR. BALWANI, AS WELL AS TO THE PROSECUTION OR PROSECUTORS.
11:14AM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT. THANK YOU.
11:14AM	6	ANYTHING ELSE YOU WANT ME TO KNOW ABOUT THAT?
11:14AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, SIR. THANK YOU.
11:14AM	8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
11:14AM	9	I THINK JUROR 111?
11:14AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:14AM	11	THE COURT: YES, SIR.
11:14AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SAME HERE. I JUST KNOW JUST
11:14AM	13	ABOUT THE COMPANY AND I HEARD IT ON THE NEWS.
11:14AM	14	THE COURT: AND IF YOU COULD KEEP THE MICROPHONE
11:14AM	15	YEAH, SPEAK INTO THE MICROPHONE.
11:14AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OKAY.
11:14AM	17	THE COURT: SO YOU'VE HEARD ABOUT THIS THROUGH NEWS?
11:14AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, THE NEWS
11:14AM	19	THE COURT: I SEE.
11:14AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND WHAT CAUGHT MY INTEREST
11:14AM	21	FOR THAT IS ELIZABETH HOLMES WAS A DROPOUT FROM STANFORD, AND
11:14AM	22	SO THAT CAUGHT MY INTEREST.
11:14AM	23	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, DID YOU, DID YOU FOLLOW THE
11:14AM	24	CASE? DID YOU
11:14AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT TOTALLY. NOT REALLY.

11:14AM	1	BUT I KNOW MY WIFE IS A CLINICAL PHARMACIST, SO WE TALKED
11:15AM	2	ABOUT IT, YOU KNOW, JUST IN PASSING.
11:15AM	3	THE COURT: I SEE.
11:15AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BUT NOT WE DIDN'T REALLY
11:15AM	5	FOLLOW IT. THAT WAS
11:15AM	6	THE COURT: I SEE.
11:15AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND ALL OF THAT BEFORE, YOU KNOW
11:15AM	8	I DID THAT BEFORE.
11:15AM	9	THE COURT: I'M SORRY. IF YOU COULD KEEP THE
11:15AM	10	MICROPHONE.
11:15AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M SORRY.
11:15AM	12	THE COURT: YES.
11:15AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO SORT OF DISTANCE, THIS WAS
11:15AM	14	"20/20." THAT'S WHEN I SAW SOMETHING.
11:15AM	15	THE COURT: DID YOU SEE ANY MOVIES OR READ ANY BOOKS
11:15AM	16	OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT ABOUT THE CASE?
11:15AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MOSTLY WHAT I SAW ON T.V.
11:15AM	18	THE COURT: I SEE.
11:15AM	19	AND IS THAT NEWS?
11:15AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ON THE NEWS.
11:15AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:15AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ON THE NEWS.
11:15AM	23	AND I THINK MY WIFE SHOWED ME SOMETHING ON YOUTUBE ALSO
11:15AM	24	BEFORE.
11:15AM	25	THE COURT: DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING ABOUT THAT, THE

11:15AM	1	YOUTUBE?
11:15AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS JUST PART OF THE NEWS.
11:16AM	3	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY.
11:16AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT WAS SHOWN SOME TIME AGO.
11:16AM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME, THE MOST
11:16AM	6	RECENT TIME, PARDON ME, THE MOST RECENT TIME THAT YOU'VE READ
11:16AM	7	SOMETHING ABOUT THE CASE?
11:16AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT WAS I THINK NOW, WHEN
11:16AM	9	I WHEN I FILLED OUT THE FORM
11:16AM	10	THE COURT: YES.
11:16AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YOU KNOW, I LOOKED AT MY
11:16AM	12	WHEN I WENT HOME, I LOOKED AT MY CELL PHONE JUST TO SEE THE
11:16AM	13	ENDING AND WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THAT.
11:16AM	14	THE COURT: AND WHAT DID YOU LOOK FOR? WHAT DID YOU
11:16AM	15	DO ON YOUR CELL PHONE?
11:16AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I LOOKED UP AGAIN ON
11:16AM	17	ELIZABETH HOLMES.
11:16AM	18	THE COURT: OH, I SEE. YOU DID YOU PUT IN HER
11:16AM	19	NAME AND FOUND SOME INFORMATION?
11:16AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, YES.
11:16AM	21	THE COURT: AND THEN YOU GAINED INFORMATION FROM
11:16AM	22	THAT? THAT GAVE YOU SOME INFORMATION?
11:16AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, BECAUSE I DIDN'T REALLY
11:16AM	24	KNOW HOW IT ENDED.
11:17AM	25	THE COURT: I SEE. AND YOU DID THAT AFTER YOU

11:17AM	1	FILLED OUT THE QUESTIONNAIRE?
11:17AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT.
11:17AM	3	THE COURT: AND THAT'S THE MOST RECENT EXPOSURE
11:17AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:17AM	5	THE COURT: YOU'VE HAD?
11:17AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:17AM	7	THE COURT: AND LET ME ASK YOU, JUROR NUMBER 111,
11:17AM	8	THE SAME THING I ASKED YOUR COLLEAGUES 116 AND 117. IS THERE
11:17AM	9	ANYTHING ABOUT THAT INFORMATION, THOSE DISCUSSIONS, YOUR
11:17AM	10	UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE, IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
11:17AM	11	THAT YOU BELIEVE WILL IMPAIR AND AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR
11:17AM	12	AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES?
11:17AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SOMETHING THAT HAPPENED TO ME
11:17AM	14	PERSONALLY IN MY PERSONAL LIFE
11:17AM	15	THE COURT: YES.
11:17AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'VE THOUGHT ABOUT IT AND I'M
11:17AM	17	A LITTLE UNSURE. I PROBABLY MAY WANT TO TALK TO YOU IN PRIVATE
11:17AM	18	ABOUT THAT.
11:17AM	19	THE COURT: SURE, OKAY.
11:17AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO
11:17AM	21	INFLUENCE
11:17AM	22	THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE YOUR
11:17AM	23	CONSIDERATION.
11:17AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT.
11:17AM	25	THE COURT: SO THERE'S SOMETHING YOU HAVE SOME

11:17AM	1	PERSONAL THOUGHTS THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SHARE WITH US IN
11:17AM	2	PRIVATE?
11:17AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:17AM	4	THE COURT: GREAT. OKAY. THANK YOU FOR THAT.
11:18AM	5	THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SIR. WE'LL SEE WHAT WE CAN DO ABOUT
11:18AM	6	THAT.
11:18AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OKAY.
11:18AM	8	THE COURT: OTHERS? YES. IS THIS ARE YOU
11:18AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M JUROR 115.
11:18AM	10	THE COURT: 115. THANK YOU, SIR.
11:18AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND VERY MUCH LIKE JUROR 117 AND
11:18AM	12	116, MY ACQUAINTANCE WITH THE DEFENDANT'S COMPANY IS WAS
11:18AM	13	THROUGH MORNING NEWS COVERAGE ON MY LOCAL NPR STATIONS. BUT I
11:18AM	14	DIDN'T FOLLOW THE CASE, YOU KNOW, IN ANY DETAIL.
11:18AM	15	I RECOGNIZED THE DEFENDANT'S NAME IN THE CONTEXT OF THAT
11:18AM	16	COVERAGE, BUT THAT'S THE EXTENT OF MY ACQUAINTANCE.
11:18AM	17	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:18AM	18	I'VE BEEN CALLING THIS A UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE, AND MAYBE
11:18AM	19	THAT OVERSTATES IT. THAT SOUNDS VERY GRAND, DOESN'T IT? BUT I
11:18AM	20	HOPE THAT CAPTURES THE SENTIMENT HERE.
11:18AM	21	IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE OF THE
11:19AM	22	CASE THAT YOU THINK WILL IMPAIR YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND
11:19AM	23	IMPARTIAL, EXCUSE ME, TO BOTH SIDES?
11:19AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:19AM	25	THE COURT: CAN YOU GIVE MR. BALWANI A FAIR TRIAL?

11:19AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:19AM	2	THE COURT: CAN YOU GIVE THE GOVERNMENT A FAIR
11:19AM	3	TRIAL?
11:19AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:19AM	5	THE COURT: WILL YOU BE FAIR TO BOTH OF THEM?
11:19AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:19AM	7	THE COURT: WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO SIT AND KEEP AN
11:19AM	8	OPEN MIND THROUGHOUT THE CASE, NOT MAKE ANY DECISIONS ABOUT THE
11:19AM	9	CASE UNTIL, UNTIL YOU HAVE BEEN INSTRUCTED BY THE COURT AND
11:19AM	10	UNTIL YOU GO TO THE DELIBERATION ROOM TO THEN BEGIN DISCUSSIONS
11:19AM	11	WITH YOUR FELLOW JURORS? COULD YOU DO THAT AS A JUROR?
11:19AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:19AM	13	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
11:19AM	14	THERE WERE OTHER HANDS. GOOD MORNING.
11:19AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING. MY NUMBER IS 108.
11:19AM	16	THE COURT: YES.
11:19AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND MY EXPOSURE TO THE CASE WAS
11:19AM	18	I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY, BUT IT WAS EITHER CNN.COM, YAHOO NEWS,
11:20AM	19	OR NPR.
11:20AM	20	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WAS IT NEWS YOU FOLLOW
11:20AM	21	THOSE NEWS CHANNELS, AND YOU RECEIVED INFORMATION ABOUT
11:20AM	22	COVERAGE OF THE EVENT THROUGH THAT?
11:20AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT. I ONLY READ EITHER
11:20AM	24	READ OR LISTENED TO IT ONE TIME, AND ONCE I WAS FILLING OUT THE
11:20AM	25	QUESTIONNAIRE, IT KIND OF RANG A BELL THROUGHOUT THE I THINK

11:20AM	1	I FEEL LIKE THE LAST FEW QUESTIONS, THAT'S WHEN IT REALLY HIT
11:20AM	2	ME, OH, I HAVE READ SOMETHING ABOUT THIS BEFORE.
11:20AM	3	THE COURT: OH, I SEE.
11:20AM	4	THE QUESTIONNAIRE ITSELF JOGGED YOUR MEMORY ABOUT IT?
11:20AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:20AM	6	THE COURT: BUT FOR THAT, YOU DIDN'T REALLY HAVE A
11:20AM	7	RECALL ABOUT IT? IS THAT FAIR TO SAY?
11:20AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, CORRECT.
11:20AM	9	THE COURT: I SEE. SO AM I CORRECT TO ASSUME THAT
11:20AM	10	YOU FILLING OUT THE QUESTIONNAIRE WAS THE MOST RECENT TIME
11:20AM	11	THAT YOU HAD THOUGHTS ABOUT THE CASE?
11:21AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
11:21AM	13	THE COURT: I SEE. AND WHEN WERE YOU LISTENING TO
11:21AM	14	OR READING NPR, CNN?
11:21AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DO IT ON A DAILY BASIS, BUT I
11:21AM	16	DO NOT RECALL. MAYBE IT'S BEEN A MONTH OR SO.
11:21AM	17	THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:21AM	18	WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THEN: YOUR UNIVERSE OF EXPOSURE TO
11:21AM	19	THE CASE, IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT THAT YOU BELIEVE WILL
11:21AM	20	IMPAIR OR AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH
11:21AM	21	SIDES?
11:21AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:21AM	23	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY DOUBT ABOUT THAT?
11:21AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:21AM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU CAN BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI?

11:21AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:21AM	2	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE GOVERNMENT?
11:21AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:21AM	4	THE COURT: OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE YOU WANT US TO KNOW
11:21AM	5	ABOUT THIS?
11:21AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, YOUR HONOR.
11:21AM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. I THINK THE TAKE AWAY
11:21AM	8	SO FAR IS THAT NPR IS DOING A GOOD JOB OF GETTING THEIR
11:21AM	9	COVERAGE FOR THEIR STATION.
11:21AM	10	(LAUGHTER.)
11:21AM	11	THE COURT: LET'S PASS THIS TO THE NEXT INDIVIDUAL.
11:22AM	12	IS THAT JUROR 12?
11:22AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I'M JUROR 12.
11:22AM	14	THE COURT: YES.
11:22AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. LIKE THE PRIOR JURORS, I
11:22AM	16	ALSO MY MEMORY WAS ALSO JOGGED BY FILLING OUT THE
11:22AM	17	QUESTIONNAIRE.
11:22AM	18	I HAVE HEARD OF THIS CASE AND ELIZABETH HOLMES FROM PRIOR
11:22AM	19	NEWS RADIO, NPR, AND ALSO THROUGH THE INTERNET. I BELIEVE IT
11:22AM	20	WAS BING. I JUST CLICKED ON THE HEADLINES, AND MY I HAVE A
11:22AM	21	VAGUE RECOLLECTION REGARDING ISSUES WITH CLAIMING BLOOD TESTS.
11:22AM	22	THE COURT: YES, AND THAT'S WHAT YOU SAW ON THE
11:22AM	23	NEWS?
11:22AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:22AM	25	THE COURT: I SEE. AND HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?

11:22AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I BELIEVE IT WAS A COUPLE OF
11:22AM	2	MONTHS AGO.
11:22AM	3	THE COURT: I SEE. IS THAT THE MOST RECENT EXPOSURE
11:22AM	4	THAT YOU'VE HAD TO THE CASE?
11:22AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, YES, YES, THAT I REMEMBER.
11:22AM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY.
11:22AM	7	AND YOU TOLD ME THAT WHEN YOU WERE FILLING OUT THE
11:23AM	8	QUESTIONNAIRE, JUST LIKE JUROR NUMBER 108, YOUR MEMORY WAS
11:23AM	9	JOGGED?
11:23AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:23AM	11	THE COURT: RIGHT. IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT PRIOR TO
11:23AM	12	THAT, THIS HADN'T BEEN IN YOUR REALLY AT THE TOP OF YOUR
11:23AM	13	MIND, SHALL I SAY?
11:23AM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, NO, NO.
11:23AM	15	THE COURT: OKAY. AND IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR
11:23AM	16	UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE THAT YOU THINK WILL IMPAIR OR
11:23AM	17	AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES?
11:23AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, NO, NO.
11:23AM	19	OH, AND I ALSO WANTED TO MENTION THAT I RECOGNIZED ONE OF
11:23AM	20	THE POTENTIAL WITNESS NAMES.
11:23AM	21	THE COURT: YES.
11:23AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ERIC TOPOL. IF IT'S THE SAME
11:23AM	23	PERSON, I BELIEVE HE'S THE EDITOR-IN-CHIEF FOR CLEVELAND
11:23AM	24	CLINIC, AND I DO RECALL IT IS THE SAME PERSON READING SOME
11:23AM	25	EDITORIALS THAT HE WROTE ABOUT A PARTICULAR COVID-19 DRUG AND

11:24AM	1	HIS DISAPPROVAL OF THIS RAPID, LIKE, ADOPTION BY THE MEDICAL
11:24AM	2	COMMUNITY.
11:24AM	3	THE COURT: I SEE. REGARDING THE COVID SITUATION?
11:24AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, YES, IF THIS IS THE SAME
11:24AM	5	PERSON.
11:24AM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S THE ARTICLE THAT YOU READ
11:24AM	7	BY THIS ERIC TOPOL?
11:24AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:24AM	9	THE COURT: I SEE. HAVE YOU READ ANYTHING BY
11:24AM	10	ERIC TOPOL OR HEARD ANYTHING BY ERIC TOPPLE ATTRIBUTED TO THIS
11:24AM	11	CASE?
11:24AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:24AM	13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
11:24AM	14	AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT I GOT YOUR ANSWER. YOU MAY
11:24AM	15	HAVE, AND I APOLOGIZE IF I MISSED IT.
11:24AM	16	CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI IN THIS CASE IF YOU'RE
11:24AM	17	SEATED AS A JUROR?
11:24AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:24AM	19	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE GOVERNMENT IN
11:24AM	20	THIS CASE IF YOU'RE SEATED AS A JUROR?
11:24AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:24AM	22	THE COURT: OKAY. NO DOUBT ABOUT THAT?
11:24AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:24AM	24	THE COURT: YOU HAVE A DOUBT OR YOU HAVE NO DOUBT?
11:25AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SORRY. NO DOUBT.

11:25AM	1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY
11:25AM	2	MUCH.
11:25AM	3	WERE THERE ANY OTHER HANDS IN THE BOX HERE?
11:25AM	4	LET'S PASS IT DOWN IF YOU WOULD, PLEASE.
11:25AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I PUT IT IN MY QUESTIONNAIRE,
11:25AM	6	BUT ALSO SIMILARLY
11:25AM	7	THE COURT: I'M SORRY. YOU'RE JUROR NUMBER?
11:25AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 119.
11:25AM	9	THE COURT: THANK YOU. THANK YOU. YES, I'M SORRY.
11:25AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT THE QUESTIONNAIRE HAD
11:25AM	11	JOGGED MY MEMORY, BUT I'M NOT SURE WHAT TELEVISION SHOW IT WAS,
11:25AM	12	BUT I KNOW THAT I'VE SEEN, LIKE, A PARTIAL SHOW. I FEEL LIKE
11:25AM	13	IT WAS OVER A YEAR AGO, THOUGH. I COULD BE WRONG.
11:25AM	14	THE COURT: AND YOU DON'T REMEMBER THE TITLE OF THE
11:25AM	15	SHOW?
11:25AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T. I REMEMBER THE WHAT
11:25AM	17	THE, LIKE, INTRO SCREEN LOOKED LIKE, BUT, NO. IT WAS
11:25AM	18	ELIZABETH HOLMES IN A BLACK TURTLENECK.
11:25AM	19	THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU RECALL WHETHER YOU WATCHED
11:25AM	20	THE ENTIRETY OF THAT SHOW? PART OF IT?
11:25AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DID NOT.
11:25AM	22	THE COURT: I'M SORRY?
11:25AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DID NOT.
11:25AM	24	THE COURT: I SEE. AND DO YOU HAVE MEMORY OF WHAT
11:25AM	25	YOU SAW? I'M NOT ASKING YOU TO TELL ME, BUT DO YOU HAVE MEMORY

11:26AM	1	OF WHAT YOU SAW?
11:26AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT REALLY, HONESTLY.
11:26AM	3	THE COURT: OKAY. ANY OTHER EXPOSURE TO ANYTHING
11:26AM	4	ABOUT THE CASE?
11:26AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:26AM	6	THE COURT: LET ME ASK YOU MY QUESTION ABOUT YOUR
11:26AM	7	UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE.
11:26AM	8	IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT EXPOSURE THAT YOU BELIEVE
11:26AM	9	WILL IMPAIR OR AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO
11:26AM	10	BOTH SIDES HERE?
11:26AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:26AM	12	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:26AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:26AM	14	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE GOVERNMENT?
11:26AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:26AM	16	THE COURT: ANY DOUBT ABOUT THAT?
11:26AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:26AM	18	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU.
11:26AM	19	I THINK IN FRONT OF YOU.
11:26AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 124.
11:26AM	21	THE COURT: YES. THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.
11:26AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING.
11:26AM	23	SO I HAVE BEEN FOLLOWING UP WITH THE PREVIOUS TRIAL ON A
11:26AM	24	DAILY BASIS.
11:26AM	25	THE COURT: YES.

11:26AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND I ALSO WATCHED THE
11:26AM	2	"60 MINUTES" SPECIAL REPORT CONCERNING THERANOS.
11:26AM	3	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:26AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO I LEARNED A LOT ABOUT IT, AND
11:26AM	5	I HEARD THE TESTIMONY OF THE SOME OF THE PERSONS INVOLVED IN
11:27AM	6	THIS CASE
11:27AM	7	THE COURT: I SEE.
11:27AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THROUGH "60 MINUTES."
11:27AM	9	THE COURT: I SEE.
11:27AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND I WAS VERY
11:27AM	11	THE COURT: I'M SORRY, LET ME ASK PARDON ME FOR
11:27AM	12	INTERRUPTING YOU.
11:27AM	13	SO YOU SAW "60 MINUTES."
11:27AM	14	IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU FOLLOWED THE CASE, YOU HAD INTEREST IN
11:27AM	15	FOLLOWING THE CASE?
11:27AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:27AM	17	THE COURT: I SEE. AND YOU FOLLOWED IT THROUGH
11:27AM	18	NEWSPAPERS AND MEDIA?
11:27AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MAINLY FROM THE T.V. NEWS.
11:27AM	20	THE COURT: T.V. NEWS. OKAY.
11:27AM	21	AND DID YOU DO INDEPENDENT RESEARCH ON YOUR OWN ABOUT THE
11:27AM	22	CASE? DID YOU SEARCH THE INTERNET OR OTHER SOURCES?
11:27AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ACTUALLY, I ONLY LOOKED AT THE
11:27AM	24	HISTORY OF THIS COMPANY
11:27AM	25	THE COURT: I SEE.

11:27AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THROUGH THE INTERNET.
11:27AM	2	BECAUSE I WAS WONDERING ABOUT THE MACHINE.
11:27AM	3	THE COURT: YES.
11:27AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE BLOOD TEST MACHINE. SO I
11:27AM	5	WAS LOOKING FOR WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THAT MACHINE.
11:27AM	6	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:27AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO IT DID NOT WORK.
11:27AM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. SO LET ME ASK YOU, YOU HAVE
11:27AM	9	EXPOSURE, YOU WERE INTERESTED IN THIS. AND LET ME ASK YOU JUST
11:28AM	10	ON YOUR UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE, JUST BASED ON WHAT YOU KNOW AND
11:28AM	11	WHAT YOU'RE EXPOSED TO, DO YOU BELIEVE YOU COULD BE A FAIR AND
11:28AM	12	IMPARTIAL JUROR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:28AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT DEPENDS ON THE EVIDENCE.
11:28AM	14	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:28AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:28AM	16	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE GOVERNMENT?
11:28AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:28AM	18	THE COURT: OKAY. AND AS TO MR. BALWANI, CAN YOU BE
11:28AM	19	FAIR TO HIM?
11:28AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WILL BE FAIR, BUT I DON'T KNOW
11:28AM	21	IF THERE'S A PRECONCEPTION WITHIN ME.
11:28AM	22	THE COURT: YES.
11:28AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BECAUSE I USED TO WORK IN THE
11:28AM	24	HEALTH CARE.
11:28AM	25	THE COURT: I SEE.

11:28AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO IT'S VERY IMPORTANT FOR ME
11:28AM	2	FOR
11:28AM	3	THE COURT: YEAH, LET ME I'M SORRY, I KEEP
11:28AM	4	INTERRUPTING YOU, AND I DO BEG YOUR PARDON.
11:28AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SURE.
11:28AM	6	THE COURT: WHAT I WANT TO KNOW, AND WE WANT TO
11:28AM	7	KNOW, ARE YOUR CANDID BELIEFS AND YOUR BELIEFS.
11:28AM	8	WHAT I DON'T WANT TO DO IS TO HAVE YOU SAY SOMETHING THAT
11:28AM	9	MIGHT INFECT, OR MIGHT AFFECT SOME OTHER JUROR JUST BECAUSE OF
11:29AM	10	A PERSONAL BELIEF.
11:29AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:29AM	12	THE COURT: IF YOU WANT TO EXPRESS SOMETHING LIKE
11:29AM	13	THAT, I'M HAPPY TO RECEIVE THAT IN PRIVATE.
11:29AM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH.
11:29AM	15	THE COURT: BUT MY GENERAL QUESTION IS, BASED ON
11:29AM	16	YOUR BACKGROUND AND KNOWLEDGE AND EXPOSURE AND THIS QUESTION
11:29AM	17	IS REALLY ABOUT EXPOSURE TO MEDIA AND OTHER INFORMATION JUST
11:29AM	18	ON THAT, DO YOU THINK YOU COULD BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI, OR DO
11:29AM	19	YOU THINK THAT'S GOING TO BE AN ISSUE?
11:29AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S GOING TO BE AN ISSUE.
11:29AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. I SEE.
11:29AM	22	I ASK THAT AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR CANDOR, JUROR NUMBER
11:29AM	23	124 BECAUSE WHEN I ASKED IF YOU COULD BE FAIR TO THE
11:29AM	24	GOVERNMENT, YOU SAID YES WITH NO HESITATION. AND THEN WHEN I
11:29AM	25	ASKED YOU ABOUT MR. BALWANI, THERE WAS A LITTLE HESITATION

11:29AM	1	THERE, WASN'T THERE? AND YOU RECOGNIZE I'M NOT BEING CRITICAL,
11:29AM	2	AND I AM NOT AT ALL. I'M NOT BEING CRITICAL AT ALL.
11:29AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:29AM	4	THE COURT: THIS PROCESS IS DESIGNED TO ALLOW US TO
11:29AM	5	HAVE THIS DISCUSSION, AND IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE DO HAVE
11:30AM	6	THIS DISCUSSION.
11:30AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S RIGHT, UH-HUH.
11:30AM	8	THE COURT: AND BECAUSE AS I'VE TOLD YOU BEFORE WHAT
11:30AM	9	I WANT AND WHAT THESE LAWYERS WANT ARE FAIR JURORS, AND WHEN I
11:30AM	10	SAY FAIR JURORS, I MEAN FOR THIS CASE.
11:30AM	11	I DON'T MEAN TO SUGGEST THAT IF SOMEONE HAS AN OPINION,
11:30AM	12	THEY'RE NOT A FAIR PERSON.
11:30AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH.
11:30AM	14	THE COURT: WHAT I'M SUGGESTING IS THAT THIS MAY NOT
11:30AM	15	BE THE RIGHT CASE FOR A PERSON.
11:30AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH.
11:30AM	17	THE COURT: AND MAYBE I SHOULD SEND THEM DOWN THE
11:30AM	18	HALL WITH A 12-MONTH TRIAL IS ABOUT TO START. MAYBE THAT'S A
11:30AM	19	BETTER CASE.
11:30AM	20	(LAUGHTER.)
11:30AM	21	THE COURT: I'M JUST KIDDING. I'M NOT GOING TO DO
11:30AM	22	THAT. I'M NOT GOING TO DO THAT.
11:30AM	23	BUT I JUST SAY THAT TO LET YOU KNOW THAT THAT'S WHAT WE'RE
11:30AM	24	SEARCHING FOR HERE.
11:30AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH.

11:30AM	1	THE COURT: AND I APPRECIATE YOUR CANDOR. THANK YOU
11:30AM	2	SO MUCH FOR BEING THE
11:30AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I JUST WANT TO BE HONEST.
11:30AM	4	THE COURT: THAT'S RIGHT, AND I'M SO GRATEFUL FOR
11:30AM	5	IT, AS ARE THESE LAWYERS. SO THANK YOU. THANK YOU.
11:30AM	6	ANYTHING ELSE YOU WANT ME TO KNOW?
11:30AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:30AM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU.
11:31AM	9	LET'S SEE. IF YOU COULD HAND THE MICROPHONE TO YOUR SIDE.
11:31AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT'S JUROR 131, AND IT'S MY
11:31AM	11	JUST LIKE SIMILAR TO WHAT EVERYBODY ELSE SAID, NPR AND GOOGLE
11:31AM	12	NEWS. AND I THINK I SAW THE "60 MINUTES," AND WHEN I WAS
11:31AM	13	FILLING OUT THE FORM I WAS VERY I SAID NO, I DON'T REMEMBER
11:31AM	14	ANYTHING.
11:31AM	15	BUT WHEN I CAME HOME, I WAS THINKING ABOUT IT AND I THINK
11:31AM	16	WE EVEN HAD A CONVERSATION WITH FRIENDS.
11:31AM	17	THE COURT: WITH FRIENDS?
11:31AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, BECAUSE IT WAS AND,
11:31AM	19	AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW MUCH DETAIL. I WASN'T FOLLOWING THIS CASE,
11:31AM	20	BUT IT WAS IN THE HEADLINES FOR AWHILE.
11:31AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. SO YOU DIDN'T FOLLOW THE CASE
11:31AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:31AM	23	THE COURT: REGULARLY.
11:31AM	24	BUT YOU'RE ANOTHER FAITHFUL NPR LISTENER?
11:31AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

11:31AM	1	THE COURT: AND YOU WERE EXPOSED TO IT THROUGH NPR
11:32AM	2	AND OTHER MEDIA?
11:32AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
11:32AM	4	THE COURT: OKAY. AND IT SOUNDS LIKE THE
11:32AM	5	QUESTIONNAIRE JOGGED YOUR MEMORY ABOUT THE CASE?
11:32AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:32AM	7	THE COURT: AND CAUSED YOU TO THINK ABOUT IT A
11:32AM	8	LITTLE MORE?
11:32AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THERE IS RIGHT, THE LAST FEW
11:32AM	10	YEARS WAS HECTIC WITH THE COVID-19, AND SO SOME OF THIS NEWS WE
11:32AM	11	WOULD SEE THEM AND JUST PASS THEM BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT IMPORTANT
11:32AM	12	FOR YOUR LIFE, RIGHT?
11:32AM	13	THE COURT: RIGHT. OKAY. I SEE.
11:32AM	14	IS THAT YES.
11:32AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK I CAN BE IMPARTIAL.
11:32AM	16	THE COURT: YOU ANTICIPATED MY QUESTION.
11:32AM	17	(LAUGHTER.)
11:32AM	18	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:32AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:32AM	20	THE COURT: OKAY. ANY DOUBT ABOUT THAT?
11:32AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. I THINK IT'S JUST BASED ON
11:32AM	22	THE EVIDENCE AND WHAT WE LISTEN TO HERE.
11:32AM	23	THE COURT: AND CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE GOVERNMENT?
11:32AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:32AM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU.

11:32AM	1	AND I THINK THERE'S YES, GOOD MORNING.
11:32AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M JUROR 133.
11:32AM	3	THE COURT: YES.
11:32AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DO NOT FOLLOW THE NEWS
11:33AM	5	RELIGIOUSLY LIKE ALL OF THESE OTHER PEOPLE DO, BUT I HAVE A
11:33AM	6	VAGUE MEMORY OF, LIKE, COMING ACROSS SOMETHING ABOUT
11:33AM	7	ELIZABETH HOLMES, LIKE PROBABLY WHEN I WAS SCROLLING FACEBOOK
11:33AM	8	OR SOMETHING. IT WAS LIKE ONE OF THOSE LIKE YOU MIGHT BE
11:33AM	9	INTERESTED KIND OF THINGS.
11:33AM	10	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:33AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
11:33AM	12	THE COURT: AND HOW LONG AGO DO YOU HAVE
11:33AM	13	RECOLLECTION OF WHEN THAT WAS, TIMING WAS?
11:33AM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS A COUPLE MONTHS AGO.
11:33AM	15	THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY.
11:33AM	16	SO YOU, YOU DID NOT FOLLOW THE CASE?
11:33AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:33AM	18	THE COURT: AND DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER KNOWLEDGE
11:33AM	19	ABOUT THE CASE OTHER THAN THIS EXPOSURE THAT YOU'VE MENTIONED?
11:33AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: POTENTIALLY SOMETHING ELSE, BUT
11:33AM	21	I CAN I'LL TALK TO YOU IN PRIVATE ABOUT IT.
11:33AM	22	THE COURT: OH, YOU WANT TO TALK PRIVATE ABOUT THAT?
11:33AM	23	SURE.
11:33AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BECAUSE IT MIGHT ALSO NOT BE THE
11:33AM	25	SAME THING, BUT JUST THE NAME ELIZABETH HOLMES KIND OF RANG A

11:33AM	1	BELL.
11:33AM	2	THE COURT: THE NAME ELIZABETH HOLMES?
11:33AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
11:33AM	4	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:33AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT ALSO COULD HAVE BEEN A NAME
11:33AM	6	THAT IS, LIKE, SIMILAR. BUT I'M NOT VERY GOOD WITH NAMES.
11:33AM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
11:34AM	8	WE'LL SEE WHAT WE CAN DO ABOUT THAT.
11:34AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HI. JUROR 125.
11:34AM	10	THE COURT: YES, GOOD MORNING.
11:34AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HEARD IT THROUGH THE NEWS, NOT
11:34AM	12	NPR, BUT JUST THE LOCAL RADIO NEWS AT 7:40 A.M., AND IT'S IN
11:34AM	13	BETWEEN DROPPING THE KIDS OFF AT SCHOOL AND PICKING THEM AND
11:34AM	14	DROPPING THEM OFF AT THEIR AFTER SCHOOL ACTIVITIES.
11:34AM	15	THE COURT: YES.
11:34AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND I SAW AN INFOMERCIAL, A
11:34AM	17	COMMERCIAL I CAN'T REMEMBER BUT ON "THE DROPOUT," THE
11:34AM	18	MOVIE.
11:34AM	19	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:34AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DID NOT PURSUE ANY OF THOSE
11:34AM	21	THINGS.
11:34AM	22	THE COURT: YOU DIDN'T WATCH IT?
11:34AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, NO.
11:34AM	24	THE COURT: AND YOU DIDN'T DO ANY ADDITIONAL
11:34AM	25	READING?

11:34AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. RIGHT.
11:34AM	2	THE COURT: AND YOU HEARD THE OCCASIONAL NEWS REPORT
11:34AM	3	ABOUT THE CASE?
11:34AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUST THE RADIO. THE KIDS KEEP
11:34AM	5	ME PRETTY BUSY.
11:34AM	6	THE COURT: YES. OKAY.
11:34AM	7	AND WHAT IS THE MOST CAN YOU TELL US THE MOST RECENT
11:34AM	8	INFORMATION, NOT WHAT THE INFORMATION WAS, BUT YOUR MOST RECENT
11:35AM	9	EXPOSURE TO INFORMATION ABOUT THE CASE?
11:35AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS JUST TWO WEEKS AGO WHEN
11:35AM	11	THEY ANNOUNCED THAT THERE WAS GOING TO BE A LAWSUIT AGAINST
11:35AM	12	MR. BALWANI.
11:35AM	13	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU HEARD THAT SOMEWHERE?
11:35AM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE RADIO.
11:35AM	15	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY.
11:35AM	16	WAS IT ABOUT THIS TRIAL, THAT THE TRIAL WAS GOING TO
11:35AM	17	HAPPEN?
11:35AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:35AM	19	THE COURT: NOT ABOUT A LAWSUIT, BUT ABOUT THIS
11:35AM	20	TRIAL?
11:35AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
11:35AM	22	THE COURT: I SUPPOSE THIS IS A LAWSUIT. OKAY.
11:35AM	23	AND LET ME ASK YOU THE SAME QUESTION. IS THERE ANYTHING
11:35AM	24	ABOUT YOUR UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE THAT YOU'VE BEEN EXPOSED TO
11:35AM	25	THAT YOU THINK WILL IMPAIR OR AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR

11:35AM	1	AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES?
11:35AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:35AM	3	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:35AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:35AM	5	THE COURT: AND CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE GOVERNMENT?
11:35AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:35AM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. ANY DOUBT ABOUT THAT?
11:35AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:35AM	9	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
11:35AM	10	AND I KNOW THERE WERE SOME HANDS BEHIND YOU AS WELL.
11:36AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 143.
11:36AM	12	THE COURT: YES.
11:36AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IF WE'RE TALKING SPECIFICALLY
11:36AM	14	ABOUT NEWS, THEN NOTHING.
11:36AM	15	BUT I DID WATCH THE HBO DOCUMENTARY ABOUT TWO YEARS AGO.
11:36AM	16	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:36AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULDN'T CALL IT NEWS.
11:36AM	18	ANYBODY CAN MAKE A DOCUMENTARY.
11:36AM	19	THE COURT: OKAY. IS THAT THE MOST RECENT EXPOSURE
11:36AM	20	THAT YOU HAVE HAD?
11:36AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. I HAVE HEARD NOTHING
11:36AM	22	SINCE.
11:36AM	23	THE COURT: AND DO YOU REMEMBER ANYTHING IN
11:36AM	24	PARTICULAR SPECIFIC ABOUT THAT? I'M NOT ASKING YOU WHAT IT IS,
11:36AM	25	BUT JUST IF YOU HAVE ANYTHING THAT STICKS IN YOUR MIND ABOUT

11:36AM	1	SOMETHING?
11:36AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, I REMEMBER THE WHOLE
11:36AM	3	STORYLINE, YES.
11:36AM	4	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. OKAY. AND THAT WAS THE LAST
11:36AM	5	TIME I THINK YOU TOLD ME THAT WAS THE LAST EXPOSURE THAT YOU
11:36AM	6	HAD?
11:36AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
11:36AM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, BASED ON THAT, YOUR
11:36AM	9	WATCHING THAT HBO, WHATEVER THAT WAS, AND ANY OTHER EXPOSURE
11:36AM	10	THAT YOU'VE HAD TO THE CASE, CAN YOU ANSWER MY QUESTION ABOUT
11:36AM	11	WHETHER YOU THINK ANY OF THAT WILL AFFECT OR IMPAIR YOUR
11:37AM	12	ABILITY TO BE A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUROR TO BOTH SIDES?
11:37AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, NOT AT ALL.
11:37AM	14	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU COULD BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:37AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I COULD BE VERY FAIR TO
11:37AM	16	MR. BALWANI.
11:37AM	17	THE COURT: AND THE GOVERNMENT?
11:37AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH.
11:37AM	19	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WHAT ABOUT YOU WATCHED
11:37AM	20	THIS SHOW, AND I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG IT WAS, AND YOU TOLD US
11:37AM	21	YOU REMEMBER ABOUT IT.
11:37AM	22	HOW IS IT THAT YOU CAN PUT ASIDE ALL OF THAT AND LISTEN TO
11:37AM	23	THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE FRESH AND CLEAN WITHOUT HAVING THAT
11:37AM	24	INTERFERE WITH YOUR THOUGHT PROCESS?
11:37AM	25	IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU THINK YOU CAN DO?

11:37AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, DEFINITELY. I MEAN, A
11:37AM	2	DOCUMENTARY IS MADE BY A FILMMAKER AND THAT'S ONE SIDE OF THE
11:37AM	3	STORY.
11:37AM	4	THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY.
11:37AM	5	AND I THINK YOUR ANSWER SUGGESTS THAT IN A JURY TRIAL
11:37AM	6	THERE'S ACTUALLY THERE COULD BE TWO SIDES TO A STORY. THE
11:37AM	7	GOVERNMENT HAS TO PRESENT THEIR EVIDENCE. YOU'VE HEARD ME TALK
11:37AM	8	ABOUT THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE.
11:37AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
11:37AM	10	THE COURT: AND DO YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEM PRESUMING
11:37AM	11	MR. BALWANI INNOCENT AS HE SITS HERE RIGHT NOW?
11:38AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, NOT AT ALL.
11:38AM	13	THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT.
11:38AM	14	ANYTHING ELSE YOU WANT US TO KNOW?
11:38AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S ALL. THANK YOU.
11:38AM	16	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:38AM	17	ANY NEXT PERSON, PLEASE.
11:38AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 161.
11:38AM	19	THE COURT: YES.
11:38AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND I WATCHED ON EVENING NEWS,
11:38AM	21	NBC 5:00 O'CLOCK NEWS, 5:30.
11:38AM	22	THE COURT: OH, WELL, THAT'S VERY SPECIFIC. THANK
11:38AM	23	YOU, YES. AND YOU SAW COVERAGE OF THE CASE?
11:38AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
11:38AM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. WAS THAT EVERY DAY, OR JUST

11:38AM	1	OCCASIONALLY?
11:38AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MAYBE ONE OR TWO TIMES.
11:38AM	3	THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE? ANY OTHER EXPOSURE?
11:38AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. JUST, LIKE, SOMETHING OF
11:38AM	5	INTEREST POPPED UP AND I WAS WATCHING SOME VIDEO, BUT I DIDN'T
11:38AM	6	CLICK. I JUST SAW THE HEADING, BUT I DID SEE THAT.
11:38AM	7	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY.
11:38AM	8	AND WHEN WAS THE MOST RECENT NBC NEWS THAT YOU SAW ABOUT
11:39AM	9	THIS? WHEN DO YOU THINK THAT WAS?
11:39AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A COUPLE OF MONTHS BACK YEAH,
11:39AM	11	A COUPLE
11:39AM	12	THE COURT: A COUPLE OF YEARS?
11:39AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A COUPLE OF MONTHS.
11:39AM	14	THE COURT: A COUPLE OF MONTHS. I'M SORRY.
11:39AM	15	WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THE SAME QUESTION, JUROR 161. IS
11:39AM	16	THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR KNOWLEDGE, YOUR EXPOSURE OF
11:39AM	17	INFORMATION THAT YOU THINK WILL AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR
11:39AM	18	AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES HERE?
11:39AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'D LIKE TO SAY NO.
11:39AM	20	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:39AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
11:39AM	22	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:39AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'LL TRY. I HAVEN'T BEEN IN
11:39AM	24	THIS SITUATION, SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT TO HOW TO SAY.
11:39AM	25	THE COURT: YES.

11:39AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BUT THERE'S NO PERFECT YES OR
11:39AM	2	PERFECT NO, SO I CAN SAY I'LL TRY.
11:39AM	3	THE COURT: OKAY. THIS IS YOUR FIRST TIME BEING
11:39AM	4	CALLED AS A JUROR; IS THAT RIGHT?
11:39AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:40AM	6	THE COURT: I SEE. AND YOU HEARD ME TALK ABOUT WHAT
11:40AM	7	THIS PROCESS, THIS CONVERSATION IS ABOUT IS TO TRY TO SECURE
11:40AM	8	FAIR JURORS IN THIS CASE?
11:40AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:40AM	10	THE COURT: AND THAT'S WHY I ASKED THAT QUESTION.
11:40AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. I DO WANT TO SAY EITHER
11:40AM	12	YES OR EITHER NO, BUT I'M NOT SURE.
11:40AM	13	THE COURT: OKAY. AND IS THAT BECAUSE OF WHAT
11:40AM	14	YOU'VE SEEN AND YOU KNOW ABOUT THIS CASE, OR SOME OTHER REASON?
11:40AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MAYBE NOT BEING IN A SITUATION
11:40AM	16	WHERE I HAVE TO, WHERE I AM RIGHT NOW.
11:40AM	17	THE COURT: I SEE. ARE YOU NERVOUS RIGHT NOW?
11:40AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M SORRY?
11:40AM	19	THE COURT: ARE YOU NERVOUS RIGHT NOW? ARE YOU A
11:40AM	20	LITTLE
11:40AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: KIND OF.
11:40AM	22	THE COURT: YES. IT'S DIFFICULT TO TALK IN A ROOM
11:40AM	23	FULL OF PEOPLE AND STRANGERS, I UNDERSTAND THAT. I'M GRATEFUL
11:40AM	24	FOR OUR CONVERSATION THIS MORNING. THANK YOU FOR THAT.
11:41AM	25	OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE US TO KNOW?

11:41AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:41AM	2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
11:41AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HELLO, YOUR HONOR. I'M
11:41AM	4	JUROR 167.
11:41AM	5	THE COURT: YES. THANK YOU.
11:41AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO THE SOURCES WOULD PROBABLY BE
11:41AM	7	ON TWO OCCASIONS. SO I BRIEFLY SCANNED "FORTUNE 500" ABOUT
11:41AM	8	ELIZABETH HOLMES; AND THEN AFTER THAT, IT WOULD BE WHEN ALL OF
11:41AM	9	THE NEWS CAME OUT ABOUT THERANOS AND
11:41AM	10	THE COURT: OKAY. WHEN WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN? TIME
11:41AM	11	STAMP THAT FOR ME.
11:41AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD SAY ABOUT A YEAR AGO.
11:41AM	13	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
11:41AM	14	AND YOU'RE SCANNING "FORTUNE 500," WAS THAT THE SAME
11:41AM	15	TIMEFRAME?
11:41AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN A LITTLE
11:41AM	17	BIT PRIOR TO THAT.
11:41AM	18	THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY.
11:41AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: PROBABLY LIKE THREE OR FOUR
11:41AM	20	MONTHS PRIOR TO THAT.
11:41AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. IS THAT THE UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE
11:42AM	22	OF YOUR EXPOSURE TO THE CASE?
11:42AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OTHER THAN THAT, THE NEWS
11:42AM	24	THROUGH, LIKE, YOUTUBE, MSNBC, CNN, THOSE SOURCES.
11:42AM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. DID YOU FOLLOW THE CASE?

11:42AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, I DID NOT GET ANY UPDATE
11:42AM	2	AFTER THE TYPICAL HEADLINES
11:42AM	3	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:42AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND BREAKING NEWS.
11:42AM	5	THE COURT: SURE. ALL RIGHT.
11:42AM	6	SO LET ME ASK YOU THE QUESTION. BASED ON YOUR UNIVERSE OF
11:42AM	7	KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE, IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT THAT YOU
11:42AM	8	THINK WILL AFFECT OR IMPAIR YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND
11:42AM	9	IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES?
11:42AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, YOUR HONOR.
11:42AM	11	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:42AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:42AM	13	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEM PRESUMING HIM TO
11:42AM	14	BE INNOCENT AS HE SITS HERE TODAY?
11:42AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:42AM	16	THE COURT: ANY QUARREL WITH THAT?
11:42AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:42AM	18	THE COURT: OKAY. CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE
11:42AM	19	GOVERNMENT?
11:42AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:42AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:42AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 165.
11:42AM	23	THE COURT: YES.
11:42AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: LIKE MOST OF THE OTHER JURORS
11:42AM	25	HAVE STATED, NPR, CNN, THOSE SORTS OF SOURCES.

11:43AM	1	I ALSO SAW THE HEADLINE FROM WHEN ELIZABETH HOLMES
11:43AM	2	THE COURT: IN THE TRIAL OF THE OTHER CASE?
11:43AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WHEN SHE WAS
11:43AM	4	THE COURT: IN HER CASE?
11:43AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, IN HER CASE.
11:43AM	6	THE COURT: AND WHAT IS THE MOST RECENT EXPOSURE
11:43AM	7	TIME STAMP-WISE?
11:43AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A FEW MONTHS AGO.
11:43AM	9	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:43AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND THEN ALSO WHEN THE HULU SHOW
11:43AM	11	WAS COMING OUT, TRAILERS FOR THAT.
11:43AM	12	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:43AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND THAT KIND OF
11:43AM	14	THE COURT: DID YOU SEE THE DID YOU WATCH THE
11:43AM	15	HULU?
11:43AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE NOT. I STARTED WATCHING,
11:43AM	17	BUT I KIND OF JUST GOT BORED AND STOPPED, SO I ONLY WATCHED THE
11:43AM	18	FIRST EPISODE.
11:43AM	19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
11:43AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND ALSO THINGS LIKE REDDIT, THE
11:43AM	21	CONVICTION FOR
11:43AM	22	THE COURT: EXCUSE ME. REDDIT, WHEN WAS THAT?
11:43AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT WAS
11:43AM	24	THE COURT: I'M NOT ASKING YOU WHAT YOU SAW.
11:43AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, YEAH.

11:43AM	1	THE COURT: I JUST WANT TO KNOW THE TIME STAMP.
11:43AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ABOUT A YEAR AGO
11:43AM	3	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:43AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WHEN THE OTHER CASE WAS
11:43AM	5	HAPPENING.
11:43AM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. I SEE. I SEE.
11:44AM	7	ANYTHING ELSE?
11:44AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S ABOUT THAT ABOUT
11:44AM	9	COVERS IT.
11:44AM	10	THE COURT: OKAY. AND LET ME ASK YOU THE QUESTION.
11:44AM	11	IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE THAT YOU
11:44AM	12	THINK WILL IMPAIR YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH
11:44AM	13	SIDES HERE?
11:44AM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:44AM	15	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU'RE CERTAIN OF THAT?
11:44AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:44AM	17	THE COURT: OKAY. ANY DOUBT IN YOUR MIND ABOUT
11:44AM	18	THAT?
11:44AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:44AM	20	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE A FAIR JUROR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:44AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:44AM	22	THE COURT: ANY DOUBT IN YOUR MIND ABOUT THAT?
11:44AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:44AM	24	THE COURT: AND THIS IS, AGAIN, BASED ON ALL THAT
11:44AM	25	YOU'VE SEEN, HEARD, READ ABOUT IN THE PAST?

11:44AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I JUST THINK ABOUT IF I WERE IN
11:44AM	2	HIS POSITION, I WOULD WANT TO MAKE SURE ALL OF THE FACTS COME
11:44AM	3	OUT AND LISTEN TO THEM, NOT JUST WHAT I HEAR SOMEONE TYPE WHO
11:44AM	4	THEY MIGHT HAVE A BIAS OR INTEREST.
11:44AM	5	SO THOSE HEADLINES ARE HEADLINES, THEY MIGHT GIVE YOU A
11:44AM	6	LITTLE GLIMPSE, BUT THEY'RE DEFINITELY NOT THE WHOLE STORY.
11:44AM	7	THE COURT: SO ARE YOU THAT PERSON THAT COULD BE
11:44AM	8	FAIR AND PUT ALL OF THAT INFORMATION ASIDE?
11:44AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK SO.
11:45AM	10	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI AS YOU
11:45AM	11	LOOK AT HIM TODAY?
11:45AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:45AM	13	THE COURT: ANY DOUBT IN YOUR MIND ABOUT THAT?
11:45AM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:45AM	15	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE GOVERNMENT?
11:45AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:45AM	17	THE COURT: AND ANY DOUBT ABOUT THAT?
11:45AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:45AM	19	THE COURT: AND WILL YOU AND I EXPECT YOU'RE
11:45AM	20	BEING HONEST WITH US THIS MORNING. WILL YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY
11:45AM	21	PUTTING ASIDE ANYTHING YOU'VE LISTENED TO READ OR TALKED ABOUT
11:45AM	22	THIS CASE OR THE OTHER CASE, WILL YOU HAVE ANY DIFFICULTY
11:45AM	23	PUTTING THAT ASIDE AS YOU SIT AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE AND HEAR
11:45AM	24	THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE?
11:45AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK SO.

THE COURT: CAN YOU DECIDE THIS CASE ON THE EVIDENCE 11:45AM 1 YOU HEAR IN THIS COURTROOM AND NOT ANYTHING OUTSIDE OF THIS 11:45AM 2 COURTROOM? CAN YOU DO THAT? 3 11:45AM 11:45AM PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT I'M GOING TO ASK EVERY JUROR 11:45AM 11:45AM 6 WHO SITS IN THIS CASE, YOU SEE. I THINK YOU RECOGNIZE HOW 11:45AM 7 UNFAIR IT WOULD BE TO THE PARTIES, TO BOTH SIDES, IF A JUROR WERE SEATED IN THIS CASE AND THEY MADE A DECISION ON SOMETHING 11:45AM 8 THAT THAT THEY HEARD OUTSIDE, SOMETHING THEIR UNCLE CHARLIE 11:46AM 9 11:46AM 10 TOLD THEM, SOMETHING THAT THEY READ, SOMETHING THAT SOMEONE 11:46AM 11 ELSE TOLD THEM THAT WAS OUTSIDE OF THIS COURTROOM WHERE THE 11:46AM 12 LAWYERS DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE TO TEST, TEST THE EVIDENCE, WHERE 11:46AM 13 I DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE TO RULE ON ITS ADMISSIBILITY OR NOT. YOU SEE, THERE'S AN INHERENT UNFAIRNESS IN THAT, AND THAT 11:46AM 14 11:46AM 15 IS WHAT IS SO IMPORTANT AND PRECIOUS ABOUT OUR TRIAL SYSTEM. THE TRIAL PROCESS TESTS THE EVIDENCE, THESE LAWYERS HAVE 11:46AM 16 11:46AM 17 THE OPPORTUNITY TO ASK QUESTIONS, AND I, AS THE JUDGE, HAVE THE 11:46AM 18 OPPORTUNITY TO RULE ON WHETHER OR NOT SOMETHING IS ADMISSIBLE, 11:46AM 19 SOMETHING SHOULD COME IN, SOMETHING SHOULD NOT COME IN, HOW 11:46AM 20 MUCH, HOW LITTLE. 11:46AM 21 THOSE ARE THE TYPES OF THINGS THAT THE TRIAL PROCESS USES 11:46AM 22 TO BENEFIT THE JURY FOR THEIR DELIBERATIONS. 11:46AM 23 I CAN'T BE OUTSIDE AND MAKE -- RULE ON AN OBJECTION IN A PARKING LOT OR IN A RESTAURANT. THESE LAWYERS CAN'T BE THERE 11:46AM 24 11:47AM 25 TO CHALLENGE WHATEVER IS SAID OR HEARD.

11:47AM	1	THAT'S WHY, IN THE SANCTITY OF THIS COURTROOM, THE
11:47AM	2	EVIDENCE THAT IS DEVELOPED HERE IS THE UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE
11:47AM	3	I'VE USED THAT PHRASE THE UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE THAT THE
11:47AM	4	JURY WILL USE TO MAKE THEIR DECISION, NOT ANYTHING OUTSIDE.
11:47AM	5	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT CONCEPT?
11:47AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:47AM	7	THE COURT: AND DO YOU HAVE ANY QUARREL WITH THAT
11:47AM	8	CONCEPT?
11:47AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:47AM	10	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:47AM	11	THANK YOU, JUROR NUMBER 165. THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME USE
11:47AM	12	YOU AS A TOOL TO MAKE THAT EXPLANATION FOR YOUR COLLEAGUES.
11:47AM	13	WHO IS NEXT? WHO HAS THE MICROPHONE?
11:47AM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M 180.
11:47AM	15	THE COURT: WHERE ARE YOU? MY GOODNESS.
11:47AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I CAN STAND UP.
11:47AM	17	THE COURT: OH, NO, I SEE YOU. PARDON ME.
11:47AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD SAY THAT I FOLLOWED THE
11:47AM	19	PREVIOUS CASE.
11:47AM	20	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:47AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVEN'T SOUGHT OUT
11:47AM	22	INFORMATION, BUT I'VE TEXTED FAMILY, FRIENDS, COWORKERS,
11:48AM	23	ARTICLES.
11:48AM	24	THE COURT: OH, YOU'VE SHARED ARTICLES?
11:48AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

11:48AM	1	THE COURT: YOU'VE SHARED OR SENT ARTICLES TO
11:48AM	2	OTHERS?
11:48AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OR RECEIVED THEM. I DON'T
11:48AM	4	REMEMBER.
11:48AM	5	THE COURT: I SEE.
11:48AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'VE WATCHED THE HBO DOCUMENTARY
11:48AM	7	MAYBE A YEAR AGO
11:48AM	8	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:48AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WHENEVER THAT CAME OUT. I'VE
11:48AM	10	WATCHED CNBC.
11:48AM	11	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:48AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK THERE WAS A SHORT
11:48AM	13	DOCUMENT AROUND THERE.
11:48AM	14	AND I'VE WATCHED THE HULU TRAILER.
11:48AM	15	THE COURT: OKAY.
11:48AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SINCE I FILLED OUT THE
11:48AM	17	QUESTIONNAIRE AND SINCE I WAS SUMMONED, I HAVE NOT LOOKED AT
11:48AM	18	ANYTHING ON PURPOSE, BUT
11:48AM	19	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR
11:48AM	20	THAT.
11:48AM	21	SO THE FIRST THING YOU SAID WAS THAT I FOLLOWED THE CASE.
11:48AM	22	IS THAT
11:48AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. SO WHEN THE VERDICT CAME
11:48AM	24	OUT
11:48AM	25	THE COURT: I'M NOT GOING TO ASK YOU WHAT YOU

11:48AM	1	FOLLOWED.
11:48AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, UH-HUH.
11:48AM	3	THE COURT: AND SO YOU FOLLOWED THE CASE?
11:48AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:48AM	5	THE COURT: SO YOU HAD INTEREST IN THE CASE?
11:48AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH, YOU COULD SAY THAT, YEP.
11:48AM	7	THE COURT: RIGHT. OKAY.
11:48AM	8	SO LET ME ASK YOU THAT QUESTION THEN. BASED ON YOUR
11:49AM	9	UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE, DO YOU THINK THAT YOU COULD BE A FAIR
11:49AM	10	AND IMPARTIAL JUROR TO BOTH SIDES HERE?
11:49AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK SO.
11:49AM	12	THE COURT: RIGHT. OKAY.
11:49AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'VE SERVED ON A JURY BEFORE.
11:49AM	14	THE COURT: UH-HUH.
11:49AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND KNOWING HOW I FELT GOING
11:49AM	16	INTO THAT CASE
11:49AM	17	THE COURT: UH-HUH.
11:49AM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: COMPLETELY UNBIASSED AND NO
11:49AM	19	EXPOSURE
11:49AM	20	THE COURT: SURE.
11:49AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T FEEL THAT WAY GOING
11:49AM	22	INTO THIS.
11:49AM	23	THE COURT: I SEE. YOU HEARD ME TALK TO OTHERS AND
11:49AM	24	YOU HEARD ME SAY THIS SEARCH IS A SEARCH FOR FAIR AND IMPARTIAL
11:49AM	25	JURORS, AND PART OF THAT IS IT'S NOT A PERSONAL DECISION

11:49AM	1	LIKE THIS IS A BAD PERSON, THIS IS A GOOD PERSON. IT'S NOT
11:49AM	2	THAT.
11:49AM	3	REALLY THE SEARCH IS, IS THIS THE RIGHT CASE FOR THIS
11:49AM	4	PARTICULAR JUROR? THAT'S REALLY WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT.
11:49AM	5	WHAT I HEAR YOU SAYING, JUROR NUMBER 180, IS THAT BASED ON
11:49AM	6	YOUR UNIVERSE OF KNOWLEDGE, THIS MIGHT NOT BE THE RIGHT CASE
11:49AM	7	FOR YOU.
11:49AM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:49AM	9	THE COURT: IS THAT A FAIR ASSESSMENT?
11:49AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:49AM	11	THE COURT: THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE YOUR CANDOR.
11:50AM	12	THANK YOU.
11:50AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU.
11:50AM	14	THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME.
11:50AM	15	HELLO. THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING. I THINK IT'S WORKING.
11:50AM	16	I THINK WE HEARD YOU.
11:50AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, YOU HEARD IT?
11:50AM	18	THE COURT: YES.
11:50AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 174. I HAVE BEEN A BIT REMOVED
11:50AM	20	FROM THE NEWS FOR A WHILE SO I HAVEN'T HEARD ANYTHING IN THAT,
11:50AM	21	BUT I HAVE OVERHEARD FAMILY MEMBERS THAT I HAVE HEARD TALKING
11:50AM	22	ABOUT IT AND THEY ARE
11:50AM	23	THE COURT: THEY HAVE OPINIONS?
11:50AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THEY HAVE OPINIONS AND
11:50AM	25	THEY'RE

11:50AM	1	THE COURT: I DON'T NEED TO KNOW WHAT THEIR OPINIONS
11:50AM	2	ARE.
11:50AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUST THE RELATION THAT THEY HAVE
11:50AM	4	TO THE SIMILAR INDUSTRY IS KIND OF SOMETHING THAT SWAYS ME AND
11:50AM	5	THEIR EXPERTISE IN THAT.
11:50AM	6	THE COURT: I SEE.
11:50AM	7	AND YOU'VE TALKED WITH YOUR FAMILY MEMBERS AND THEY HAVE
11:50AM	8	KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE CASE AND THEY'VE SHARED THEIR THOUGHTS WITH
11:50AM	9	YOU?
11:50AM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:50AM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. AND HAVE THOSE CONVERSATIONS, DO
11:51AM	12	YOU THINK, AFFECTED YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO
11:51AM	13	BOTH SIDES HERE?
11:51AM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SOMEWHAT, YEAH.
11:51AM	15	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU HEARD ME TALK TO OTHER JURORS
11:51AM	16	ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THEY'D BE ABLE TO PUT THAT ASIDE AND BE A
11:51AM	17	FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUROR.
11:51AM	18	IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU THINK YOU CAN DO?
11:51AM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M NOT CONFIDENT THAT I COULD.
11:51AM	20	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU'RE NOT CONFIDENT THAT YOU
11:51AM	21	COULD?
11:51AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
11:51AM	23	THE COURT: OKAY. AND I APPRECIATE YOUR CANDOR.
11:51AM	24	THANK YOU.
11:51AM	25	AND THAT'S BASED ON THE NATURE, THE QUALITY OF THE

11:51AM	1	CONVERSATIONS THAT YOU'VE HAD WITH FAMILY MEMBERS ABOUT THE
11:51AM	2	INDUSTRY I THINK YOU SAID IN THIS CASE?
11:51AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:51AM	4	THE COURT: OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE ME
11:51AM	5	TO KNOW?
11:51AM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:51AM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:51AM	8	AND THEN WE'LL GET TO THE DALLAS COWBOYS.
11:51AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CAN YOU HEAR ME?
11:51AM	10	THE COURT: YES.
11:51AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 162.
11:51AM	12	THE COURT: YES.
11:51AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I READ "THE MERCURY NEWS" EVERY
11:51AM	14	DAY, AND I DON'T LISTEN TO NPR AND I HAVE NOT WATCHED ANY OF
11:52AM	15	THE DOCUMENTARIES OR THE FICTIONALIZED EVENTS ON HULU OR
11:52AM	16	WHATNOT.
11:52AM	17	AND I DON'T WANT TO STEAL YOUR THUNDER, YOUR HONOR, BUT I
11:52AM	18	FEEL LIKE I COULD BE A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUROR.
11:52AM	19	THE COURT: YOU WATCH YOU READ "THE MERCURY
11:52AM	20	NEWS"?
11:52AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: EVERY DAY.
11:52AM	22	THE COURT: OKAY. IS THAT ONLINE OR DO YOU GET HARD
11:52AM	23	COPY OR BOTH?
11:52AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT DEPENDS WHERE I'M AT. IF I'M
11:52AM	25	HOME, I'LL READ THE HARD COPY. BUT WHEN I'M AT MY MOTHER'S,

11:52AM	1	I'LL READ IT ON MY PHONE.
11:52AM	2	THE COURT: I DON'T THINK THEY DELIVER I USED TO
11:52AM	3	BE A DELIVERY
11:52AM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT IN OREGON THEY DON'T.
11:52AM	5	THE COURT: I USED TO BE A PAPER BOY FOR "THE
11:52AM	6	MERCURY NEWS" 100 YEARS AGO AND WE USED TO DELIVER IT TO
11:52AM	7	DOORSTEPS AND STOOPS, AND I DOUBT I DON'T THINK I WOULD HAVE
11:52AM	8	A PAPER ROUTE THAT WOULD CAUSE ME TO RIDE MY SCHWINN TO OREGON.
11:52AM	9	I DON'T THINK I'VE DELIVERED THERE.
11:52AM	10	BUT NOW IT'S ONLINE, ISN'T IT?
11:52AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
11:52AM	12	THE COURT: SO THERE YOU GO.
11:53AM	13	SO DID YOU WATCH ANY OF THE PROGRAMS, ANYTHING THAT YOU
11:53AM	14	HEARD YOUR COLLEAGUES MENTION?
11:53AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, SIR.
11:53AM	16	THE COURT: JUST WHAT YOU MENTIONED, THE PAPER?
11:53AM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
11:53AM	18	THE COURT: THANKS FOR STEALING MY THUNDER, SUCH
11:53AM	19	THAT IT IS.
11:53AM	20	CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:53AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, SIR.
11:53AM	22	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEM PRESUMING HIM TO
11:53AM	23	BE INNOCENT AS HE SITS IN THIS COURTROOM RIGHT NOW?
11:53AM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:53AM	25	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE GOVERNMENT?

11:53AM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:53AM	2	THE COURT: ANY DOUBT ABOUT THAT?
11:53AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:53AM	4	THE COURT: WILL YOU IF YOU'RE SELECTED AS A
11:53AM	5	JUROR IN THIS CASE, WILL YOU LISTEN ATTENTIVELY, OBJECTIVELY TO
11:53AM	6	THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE, NOT MAKE ANY DECISION ON THE CASE
11:53AM	7	UNTIL YOU HAVE BEEN ASSIGNED TO THE JURY ROOM TO BEGIN YOUR
11:53AM	8	DELIBERATIONS? CAN YOU DO THAT?
11:53AM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I BELIEVE I CAN.
11:53AM	10	THE COURT: ANY DOUBT ABOUT THAT?
11:53AM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:53AM	12	THE COURT: ANY OTHER ANSWERS TO THIS QUESTION?
11:53AM	13	I SEE NO HANDS.
11:53AM	14	WHY DON'T WE TAKE A LET'S TAKE A TEN MINUTE BREAK HERE
11:54AM	15	AND WE'LL COME BACK IN ABOUT TEN MINUTES.
11:54AM	16	GIVE ME JUST A SECOND.
11:54AM	17	SO THERE WAS ANOTHER HAND. I'M SORRY, I DIDN'T SEE IT.
11:54AM	18	I THINK WE'VE EXHAUSTED
11:54AM	19	I DON'T THINK THAT'S ON.
11:54AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 133.
11:54AM	21	I THINK I MENTIONED I WANTED TO TALK TO YOU AFTERWARDS.
11:54AM	22	THE COURT: YES.
11:54AM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BUT AFTER I LISTENED TO WHAT THE
11:54AM	24	OTHER JURORS SAID, I'M PRETTY SURE WHAT I READ WAS ON THE
11:54AM	25	ELIZABETH HOLMES CASE.

11:54AM	1	THE COURT: YES.
11:54AM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO I WANTED TO GIVE YOU
11:54AM	3	INFORMATION TO GET YOU TO TELL ME IF THAT WAS THAT CASE I READ
11:54AM	4	ABOUT, BUT I'M PRETTY SURE IT WAS.
11:54AM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. SO YOU READ SOMETHING ABOUT
11:54AM	6	ELIZABETH HOLMES?
11:54AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:54AM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THE SAME
11:54AM	9	QUESTION, NOT WHAT YOU READ, BUT JUST BASED ON WHAT YOU READ,
11:54AM	10	IF YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION ABOUT IT, NOW THAT YOU REMEMBER
11:55AM	11	THAT, WAS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
11:55AM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK THERE WAS INFORMATION
11:55AM	13	ABOUT
11:55AM	14	THE COURT: YOU DON'T HAVE TO TELL ME WHAT IT WAS.
11:55AM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: VERY VAGUELY.
11:55AM	16	THE COURT: RIGHT. MY QUESTION IS, NOW THAT YOU'VE
11:55AM	17	REMEMBERED WHAT YOU'VE READ, IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT THAT
11:55AM	18	YOU THINK WILL AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES
11:55AM	19	HERE?
11:55AM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK SO.
11:55AM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, YOU DON'T THINK IT WILL?
11:55AM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK SO. I DO NOT
11:55AM	23	THINK SO.
11:55AM	24	THE COURT: OKAY. CAN YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI?
11:55AM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

11:55AM	1	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEM PRESUMING HIM TO
11:55AM	2	BE INNOCENT AS HE SITS IN THE COURTROOM TODAY?
11:55AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:55AM	4	THE COURT: ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT AT ALL?
11:55AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:55AM	6	THE COURT: CAN YOU BE FAIR TO THE GOVERNMENT?
11:55AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
11:55AM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11:55AM	9	LET'S ALL RIGHT. LET'S TAKE ABOUT A 20 MINUTE BREAK,
11:56AM	10	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
11:56AM	11	HOWEVER, I'M GOING TO I DO WANT TO I KNOW WE HAD
11:56AM	12	SOME JURORS WHO WANTED TO TALK. I THINK 111 WANTED TO MENTION
11:56AM	13	SOMETHING. MAYBE 111 CAN REMAIN.
11:56AM	14	I THINK JUROR 174. DID JUROR 174 EXPRESS AN INTEREST TO
11:56AM	15	SPEAK PRIVATELY?
11:56AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
11:56AM	17	THE COURT: NO. OKAY. SORRY. I'M NOT READING MY
11:57AM	18	NOTES CORRECTLY. THANK YOU.
11:57AM	19	ALL RIGHT. LET'S DO THAT.
11:57AM	20	JUROR NUMBER 111, WOULD YOU REMAIN, PLEASE?
11:57AM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: COULD I USE THE RESTROOM FOR A
11:57AM	22	MINUTE?
11:57AM	23	THE COURT: LET'S DO THAT. SORRY. I SEE A COUPLE
11:57AM	24	OF HANDS.
11:57AM	25	LET'S GET A MIKE TO THEM.

11:57AM	1	THE CLERK: SURE. IT'S RIGHT THERE.
11:57AM	2	THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT.
11:57AM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR.
11:57AM	4	THE COURT: WHAT NUMBER ARE YOU, SIR?
11:57AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE NUMBER 152.
11:57AM	6	THE COURT: YES.
11:57AM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND BEFORE YOU TAKE A BREAK, I
11:57AM	8	WANT TO ASK YOU SOMETHING.
11:57AM	9	THE COURT: YES, SIR.
11:57AM	10	SIR, JUST A SECOND. JUST A MOMENT.
11:57AM	11	I'D LIKE YOU TO STAY, PLEASE. OKAY? AND THEN I'M GOING
11:57AM	12	TO TALK TO YOU PRIVATELY.
11:57AM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BUT I DON'T HAVE A LOT OF TIME.
11:57AM	14	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND, AND THE SOONER WE BREAK,
11:57AM	15	THE SOONER I CAN TALK WITH YOU. OKAY?
11:57AM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OKAY.
11:57AM	17	THE COURT: SO WE'LL TAKE A 20 MINUTE BREAK, FOLKS.
11:57AM	18	IF 111 AND 152 WOULD PLEASE STAY. THANK YOU.
11:58AM	19	(PROSPECTIVE JURY OUT AT 11:58 A.M.)
11:58AM	20	(PROSPECTIVE JURORS 111 AND 152 PRESENT.)
11:58AM	21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT
11:59AM	22	THAT OUR PROSPECTIVE PANEL HAS LEFT THE COURTROOM. JUROR 152
11:59AM	23	REMAINS.
11:59AM	24	THANK YOU, SIR. THANK YOU FOR COMING BACK.
11:59AM	25	IS THE MICROPHONE BACK THERE?

11:59AM	1	OH, SHE TOOK IT WITH HER.
11:59AM	2	THANK YOU, MADDI.
11:59AM	3	ALL RIGHT, SIR. JUROR 152 IS PRESENT. AND WHAT IS IT YOU
11:59AM	4	WOULD LIKE YOU CAN COME FORWARD, SIR, IF YOU WOULD LIKE.
11:59AM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE A QUESTION, BECAUSE I
11:59AM	6	HAVE A CHILD, AND EVERY DAY I HAVE TO PICK HER UP AND TAKE HER
11:59AM	7	HOME EVERY DAY, PLUS I HAVE TO SEND HER TO WHAT DO YOU CALL
11:59AM	8	IT? TUTORING SCHOOL.
11:59AM	9	BUT RIGHT NOW YOU CALL ME TO THE JURY.
12:00PM	10	THE COURT: YES.
12:00PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO IF I DON'T SERVE, THEN I HAVE
12:00PM	12	TO GO TO JAIL, RIGHT? IF I DON'T PICK UP MY KIDS, I'M STILL
12:00PM	13	GOING TO JAIL.
12:00PM	14	SO RIGHT NOW I'M ASKING YOUR HONOR TO HELP ME OUT.
12:00PM	15	THE COURT: WELL, SIR
12:00PM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GIVE ME AN EXCUSE SO I CAN TAKE
12:00PM	17	CARE OF MY FAMILY.
12:00PM	18	THE COURT: YES. SIR, FIRST OF ALL, NO ONE IS GOING
12:00PM	19	TO JAIL. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO JAIL.
12:00PM	20	YOU PICK UP YOUR CHILD AT 3:00 O'CLOCK?
12:00PM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT NOW, BECAUSE IT'S THE
12:00PM	22	PARENT'S MEETINGS, RIGHT NOW THE SCHOOL IS COMING OUT AT
12:00PM	23	1:00 O'CLOCK. BUT NORMALLY SHE COMES OUT BY 2:00 O'CLOCK.
12:00PM	24	THE COURT: I SEE. SO 1:00 O'CLOCK YOU HAVE TO PICK
12:00PM	25	YOUR CHILD UP?

12:00PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
12:00PM	2	THE COURT: AND IS THAT IN SAN JOSE?
12:00PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, AT SAN JOSE. SHE'S
12:00PM	4	STARTING AT QUINCY PARK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL.
12:00PM	5	THE COURT: QUINCY PARK. GREAT.
12:00PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WROTE IN THE PAPER LAST WEEK
12:00PM	7	AND LAST FRIDAY, BUT YOU STILL PICKED ME OUT AND THE SAME
12:01PM	8	THING.
12:01PM	9	SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT I'M GOING TO DO RIGHT NOW. I HAVE NO
12:01PM	10	MIND TO CONCENTRATE ON THE JURY.
12:01PM	11	THE COURT: WELL, LET ME TELL YOU, I, I YOU KNOW,
12:01PM	12	I APOLOGIZE FOR DISRUPTING YOU AND CAUSING YOU SOME CONCERN
12:01PM	13	THIS MORNING.
12:01PM	14	I WANT TO SAY THAT IT'S A PLEASURE MEETING YOU, AND THESE
12:01PM	15	LAWYERS WERE PLEASED TO MEET YOU AND HEAR FROM YOU TODAY, SIR.
12:01PM	16	I'M GOING TO EXCUSE YOU.
12:01PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OKAY. I APPRECIATE YOUR HELP,
12:01PM	18	SIR.
12:01PM	19	THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU FOR COMING TO COURT. I
12:01PM	20	APPRECIATE YOU COMING TO COURT AND ANSWERING YOUR SUMMONS.
12:01PM	21	THAT'S THE IMPORTANT THING TO DO.
12:01PM	22	YOU KNOW, I'M TOLD WE SENT ONE OF THESE SUMMONS OUT TO A
12:01PM	23	LAWYER AND SHE DID NOT SHOW UP IN COURT. SHE DIDN'T ANSWER HER
12:01PM	24	SUMMONS. SO I SEE WHAT WE'RE WE'LL HAVE TO SEE WHAT WE'RE
12:01PM	25	GOING TO DO ABOUT THAT.

12:01PM	1	BUT YOU ARE EXCUSED, SIR. BEST WISHES FOR YOU AND YOUR
12:01PM	2	CHILD.
12:01PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SIR.
12:02PM	4	THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME. YOU'RE WELCOME.
12:02PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WISH IN THE FUTURE IF I HAVE A
12:02PM	6	CHANCE, I WILL SERVE FOR YOU AGAIN.
12:02PM	7	THE COURT: I APPRECIATE THAT.
12:02PM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, SIR.
12:02PM	9	HAVE A GOOD DAY, EVERYBODY.
12:02PM	10	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
12:02PM	11	SO 152 IS EXCUSED FOR HARDSHIP.
12:02PM	12	AND JUROR 111 IS WITH US. WE'RE OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE
12:02PM	13	OF PANEL MEMBERS.
12:02PM	14	SIR, WE'RE IN PRIVATE. WHAT IS IT YOU WOULD LIKE US TO
12:02PM	15	KNOW?
12:02PM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO, YOUR HONOR, WHEN YOU ASKED
12:02PM	17	ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT I'LL BE ABLE TO BE FAIR
12:02PM	18	THE COURT: YES.
12:02PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND THAT REMINDED ME OF A
12:02PM	20	PERSONAL ENCOUNTER I'VE HAD.
12:02PM	21	SOMEWHERE IN NOVEMBER OF WAS IT 2020? I WENT TO A
12:03PM	22	NIGHT BRISK WALK AND I HAD A FEELING I NEVER HAD BEFORE IN MY
12:03PM	23	CHEST, SO I TEXTED MY DOCTOR. IT LASTED FOR ABOUT FIVE MINUTES
12:03PM	24	AND IT WENT AWAY AND I HADN'T HAD THAT BEFORE, SO I TEXTED MY
12:03PM	25	DOCTOR WHO I HAVE A VERY GOOD RELATIONSHIP WITH.

12:03PM	1	AND HE SAID, WHY DON'T YOU COME IN AND WE'LL DO SOME
12:03PM	2	TESTS?
12:03PM	3	AND I SAID OKAY.
12:03PM	4	SO ACTUALLY I WAS ON MY WAY TO WORK AND SO I TURNED AROUND
12:03PM	5	AND I WENT BACK TO SORRY. I WENT BACK TO SEE HER.
12:03PM	6	SO THEY DID SOME TESTS AND EKG AND EVERYTHING AND IT ALL
12:03PM	7	CAME BACK NORMAL.
12:03PM	8	SHE SAID, LET'S DO A BLOOD TEST.
12:03PM	9	THEY DID A BLOOD TEST AND THEY FOUND A PROTEIN CALLED
12:03PM	10	TROPONIN, T-R-O-P-O-N-I-N, IN MY BLOOD. OKAY.
12:04PM	11	SO AT THAT TIME, BEFORE THE RESULTS CAME IN, I DID THE
12:04PM	12	TEST AND I WENT HOME, AND SO I WAS JUST ABOUT TO GET SOMETHING
12:04PM	13	TO EAT, YOU KNOW, SHE CALLED AND SAID, OKAY, WHAT ARE YOU DOING
12:04PM	14	NOW?
12:04PM	15	AND I SAID, I'M ABOUT TO EAT.
12:04PM	16	SHE SAID, NO, DON'T EAT. GO TO THE ER RIGHT NOW.
12:04PM	17	SO I SAID, OKAY.
12:04PM	18	DON'T DRIVE YOURSELF.
12:04PM	19	SO I ENDED UP AT THE ER AND THEY SAID THEY HAD TO DO SOME
12:04PM	20	MORE TESTS.
12:04PM	21	SO TO MAKE A LONG STORY SHORT, I ENDED UP ON THE TABLE FOR
12:04PM	22	OPEN HEART SURGERY.
12:04PM	23	SO, YOU KNOW, WHEN AFTER I FILLED OUT THE FORM, THIS
12:04PM	24	FORM, THE QUESTIONNAIRE, AND THAT REMINDED ME OF THE THIS
12:04PM	25	COMPANY, I COULDN'T HELP BUT THINK THAT, GOSH, YOU KNOW, WHAT

12:04PM	1	IF THAT BLOOD TEST WAS DONE THAT THE RESULTS CAME OUT LIKE THAT
12:05PM	2	AND IT WASN'T RIGHT, OR THEY COULDN'T FIND THAT?
12:05PM	3	AND I FIND THAT TROPONIN IN MY BLOOD GAVE ME A SECOND
12:05PM	4	CHANCE.
12:05PM	5	SO THAT'S WHY WHEN YOU ASKED ME, I HESITATED A LITTLE BIT.
12:05PM	6	SO THAT'S WHAT I HAD WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW.
12:05PM	7	THE COURT: I SEE. THANK YOU. THANK YOU, AND
12:05PM	8	I'M WE'RE ALL HAPPY TO SEE YOU AND WE'RE GRATEFUL THAT
12:05PM	9	YOU'RE HERE.
12:05PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
12:05PM	11	THE COURT: LET ME ASK YOU, SIR, YOU MENTIONED,
12:05PM	12	BASED ON PERSONAL EXPERIENCE AND YOUR KNOWLEDGE, YOU HAVE SOME
12:05PM	13	OTHER KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE CASE, I'LL CALL IT THAT. AM I TO
12:05PM	14	HEAR FROM YOU, SIR, THAT YOU HAVE SOME BECAUSE OF YOUR
12:05PM	15	PERSONAL CIRCUMSTANCES AND THE FACTS, AT LEAST AS YOU KNOW THEM
12:05PM	16	BASED ON WHAT YOU'VE READ, ARE YOU TELLING ME YOU THINK IT
12:06PM	17	WOULD BE DIFFICULT FOR YOU TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO THE
12:06PM	18	DEFENSE IN THIS CASE?
12:06PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S WHAT I'M NOT SURE ABOUT.
12:06PM	20	I'M NOT SURE ABOUT THAT.
12:06PM	21	THE COURT: UH-HUH.
12:06PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BECAUSE MY THINKING RIGHT NOW,
12:06PM	23	YOU KNOW, LOOKING AT WHAT, YOU KNOW, HAPPENED WITH THE COMPANY,
12:06PM	24	THE MACHINE THE INNOVATION WAS GREAT. IT WAS GREAT.
12:06PM	25	BUT THE MACHINE IS NOT WORKING PROPERLY AND COULDN'T EVEN

12:06PM	1	ADMIT THAT, HEY, WE HAVE A PROBLEM HERE AND WE'RE RELYING ON
12:06PM	2	THIRD PARTY TO DO THE TEST.
12:06PM	3	SO THAT YOU KNOW, I CAN'T HELP BUT THINK THAT WHAT IF
12:06PM	4	MY, IN MY CASE, MY BLOOD SAMPLE HAD BEEN THAT WAY? SO
12:07PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
12:07PM	6	ANY QUESTIONS, MR. SCHENK?
12:07PM	7	MR. SCHENK: NO, YOUR HONOR.
12:07PM	8	THE COURT: ANY QUESTIONS, MR. COOPERSMITH?
12:07PM	9	MR. COOPERSMITH: JUST SOME, YOUR HONOR.
12:07PM	10	THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED TO, MR. COOPERSMITH.
12:07PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
12:07PM	12	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
12:07PM	13	ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU, SIR. THANK
12:07PM	14	YOU FOR SHARING THAT.
12:07PM	15	IF YOU COULD JUST LEAVE YOUR THE SHEET THERE ON THE
12:07PM	16	SEAT.
12:07PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OKAY.
12:07PM	18	THE COURT: JUST LEAVE THAT, AND WE'LL COLLECT THE
12:07PM	19	MICROPHONE.
12:07PM	20	AND IF YOU WOULD GO DOWNSTAIRS TO THE
12:07PM	21	THE CLERK: HE CAN WAIT IN THE HALLWAY.
12:07PM	22	THE COURT: WELL, YOU KNOW WHAT? I'M GOING TO
12:07PM	23	EXCUSE YOU, SIR. YOU'RE EXCUSED. SO YOU CAN LEAVE. YOU DON'T
12:07PM	24	HAVE TO GO DOWNSTAIRS TO REPORT.
12:07PM	25	JUST LEAVE THAT ON THE CHAIR THERE IF YOU WOULD.

12:07PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OKAY.
12:07PM	2	THE COURT: AND YOU CAN GO, YES.
12:07PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
12:07PM	4	THE COURT: I'M GOING TO EXCUSE YOU.
12:07PM	5	NO, THANK YOU, SIR. THANK YOU FOR COMING.
12:07PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WISH IT WAS DIFFERENT
12:07PM	7	CIRCUMSTANCES, BUT IT'S A LITTLE DIFFICULT FOR ME BECAUSE OF MY
12:07PM	8	PERSONAL
12:07PM	9	THE COURT: OF COURSE. IT'S A PLEASURE MEETING YOU,
12:08PM	10	SIR.
12:08PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU.
12:08PM	12	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR 111 OUT.)
12:08PM	13	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. PLEASE BE SEATED.
12:08PM	14	AND JUROR NUMBER 111 WAS EXCUSED BY THE COURT FOR CAUSE.
12:08PM	15	I HAVE A NOTE THAT SUGGESTS THAT JUROR NUMBER 12 WANTS TO
12:08PM	16	TALK PRIVATELY REGARDING A HARDSHIP, AND THIS JUROR IS IN THE
12:08PM	17	HALLWAY.
12:08PM	18	SO I'LL ASK THIS JUROR TO COME IN NOW, AND THEN WE'LL TAKE
12:08PM	19	OUR BREAK.
12:08PM	20	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR 12 IN.)
12:09PM	21	THE COURT: YES. GOOD MORNING. THIS IS JUROR 12?
12:09PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. GOOD MORNING.
12:09PM	23	THE COURT: YES.
12:09PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I APOLOGIZE BECAUSE I GOT HOME
12:09PM	25	AROUND 3:00 A.M., SO I'M VERY SLEEPY AND TRYING TO KEEP MY EYES

OPEN.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

12:09PM

12:09PM

12:09PM

12:09PM

12:09PM

12:09PM

12:09PM

12:09PM 7

12:10PM 9

12:10PM 10

12:10PM 11

12:10PM 12

12:10PM 13

12:10PM 14

12:10PM 15

12:10PM 16

12:10PM 17

12:10PM 18

12:10PM 19

12:10PM 20

12:10PM 21

12:10PM 22

12:10PM 23

12:11PM 24

12:11PM 25

THE COURT: OH.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BUT I WANTED TO GET AN OPPORTUNITY TO -- FOR MY HARDSHIP, BECAUSE I WORK AS THE EVENING SHIFT AS A HOSPITALIST, AND AT MY HOSPITAL, WE'RE GETTING THROUGH THE TAIL END OF THE FIFTH COVID SURGE, AND MY FELLOW COWORKERS AND I ARE VERY EXHAUSTED BECAUSE WE HAVE TO WORK MANY EXTRA SHIFTS, AND DUE TO THE PRESENT HIGH HOSPITAL CENSUS, AND AS WELL AS MANY COWORKERS HAVING TO TAKE LEAVE DUE TO GETTING ILL FROM COVID.

SO MY ADDITIONAL ABSENCE FROM THIS EXTENDED TRIAL WOULD CAUSE UNDUE HARDSHIP ON MY COWORKERS BECAUSE THEN THEY WOULD HAVE TO COVER MY SHIFTS FOR THE NEXT THREE MONTHS.

AND IN ADDITION, IT WOULD ALSO BE A HARDSHIP FOR MYSELF BECAUSE IF I WERE TO, YOU KNOW, OFFER TO STILL WORK MY SCHEDULED SHIFT SINCE I WORK THE EVENING SHIFT, GETTING OFF AT 2:00 OR 3:00 A.M. AND COMING TO COURT, LIKE, EARLY MORNING, I ONLY GET TWO TO THREE HOURS SLEEP, WHICH I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO FUNCTION WELL AT WORK OR AS A JUROR.

THE COURT: YES.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SECOND OF ALL, I'M ALSO STUDYING FOR MY TWO PROFESSIONAL EXAMS, AND IF I WERE TO ACT AS A JUROR, AS WELL AS KEEP WORKING TO SUPPORT MY COWORKERS, I WOULDN'T HAVE ANY EXTRA TIME TO STUDY FOR MY EXAMS WHICH I WILL DO THIS YEAR.

12:11PM	1	THE COURT: I SEE. ARE THOSE PROFESSIONAL
12:11PM	2	CREDENTIALS?
12:11PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, YES, THAT I'M REQUIRED TO
12:11PM	4	CONTINUE PRACTICING IN MY PROFESSION.
12:11PM	5	THE COURT: YES. I SEE. OKAY.
12:11PM	6	ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU FOR SHARING THAT.
12:11PM	7	MR. SCHENK, ANY QUESTIONS?
12:11PM	8	MR. SCHENK: NO, YOUR HONOR.
12:11PM	9	THE COURT: MR. COOPERSMITH?
12:11PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, YOUR HONOR.
12:11PM	11	THE COURT: JUROR NUMBER 12, I'M GOING TO EXCUSE
12:11PM	12	YOU, AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR GOOD WORK IN THE COMMUNITY AND
12:11PM	13	HEALTH CARE COMMUNITY.
12:11PM	14	AND I KNOW THERE HAVE BEEN SEVERE CHALLENGES THE LAST TWO
12:11PM	15	YEARS, AND YOU AND YOUR COLLEAGUES' EFFORTS HAVE HELPED AND
12:11PM	16	SAVED MANY LIVES, I KNOW THAT FOR A FACT. SO WE'RE ALL
12:11PM	17	GRATEFUL FOR YOU AND YOUR COLLEAGUES' EFFORTS IN THAT REGARD.
12:11PM	18	SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
12:11PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU.
12:11PM	20	THE COURT: SO YOU'RE EXCUSED. THANK YOU.
12:12PM	21	SHALL WE TAKE A BRIEF BREAK? OKAY. LET'S DO THAT. THANK
12:12PM	22	YOU.
12:12PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, HOW LONG DO YOU WANT
12:12PM	24	TO TAKE A BREAK?
12:12PM	25	THE COURT: I THINK I SAID 20 MINUTES TO THE JURY.

12:12PM	1	WE PROBABLY HAVE ABOUT 10 LEFT, 10 OR 15 MINUTES LEFT, SO
12:12PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU.
12:12PM	3	(RECESS FROM 12:12 P.M. UNTIL 12:33 P.M.)
12:33PM	4	THE COURT: WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD. ALL COUNSEL
12:33PM	5	ARE PRESENT, MR. BALWANI IS PRESENT.
12:33PM	6	WE'RE OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF OUR PROSPECTIVE JURORS.
12:33PM	7	COUNSEL, I WANTED TO TALK ABOUT A COUPLE OF OTHER JURORS
12:33PM	8	BEFORE WE BRING THE PANEL IN.
12:33PM	9	176 IS THE JUROR WHO WAS STANDING IN THE BACK OF THE
12:33PM	10	COURTROOM IF YOU'LL RECALL, AND SHE WAS THE ONE WHO EXPRESSED
12:33PM	11	THE NEED TO STAND.
12:33PM	12	MY THOUGHT WAS TO EXCUSE HER FOR HARDSHIP, BUT I'M HAPPY
12:33PM	13	TO HEAR FROM YOU.
12:34PM	14	MR. SCHENK.
12:34PM	15	MR. SCHENK: YES, THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
12:34PM	16	NO OBJECTION FROM THE GOVERNMENT.
12:34PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO OBJECTION FROM THE DEFENSE,
12:34PM	18	YOUR HONOR.
12:34PM	19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 176 WILL BE
12:34PM	20	EXCUSED FOR HARDSHIP.
12:34PM	21	LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT 135. THIS WAS THE GENTLEMAN WHO
12:34PM	22	EXPRESSED A CONCERN ABOUT A THYROID CONDITION, AND I THINK HE
12:34PM	23	HAS MRI'S OR OTHER TESTING.
12:34PM	24	WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS ABOUT THIS PERSON? I THOUGHT TO
12:34PM	25	EXCUSE HIM BECAUSE OF HARDSHIP.

12:34PM	1	MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR, WE AGREE.
12:34PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: WE AGREE AS WELL, YOUR HONOR.
12:34PM	3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
12:34PM	4	135 WILL BE EXCUSED.
12:34PM	5	MAY I DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO 180.
12:34PM	6	I DO SO, AS YOU RECALL, IN THE COLLOQUY ABOUT THIS JUROR'S
12:35PM	7	KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE, HE TOLD US HE WATCHED HBO, CNBC, AND IN
12:35PM	8	RESPONSE TO MY QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER HE COULD BE FAIR, HE
12:35PM	9	SAID, "I DO NOT THINK SO."
12:35PM	10	MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR. I BELIEVE SHE
12:35PM	11	THE COURT: SHE.
12:35PM	12	MR. SCHENK: TOLD US THOSE THINGS, AND WE WOULD
12:35PM	13	NOT OBJECT TO EXCUSING HER FOR CAUSE.
12:35PM	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: WE AGREE, YOUR HONOR.
12:35PM	15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THAT'S THE
12:35PM	16	PROBLEM WITH USING NUMBERS.
12:35PM	17	SHE'S EXCUSED FOR CAUSE. THANK YOU.
12:35PM	18	LET ME ALSO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO 1 LET ME DRAW YOUR
12:36PM	19	ATTENTION TO 174.
12:36PM	20	I THINK, IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN, WAS THIS THE JUROR WHO HAD
12:36PM	21	CONVERSATIONS WITH FAMILY MEMBERS?
12:36PM	22	AND I ASKED ABOUT FAIRNESS, AND SHE DID NOT THINK THAT SHE
12:36PM	23	COULD BE FAIR.
12:36PM	24	MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR, THAT IS CORRECT.
12:36PM	25	SHE SAID SHE WAS NOT CONFIDENT THAT SHE COULD BE FAIR

12:36PM	1	GIVEN THE OPINIONS THAT HAD BEEN EXPRESSED TO HER BY FAMILY
12:36PM	2	MEMBERS.
12:36PM	3	THE COURT: RIGHT. I'M INCLINED TO OVER YOUR
12:36PM	4	OBJECTIONS, MR. COOPERSMITH, I'M GOING TO STRIKE JUROR 174.
12:36PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
12:36PM	6	THE COURT: NO OBJECTION, RIGHT?
12:36PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO OBJECTION.
12:36PM	8	THE COURT: RIGHT. THAT'S ALL I WANTED TO DO,
12:36PM	9	BEFORE WE BROUGHT THE PANEL IN, FROM THE BENCH.
12:37PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, THERE WAS ONE OR MAYBE
12:37PM	11	TWO OTHERS THAT MIGHT BE IN THAT SAME CATEGORY IF I COULD
12:37PM	12	SUGGEST TO THE COURT.
12:37PM	13	THERE WAS NUMBER 124. 124 HESITATED BEFORE SHE SAID SHE
12:37PM	14	COULD BE FAIR.
12:37PM	15	AND THEN MY NOTES REFLECT THAT SHE SAID BEING FAIR TO
12:37PM	16	MR. BALWANI, QUOTE, "IS GOING TO BE AN ISSUE."
12:37PM	17	THAT'S WHAT SHE SAID.
12:37PM	18	MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, THE COURT ASKED IF SHE
12:37PM	19	COULD BE FAIR, AND MY NOTES OR MY RECOLLECTION IS THAT SHE
12:37PM	20	SAID IT DEPENDS ON THE EVIDENCE, WHICH IS ACTUALLY PRECISELY
12:37PM	21	THE ANSWER THAT WE WOULD WANT JURORS TO GIVE WHEN THEY ARE
12:38PM	22	DESCRIBING WHETHER THEY HAVE ANY PRECONCEPTION OR AN IDEA ABOUT
12:38PM	23	WHAT THE VERDICT SHOULD BE.
12:38PM	24	SHE ALSO SAID THAT SHE WILL BE FAIR.
12:38PM	25	SHE EXPRESSED SOME PRECONCEPTIONS BASED ON WORK IN HEALTH

1 12:38PM 2 12:38PM IN THIS AREA. 3 12:38PM 12:38PM 4 12:38PM 12:38PM 6 12:38PM 7 12:38PM 8 12:38PM 9 12:38PM 10 12:38PM 11 12:39PM 12 12:39PM 13 12:39PM 14 12:39PM 15 INTENTION OF FRAUD." 12:39PM 16 12:39PM 17 12:39PM 18 12:39PM 19 12:39PM 20 WORK. 12:39PM 21 12:39PM 22 12:39PM 23 ISSUE." 12:39PM 24 12:39PM 25 SHOULD LEAD THE COURT TO EXCUSE HER.

CARE, SOME OF THE, I GUESS, INDUSTRY WORK THAT SHE HAD DONE. I THINK SHE HAS A PH.D. IN PHARMACOLOGY AND HAS SOME BACKGROUND BUT MY RECOLLECTION ALSO FROM HER QUESTIONNAIRE IS THAT IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION 39 SHE CHECKED "NOT SURE" BUT AGAIN WROTE, "IT DEPENDS ON THE CHARGES AND THE EVIDENCE." SO IT SEEMS THAT SHE'S COMING TO THIS COURTROOM WITH AN OPEN MIND AND SHE UNDERSTANDS WHAT HER BIASES ARE -- EVERYBODY COMES TO COURT WITH BIASES -- AND THEN SHE'S SAYING, I WANT TO SEE THE EVIDENCE AND THE CHARGES AND THEN I'LL MAKE UP MY MIND. SO WE WOULD OPPOSE EXCUSING HER ON CAUSE GROUNDS. MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, ON THIS QUESTIONNAIRE -- WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 124 -- SHE SAID THAT, QUOTE, "BECAUSE OF MY TRAINING AND KNOWLEDGE OBTAINED FROM MY PREVIOUS WORK EXPERIENCE, IT WAS VERY CLEAR TO ME ABOUT THE THEN IN QUESTIONS 41 AND 42, SHE SAID SHE WATCHED THE NEWS AND LEGAL ANALYSIS DAILY DURING THE ELIZABETH HOLMES TRIAL. SHE SAYS SHE HAS KNOWLEDGE ABOUT BLOOD TESTS FROM HER AND THEN IN RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S QUESTIONS, AS I SAID, SHE SAID BEING FAIR TO MR. BALWANI IS, QUOTE, "GOING TO BE AN I THINK THOSE SEVERAL DIFFERENT ANSWERS IN DIFFERENT SPOTS

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 1 12:39PM I DID NOTE HER RESPONSES, AND SHE DID FOLLOW THE CASE. 12:39PM SHE DID SAY SHE COULD BE FAIR. THERE WAS A PAUSE, I THINK, AS 12:39PM 3 12:40PM 4 YOU INDICATE. 12:40PM 5 THE COURT ALSO OBSERVED HER DEMEANOR WHEN RESPONDING TO 12:40PM 6 THE QUESTIONS. THERE WERE NODS OF THE HEADS AND THOSE TYPES OF 12:40PM 7 THINGS. I BELIEVE SHE DID RECEIVE THE COURT'S CUE ABOUT NOT 12:40PM 8 MENTIONING SPECIFICS. I DIDN'T WANT TO HEAR ANYTHING SPECIFIC 12:40PM 9 12:40PM 10 FROM JURORS. 12:40PM 11 AND MAY I SAY, I THINK THE JURORS' RESPONSES THIS MORNING 12:40PM 12 WERE VERY DISCIPLINED IN THAT REGARD, AND I THINK WE RECEIVED A 12:40PM 13 LOT OF INFORMATION FROM THEM, WITHOUT THE NEED TO HAVE THEM EXPRESS EXPLICITLY WHAT WAS SEEN OR HEARD OR READ. 12:40PM 14 12:40PM 15 THEY -- WHAT REALLY WAS AT ISSUE WAS WHAT EFFECT ANY OF THAT HAD ON THEM, AND WE RECEIVED THEIR RESPONSES TO THAT. 12:40PM 16 AS TO 124, I WAS WATCHING HER AND HER RESPONSE TO MY 12:40PM 17 12:40PM 18 QUESTION. 12:40PM 19 I DO HAVE SOME DOUBT JUST BASED ON THE RESPONSES, AND I AM 12:40PM 20 GOING TO EXCUSE 124 FOR CAUSE. MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 12:41PM 21 12:41PM 22 ANOTHER ONE TO BRING UP, 126, SHE DID NOT EXPRESS ANY 12:41PM 23 PARTICULAR ISSUE WITH BEING FAIR OR NOT, BUT SHE DID SAY THAT SHE RECOGNIZED ONE OF THE WITNESSES. 12:41PM 24 12:41PM 25 THE WITNESS WAS DR. TERRY HUFF FROM ARIZONA.

12:41PM	1	OBVIOUSLY I DON'T KNOW PRECISELY WHETHER IT IS THE SAME
12:41PM	2	PERSON. THE DESCRIPTION DID SOUND LIKE IT COULD WELL BE THE
12:41PM	3	SAME PERSON, AN OB/GYN PHYSICIAN FROM ARIZONA.
12:41PM	4	MAYBE MR. SCHENK CAN SHED MORE LIGHT ON THAT.
12:41PM	5	BUT I JUST WONDER ABOUT THE FAIRNESS OF A JUROR WHO HAS
12:41PM	6	I THINK SHE SAID SHE HAD A RELATIONSHIP AND IT WAS HER BEST
12:41PM	7	FRIEND'S PARENT AND
12:41PM	8	THE COURT: RIGHT.
12:41PM	9	MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, SHE MENTIONED KNOWLEDGE OF
12:41PM	10	TWO INDIVIDUALS ON THE LIST OF WITNESSES.
12:41PM	11	FROM HER DESCRIPTION, IT DOES NOT APPEAR TO BE THE SAME
12:42PM	12	CHRIS LUCAS. I CAN PRETTY SAFELY RULE THAT OUT FROM HER
12:42PM	13	DESCRIPTION.
12:42PM	14	THE COURT: OKAY.
12:42PM	15	MR. SCHENK: I THINK IT PROBABLY IS THE SAME
12:42PM	16	TERRY HUFF. THE GOVERNMENT WOULD COMMIT TO NOT CALLING
12:42PM	17	DR. HUFF IN THIS TRIAL.
12:42PM	18	THE COURT: OKAY.
12:42PM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: I THINK THAT SOLVES THAT,
12:42PM	20	YOUR HONOR.
12:42PM	21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
12:42PM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND THEN I'M LOOKING AT JUROR 161.
12:42PM	23	AND THIS JUROR MIGHT BE ONE, YOUR HONOR, THAT IT MIGHT BE
12:42PM	24	HELPFUL TO QUESTION HER INDIVIDUALLY, AND THAT'S BECAUSE IN THE
12:42PM	25	ANSWERS THAT THE JUROR GAVE, SHE SAID I THINK IT'S A SHE.

12:42PM	1	YES.
12:42PM	2	SHE SAID AT FIRST THAT SHE COULD BE FAIR. SHE HESITATED
12:42PM	3	BEFORE ANSWERING THE QUESTION. BUT THEN WHEN THE COURT ASKED
12:43PM	4	ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS, SHE SAID SHE'S NOT SURE SHE COULD BE
12:43PM	5	FAIR.
12:43PM	6	AND THEN IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE, I WOULD JUST NOTE THAT IN
12:43PM	7	RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS 56 AND 57 ON THE CULTURAL BIAS QUESTION,
12:43PM	8	SHE WROTE, "I'M NOT SURE. DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER THE
12:43PM	9	QUESTION."
12:43PM	10	AND THEN ON THE RELATIONSHIP ISSUE, SHE SAID SHE'S NOT
12:43PM	11	SURE WHAT SHE THINKS ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP.
12:43PM	12	SO I DON'T KNOW THAT THE RECORD IS FULLY DEVELOPED ON THAT
12:43PM	13	JUROR YET, BUT THERE DOES SEEM TO BE SOME QUESTION AND IT MIGHT
12:43PM	14	BE WISE TO QUESTION HER INDIVIDUALLY WHEN THERE'S AN
12:43PM	15	OPPORTUNITY FOR THAT.
12:43PM	16	THE COURT: WELL, WE'LL ALLOW MORE I'M NOT GOING
12:43PM	17	TO STRIKE FOR CAUSE NOW. WE'LL ALLOW FOR SOME ADDITIONAL
12:43PM	18	QUESTIONING AND THEN SEE WHERE THAT TAKES US AS TO THIS JUROR.
12:43PM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND THEN
12:43PM	20	THE COURT: OKAY.
12:43PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND THEN, YOUR HONOR, THERE'S
12:43PM	22	JUROR 165.
12:43PM	23	THE JUROR DID SAY HE COULD BE FAIR AT SOME POINT.
12:44PM	24	AND ACTUALLY THERE WERE MAYBE TWO OR THREE JURORS IN THIS
12:44PM	25	CATEGORY.

12:44PM	1	THE COURT DID A GOOD JOB, I THINK, OF NOT LETTING HIM
12:44PM	2	ANSWER WHEN HE WAS ABOUT TO SAY THAT HE KNEW ABOUT THE
12:44PM	3	CONVICTION IN THE PRIOR CASE, BUT AS WITH THE JURORS YESTERDAY,
12:44PM	4	THAT CATEGORY OF JURORS AND I THINK THERE ARE TWO OR THREE
12:44PM	5	OF THEM, INCLUDING 165 IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO QUESTION THEM
12:44PM	6	INDIVIDUALLY, YOUR HONOR.
12:44PM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, LET'S BEAR THAT IN MIND.
12:44PM	8	AND LET'S BRING OUR JURY BACK, AND WE CAN I'M NOT DONE
12:44PM	9	WITH MY QUESTIONS, AND IT SEEMS THAT WHEN I GET TO PAGE 11 OF
12:44PM	10	MY 19, WE HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF A ROADBLOCK. SO WE'VE GOT SOME
12:44PM	11	WORK TO DO HERE STILL.
12:44PM	12	SO LET'S GO FORWARD. WE'LL SEE WHAT WE NEED TO DO WITH
12:44PM	13	THESE OTHER JURORS.
12:44PM	14	MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU.
12:44PM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
12:44PM	16	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
12:44PM	17	(PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL IN AT 12:44 P.M.)
12:46PM	18	THE COURT: PLEASE BE SEATED. THANK YOU. THANK YOU
12:46PM	19	FOR YOUR COURTESY.
12:46PM	20	WE ARE BACK ON THE RECORD. OUR PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL
12:46PM	21	MEMBERS ARE BACK. ALL COUNSEL ARE BACK, AND MR. BALWANI.
12:46PM	22	THANK YOU, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. I'D LIKE TO CONTINUE
12:46PM	23	WITH MY QUESTIONS, PLEASE.
12:46PM	24	NOW, AS I WAS SAYING, THIS WILL BE A LENGTHY TRIAL, LADIES
12:46PM	25	AND GENTLEMEN, AND THERE MAY BE, THERE MAY BE CONTINUED NEWS

COVERAGE, I JUST DON'T KNOW, OF THE MEDIA IN THIS CASE. 1 12:47PM I WOULD JUST LIKE YOU TO KNOW THAT THE JURORS WILL BE 2 12:47PM INSTRUCTED NOT TO READ, LISTEN TO MEDIA COVERAGE OR DO ANY 3 12:47PM RESEARCH OR DO ANY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH ABOUT ANYTHING IN THIS 12:47PM 4 12:47PM 5 CASE. 12:47PM 6 THIS IS IMPORTANT, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, AS A JUROR'S DECISION ON A CASE MUST BE FORMED ONLY BY THE EVIDENCE ADMITTED 12:47PM 7 AND RECEIVED IN THE COURTROOM AND NOT ON ANY INFORMATION 12:47PM 8 RECEIVED OUTSIDE THE COURTHOUSE. 12:47PM 9 12:47PM 10 A JUROR WHO IS EXPOSED TO ANY OUTSIDE INFORMATION MUST 12:47PM 11 REPORT THAT EXPOSURE TO THE COURT, AND THAT MEANS TO ME VIA MY 12:47PM 12 STAFF. 12:47PM 13 IS THERE ANYONE WHO WOULD HAVE ANY DIFFICULTY FOLLOWING THAT ADMONITION? 12:47PM 14 12:47PM 15 I SEE NO HANDS. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT JUST THE NATURE OF THE CHARGES, 12:48PM 16 WIRE FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY, THAT YOU THINK WOULD CAUSE ANY OF 12:48PM 17 12:48PM 18 YOU DIFFICULTY TO SIT AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE? THIS IS JUST 12:48PM 19 THE NATURE OF THE CHARGES THEMSELVES. 12:48PM 20 I SEE NO HANDS. HAVE ANY OF YOU SERVED AS A JUROR IN A CRIMINAL OR A CIVIL 12:48PM 21 12:48PM 22 CASE, OR AS A MEMBER OF A GRAND JURY PREVIOUSLY? ANY JURY 12:48PM 23 EXPERIENCE IN THE PAST FROM ANYONE? 12:48PM 24 YES, I SEE A HAND. WE'LL GET THE MICROPHONE TO YOU. 12:48PM 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M JUROR 160.

12:48PM	1	THE COURT: YES. THANK YOU.
12:48PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND I SERVED IN A CASE ABOUT
12:48PM	3	15 YEARS AGO, I THINK.
12:48PM	4	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WAS IT A CRIMINAL CASE OR A
12:48PM	5	CIVIL CASE?
12:48PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A CRIMINAL CASE.
12:48PM	7	THE COURT: AND WAS THAT IN STATE COURT?
12:48PM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS IN THIS COURT.
12:49PM	9	THE COURT: IN FEDERAL COURT?
12:49PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: FEDERAL COURT.
12:49PM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
12:49PM	12	AND WITHOUT TELLING ME CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT THE NATURE
12:49PM	13	OF THE CHARGES WERE, IF YOU REMEMBER?
12:49PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO LIST YOU
12:49PM	15	THE CHARGES.
12:49PM	16	THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY.
12:49PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THERE WERE ABOUT 13 CHARGES.
12:49PM	18	THE COURT: OKAY. CAN YOU TELL ME, WITHOUT TELLING
12:49PM	19	ME WHAT THE VERDICT WAS, BUT CAN YOU TELL ME, WAS THE JURY ABLE
12:49PM	20	TO REACH A VERDICT OR VERDICTS IN THAT CASE?
12:49PM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WE WERE NOT ABLE TO REACH A
12:49PM	22	VERDICT.
12:49PM	23	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
12:49PM	24	AND THAT WAS 15 YEARS AGO? SOMETHING LIKE THAT?
12:49PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

12:49PM	1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
12:49PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT'S BEEN A WHILE, YES.
12:49PM	3	THE COURT: RIGHT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
12:49PM	4	EXPERIENCE THAT YOU THINK WOULD AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR
12:49PM	5	TO BOTH SIDES IN THIS CASE?
12:49PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
12:49PM	7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
12:49PM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YOU'RE WELCOME.
12:50PM	9	THE COURT: ANY OTHER PRIOR JURY SERVICE FROM
12:50PM	10	ANYONE?
12:50PM	11	I SEE NO HANDS.
12:50PM	12	HAVE YOU OR ANYONE CLOSE TO YOU EVER BEEN ACCUSED OF WIRE
12:50PM	13	FRAUD OR ALLEGATIONS REGARDING FRAUDULENT CONDUCT? ANYONE HAVE
12:50PM	14	THAT EXPERIENCE?
12:50PM	15	AGAIN, WE CAN TALK PRIVATELY IF YOU WISH.
12:50PM	16	YES.
12:50PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WHILE THE CASE DID NOT INVOLVE
12:50PM	18	WIRE FRAUD, ABOUT 20 YEARS AGO I WAS THE SUBORDINATE TO A
12:50PM	19	PUBLIC OFFICIAL WHO WAS CHARGED AND PLED NO CONTEST TO CHARGES
12:50PM	20	THAT INCLUDED FRAUD IN HUMBOLDT SUPERIOR COURT.
12:50PM	21	THE COURT: AND YOU'RE JUROR?
12:50PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 115.
12:50PM	23	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
12:51PM	24	AND THE CONDUCT OF, I'LL JUST SAY YOUR SUPERIOR IN THAT
12:51PM	25	CASE, THAT IN NO WAY RELATED TO YOU, YOUR CONDUCT? IT WAS

12:51PM	1	THAT
12:51PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT IS CORRECT.
12:51PM	3	THE COURT: DID YOU WERE YOU CAUSED TO TESTIFY AT
12:51PM	4	A HEARING OF ANY KIND?
12:51PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. I ASSISTED THE
12:51PM	6	INVESTIGATING AGENCY IN DEVELOPING THEIR CASE.
12:51PM	7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU WERE INTERVIEWED BY THE
12:51PM	8	AUTHORITIES IN THE CASE?
12:51PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
12:51PM	10	THE COURT: ASSISTED THEM IN THEIR INVESTIGATION?
12:51PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I DID.
12:51PM	12	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
12:51PM	13	AND REPORTS WERE MADE BASED ON YOUR INTERVIEW I PRESUME?
12:51PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I BELIEVE SO.
12:51PM	15	THE COURT: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
12:51PM	16	EXPERIENCE THAT YOU THINK IMPAIRS YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND
12:51PM	17	IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES HERE?
12:51PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
12:51PM	19	THE COURT: OKAY. THE FACT THAT YOU DID ASSIST IN A
12:51PM	20	PROSECUTION, ALBEIT 20 YEARS AGO, DOES THAT CAUSE THE DEFENSE
12:51PM	21	WORRY THAT YOU MIGHT BE ALIGNED WITH PROSECUTIONS?
12:51PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T BELIEVE IT SHOULD.
12:51PM	23	THE COURT: OKAY. AND THAT'S BECAUSE IT DOESN'T?
12:51PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, IT DOES NOT.
12:51PM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

12:51PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THESE CASES ARE VERY DISSIMILAR.
12:52PM	2	THE COURT: OKAY. AND YOU CAN BE FAIR TO THE
12:52PM	3	GOVERNMENT?
12:52PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
12:52PM	5	THE COURT: YOU CAN FAIR TO THE DEFENSE?
12:52PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I CAN.
12:52PM	7	THE COURT: ANY PROBLEM PRESUMING MR. BALWANI TO BE
12:52PM	8	INNOCENT
12:52PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT AT ALL.
12:52PM	10	THE COURT: AS HE SITS HERE TODAY?
12:52PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
12:52PM	12	THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE ME TO KNOW
12:52PM	13	ABOUT THAT?
12:52PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, YOUR HONOR.
12:52PM	15	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU FOR SHARING THAT.
12:52PM	16	ANY OTHER RESPONSE TO THIS QUESTION?
12:52PM	17	I SEE NO HANDS.
12:52PM	18	HAVE YOU OR ANYONE CLOSE TO YOU EVER BEEN EMPLOYED BY A
12:52PM	19	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, INCLUDING A DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
12:52PM	20	OR UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, FBI, I.R.S., OR ANYONE IN
12:52PM	21	THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM, INCLUDING LAWYERS OR
12:52PM	22	INVESTIGATORS? ANYONE?
12:52PM	23	I SEE A HAND IN THE BACK.
12:53PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I'M 181.
12:53PM	25	THE COURT: YES.

12:53PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE A FRIEND WHO WORKS FOR
12:53PM	2	MEDICARE FRAUD. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE DEPARTMENT IS, HEALTH
12:53PM	3	AND HUMAN SERVICES, I THINK. HE INVESTIGATES MEDICARE FRAUD
12:53PM	4	AND BUSTS CRIMINALS I GUESS.
12:53PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU TALK TO YOUR FRIEND ABOUT
12:53PM	6	HIS WORK?
12:53PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OFTEN.
12:53PM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THOSE
12:53PM	9	CONVERSATIONS THAT YOU THINK WOULD IMPAIR OR AFFECT YOUR
12:53PM	10	ABILITY TO BE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES HERE?
12:53PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK SO. HE HAS VERY
12:53PM	12	LITTLE TOLERANCE FOR NONSENSE AND IT'S VERY CLEAR. BUT I THINK
12:53PM	13	I CAN BE IMPARTIAL, NO PROBLEM.
12:53PM	14	THE COURT: OKAY. WOULD YOU BE IF YOU'RE SEATED
12:53PM	15	AS A JUROR IN THIS CASE, WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO IF HE WERE TO
12:53PM	16	DISCOVER THAT, IF HE WERE TO DISCOVER THAT YOU'RE A JUROR IN
12:53PM	17	THIS CASE, WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO REFRAIN FROM TALKING WITH HIM
12:53PM	18	ABOUT YOUR JURY SERVICE AND ABOUT THIS CASE?
12:53PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CERTAINLY. CERTAINLY.
12:53PM	20	THE COURT: AND YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO TELL HIM THAT
12:54PM	21	YOU CAN'T DISCUSS THE FACTS OR CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE?
12:54PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD TELL HIM THAT. HE WOULD
12:54PM	23	ACCEPT THAT BECAUSE HE'S VERY BY THE BOOK.
12:54PM	24	THE COURT: OKAY. IF YOU WERE SEATED AS A JUROR AND
12:54PM	25	THE EVIDENCE WERE TO SHOW YOU IN YOUR MIND THAT YOU SHOULD VOTE

12:54PM	1	FOR NOT GUILTY IN THIS CASE, WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO DO THAT AND
12:54PM	2	STILL FACE YOUR FRIEND?
12:54PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CERTAINLY.
12:54PM	4	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY DIFFICULTY ABOUT HAVING
12:54PM	5	THANKSGIVING DINNER WITH HIM KNOWING THAT YOU VOTED NOT GUILTY?
12:54PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO PROBLEM AT ALL.
12:54PM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU DON'T THINK THAT WOULD BE AN
12:54PM	8	ISSUE?
12:54PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, NO.
12:54PM	10	THE COURT: OKAY. I'M GOING TO ASK YOU AGAIN, CAN
12:54PM	11	YOU BE FAIR TO MR. BALWANI IN THIS CASE?
12:54PM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SURE.
12:54PM	13	THE COURT: IS THERE ANY DOUBT IN YOUR MIND ABOUT
12:54PM	14	THAT?
12:54PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YOU KNOW, I'VE HEARD GENERAL
12:54PM	16	THINGS IN THE NEWS ABOUT THERANOS AND STUFF, SO I HAVE A
12:54PM	17	GENERAL OPINION.
12:54PM	18	BUT I'VE NEVER DONE ANY RESEARCH ON MY OWN TO FORM MY OWN
12:54PM	19	OPINION, SO I THINK I CAN HEAR THE EVIDENCE.
12:54PM	20	THE COURT: OKAY. CAN YOU PUT EVERYTHING THAT YOU
12:54PM	21	HEARD OUTSIDE OF THE COURTROOM AND NOT THINK ABOUT IT AND LET
12:55PM	22	IT AFFECT YOUR DECISION AND THOUGHT PROCESS IN THIS CASE AS A
12:55PM	23	JUROR?
12:55PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I CAN DO THAT.
12:55PM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. ARE YOU GOING TO HAVE ANY

12:55PM	1	DIFFICULTY TO DO THAT?
12:55PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, I DON'T THINK SO. I HAVE TO
12:55PM	3	REMIND MYSELF TO BE IMPARTIAL, BUT I DON'T THINK IT WOULD BE
12:55PM	4	TOO DIFFICULT TO DO.
12:55PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
12:55PM	6	THERE WAS ANOTHER HAND, I THINK, IN THE FRONT.
12:55PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 133.
12:55PM	8	THE COURT: YES.
12:55PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE A FRIEND WHO DOESN'T
12:55PM	10	HE'S IN LAW SCHOOL RIGHT NOW, BUT ONCE HE GRADUATES IN MAY
12:55PM	11	HE'LL BE WORKING FOR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AS A LAWYER.
12:55PM	12	THE COURT: DO YOU KNOW WHAT BRANCH OF THE FEDERAL
12:55PM	13	GOVERNMENT?
12:55PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T KNOW. I THINK IT'S THE
12:55PM	15	ELEVENTH CIRCUIT.
12:55PM	16	THE COURT: I'M SORRY, THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT?
12:55PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT,
12:55PM	18	YEAH, I THINK.
12:55PM	19	THE COURT: IT'S A HE?
12:55PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HE, YES.
12:55PM	21	THE COURT: IS HE GOING TO CLERK FOR A JUDGE? IS
12:55PM	22	THAT WHAT YOU THINK?
12:56PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M UNSURE. HE'S MAINLY TALKING
12:56PM	24	ABOUT WHAT HE'S DOING RIGHT NOW, BUT I KNOW HE'S GOING TO
12:56PM	25	FEDERAL.

12:56PM	1	THIS IS NEW INFORMATION THAT I GATHERED AFTER FILLING OUT
12:56PM	2	MY QUESTIONNAIRE.
12:56PM	3	THE COURT: I SEE. I SEE.
12:56PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
12:56PM	5	THE COURT: AND IS THAT PERSON IN THEIR FINAL YEAR
12:56PM	6	OF LAW SCHOOL?
12:56PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
12:56PM	8	THE COURT: THIRD YEAR?
12:56PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, HE GRADUATES IN MAY.
12:56PM	10	THE COURT: OKAY. AND THEN HE'S GOING TO AFTER
12:56PM	11	HE SITS FOR THE BAR, THEN HE'S GOING TO WORK, AS FAR AS YOU
12:56PM	12	KNOW, FOR A CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE?
12:56PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
12:56PM	14	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY. HAVE YOU TALKED TO YOUR
12:56PM	15	FRIEND ANYTHING ABOUT THE LAW?
12:56PM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
12:56PM	17	THE COURT: AND APART FROM HIS LOVE FOR THE LAW, HAS
12:56PM	18	HE SAID ANYTHING ELSE TO YOU ABOUT IT?
12:56PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOTHING IN, LIKE, PARTICULAR.
12:56PM	20	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
12:56PM	21	IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR KNOWLEDGE OF HIM AND THE FACT
12:56PM	22	THAT HE'S GOING TO BE A LAWYER THAT YOU THINK WILL AFFECT YOUR
12:56PM	23	ABILITY TO BE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES HERE?
12:56PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
12:56PM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. IF YOU'RE SEATED AS A JUROR IN

12:56PM	1	THIS CASE, WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO REFRAIN, TO KEEP FROM
12:56PM	2	DISCUSSING YOUR SERVICE WITH HIM?
12:57PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
12:57PM	4	THE COURT: OKAY. ANY DOUBT ABOUT THAT?
12:57PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
12:57PM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
12:57PM	7	ANYONE ELSE?
12:57PM	8	I SEE NO HANDS.
12:57PM	9	WOULD ANY OF YOU GIVE GREATER OR LESSER CREDENCE TO A
12:57PM	10	WITNESS WHO IS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER, AGENT, OR GOVERNMENT
12:57PM	11	REPRESENTATIVE SIMPLY BECAUSE THAT WITNESS IS A LAW ENFORCEMENT
12:57PM	12	OFFICER, AGENT, OR GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE?
12:57PM	13	THIS QUESTION IS DESIGNED TO ASK, WOULD YOU GIVE GREATER
12:57PM	14	OR LESSER WEIGHT TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OR SOMEONE CONNECTED WITH
12:57PM	15	LAW ENFORCEMENT JUST BECAUSE OF THEIR JOB, NOT EVEN THINKING
12:57PM	16	ABOUT WHAT THEIR TESTIMONY IS, BUT JUST BECAUSE OF THE NATURE
12:57PM	17	OF THEIR JOB, WOULD ANY OF YOU TEND TO GIVE GREATER OR LESSER
12:57PM	18	WEIGHT TO THAT TESTIMONY JUST BECAUSE OF THE EMPLOYMENT?
12:57PM	19	I SEE A HAND IN THE BACK. YES. 181.
12:58PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I ANSWERED THIS YES ON THE
12:58PM	21	QUESTIONNAIRE.
12:58PM	22	I THINK LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS ARE TRAINED TO DO THIS
12:58PM	23	EVERY DAY. THEY FACE IT MORE OFTEN THAN WE DO. I THINK THEIR
12:58PM	24	CREDIBILITY IS A LOT HIGHER BECAUSE OF THAT. THEY HAVE MORE
12:58PM	25	EXPERIENCE. THEY HAVE MORE TRAINING ON HOW TO DEAL WITH IT. I

12:58PM	1	THINK THEY HAVE A LOT MORE CREDIBILITY, YEAH.
12:58PM	2	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
12:58PM	3	DO YOU THINK THAT, DO YOU THINK THAT, LAW ENFORCEMENT
12:58PM	4	BEING HUMAN, DO YOU THINK THAT THEY EVER MAKE A MISTAKE?
12:58PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, I THINK THEY MAKE MISTAKES
12:58PM	6	OFTEN, YES.
12:58PM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. AND WOULD YOU CONSIDER THAT WHEN
12:58PM	8	YOU CONSIDER THE TESTIMONY OF ANY WITNESS, NOT JUST LAW
12:58PM	9	ENFORCEMENT, BUT ANY WITNESS?
12:58PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK YOU WOULD HAVE TO, YEAH.
12:58PM	11	THE COURT: RIGHT. AND I APPRECIATE YOUR ANSWER,
12:58PM	12	BECAUSE I ANTICIPATE THAT THERE WILL BE WITNESSES CALLED BY THE
12:58PM	13	GOVERNMENT WHO ARE RELATED TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OR LAW
12:59PM	14	ENFORCEMENT AGENTS OR AGENCIES.
12:59PM	15	AS I SAID EARLIER, WHAT THE PARTIES WANT ARE JURORS WHO
12:59PM	16	CAN WEIGH THE CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES BASED ON THE NATURE, THE
12:59PM	17	QUALITY, AND THE CHARACTER OF THEIR TESTIMONY, INCLUDING THE
12:59PM	18	DEMEANOR OF THE WITNESS WHILE THEY TESTIFY. THAT'S ANOTHER
12:59PM	19	FACTOR THAT JURORS CAN USE TO CONSIDER THE QUALITY OF THE
12:59PM	20	TESTIMONY.
12:59PM	21	IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU THINK YOU CAN DO? CAN YOU
12:59PM	22	LISTEN YOU JUST TOLD ME, WELL, I THINK I WOULD GIVE MORE
12:59PM	23	CREDIBILITY BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THEIR WORK.
12:59PM	24	I APPRECIATE THAT.
12:59PM	25	BUT THAT'S WHAT THE JOB OF A JUROR FOR THIS CASE REQUIRES.

12:59PM	1	IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU THINK YOU CAN DO?
12:59PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK SO.
12:59PM	3	I THINK A POLICE OFFICER WOULD START OFF WITH HIGHER
12:59PM	4	CREDIBILITY NORMALLY, BUT BASED ON HIS OR HER TESTIMONY, THEY
12:59PM	5	COULD DEFINITELY LOWER IT.
12:59PM	6	SO IT DEPENDS ON HOW THEY BEHAVE. IT DEPENDS ON THE
12:59PM	7	NATURE OF THEIR TESTIMONY. LIKE, IT'S UP TO THEM, YEAH.
12:59PM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. AND THANK YOU FOR THAT.
12:59PM	9	WHAT I HEAR YOU SAYING IS A WITNESS, LAW ENFORCEMENT
01:00PM	10	STARTS OFF HIGH AND THEN, AS OPPOSED TO A LAY WITNESS, A
01:00PM	11	PRIVATE CITIZEN WITNESS, YOU THINK THEY START OFF HIGHER, AND
01:00PM	12	THEN YOU'LL LISTEN TO SEE IF THEIR TESTIMONY GETS DOWN TO THE
01:00PM	13	LEVEL OF A NON-LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSON?
01:00PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
01:00PM	15	THE COURT: OKAY. IF I TOLD YOU THAT THE PREFERENCE
01:00PM	16	IS FOR ALL WITNESSES TO STAY THE SAME, START OFF THE SAME,
01:00PM	17	WITHOUT REGARD TO JUST BECAUSE OF THEIR JOB, BUT ALL WITNESSES
01:00PM	18	SHOULD BE GIVEN THE SAME WEIGHT, BUT THE JURY THEN DECIDES HOW
01:00PM	19	MUCH CREDIBILITY TO GIVE TO WEIGH TO BASED ON THEIR
01:00PM	20	TESTIMONY, NOT NECESSARILY THEIR JOB, ALTHOUGH THAT'S A FACTOR
01:00PM	21	THAT CAN BE CONSIDERED, BUT NOT THE ONLY FACTOR, DOES THAT
01:00PM	22	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT CONCEPT?
01:00PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DO UNDERSTAND THAT, AND I WILL
01:00PM	24	FOLLOW THE RULES, YEAH.
01:00PM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, THAT'S A GOOD THANK YOU

FOR THAT. 1 01:00PM IF I INSTRUCT YOU THAT THAT'S THE LAW, IF I GIVE YOU 2 01:01PM INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO WEIGH CREDIBILITY, YOU'LL BE ABLE TO 3 01:01PM 01:01PM 4 FOLLOW THOSE INSTRUCTIONS? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WILL, YES. 01:01PM THE COURT: AND THIS IS NOT A -- LET ME SAY, I'M NOT 01:01PM 6 PICKING ON YOU NUMBER 181, BUT IT'S A COMMON -- THIS IS VERY 01:01PM 7 COMMON, HAVING INDIVIDUALS OR JURORS WHO KNOW LAW ENFORCEMENT 01:01PM 8 OR OTHER PROFESSIONS, DOCTORS OR OTHER PROFESSIONS, THEY 01:01PM 9 01:01PM 10 FREQUENTLY SAY, WELL, GEE, I KNOW THE WORK THEY DO, I KNOW 01:01PM 11 THEIR BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE, SO, YEAH, I THINK I WOULD GIVE 01:01PM 12 THEM MORE WEIGHT. BUT SOMETIMES THAT'S NOT FAIR TO BOTH SIDES JUST BECAUSE 01:01PM 13 OF THEIR JOBS. THE IMPORTANCE AND THE QUALITY AND THE NATURE 01:01PM 14 01:01PM 15 AND CHARACTER OF THE TESTIMONY IS SOMETHING THAT SHOULD BE ANALYZED BY THE JURY. 01:01PM 16 01:01PM 17 AS YOU SAID, I THINK YOU ALL RECOGNIZED WE'RE HUMANS 01:01PM 18 SUBJECT TO FOIBLE AND MISTAKES AND ERROR, AND JUDGES MAKE 01:02PM 19 MISTAKES AND THEY HAVE APPELLATE COURTS THAT GRADE OUR PAPERS 01:02PM 20 AND THEY CAN TELL US ABOUT OUR MISTAKES. SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO RECOGNIZE THAT. 01:02PM 21 01:02PM 22 ANY OUESTIONS OR ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE ME TO KNOW 01:02PM 23 ABOUT THAT, SIR? 01:02PM 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO MORE. THANKS. 01:02PM 25 THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.

ANYONE ELSE IN REGARDS TO THIS QUESTION? 1 01:02PM 2 I SEE NO HANDS. 01:02PM DO ANY OF YOU HAVE ANY OPINIONS ABOUT THE UNITED STATES 3 01:02PM 01:02PM 4 GOVERNMENT OR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ANY FEDERAL OR STATE 01:02PM 5 LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY THAT MAY AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL IN THIS CASE? ANYONE FEEL THAT MIGHT BE AN 01:02PM 6 01:02PM 7 ISSUE? I SEE NO HANDS. 01:02PM 8 IS ANYONE OF THE OPINION THAT THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM 01:02PM 9 01:02PM 10 IS FUNDAMENTALLY UNFAIR IN SOME WAY SUCH THAT YOUR ABILITY TO 01:02PM 11 BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES MIGHT BE COMPROMISED? 01:02PM 12 ANYONE FEEL THAT JUST THE NATURE OF THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE 01:03PM 13 SYSTEM HAS ISSUES THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE A JUROR IN THIS CASE? 01:03PM 14 01:03PM 15 I SEE NO HANDS. A DEFENDANT IN A CRIMINAL CASE IS PRESUMED TO BE INNOCENT. 01:03PM 16 01:03PM 17 THIS PRESUMPTION REQUIRES THE GOVERNMENT TO PROVE EACH ELEMENT 01:03PM 18 OF A CRIME BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. 01:03PM 19 PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT IS PROOF THAT LEAVES YOU 01:03PM 20 FIRMLY CONVINCED THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY. IT IS NOT REQUIRED THAT THE GOVERNMENT PROVE GUILT BEYOND 01:03PM 21 01:03PM 22 ALL POSSIBLE DOUBT. A REASONABLE DOUBT IS A DOUBT BASED UPON 01:03PM 23 REASON AND COMMON SENSE AND IS NOT BASED PURELY ON SPECULATION. 01:03PM 24 IT MAY ARISE FROM A CAREFUL AND IMPARTIAL CONSIDERATION OF ALL 01:03PM 25 OF THE EVIDENCE, OR FROM A LACK OF EVIDENCE.

IF AFTER A CAREFUL AND IMPARTIAL CONSIDERATION OF ALL OF 1 01:03PM 2 THE EVIDENCE YOU ARE NOT CONVINCED BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT 01:03PM THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY, IT IS YOUR DUTY TO FIND THE 3 01:03PM 01:04PM 4 DEFENDANT NOT GUILTY. 01:04PM 5 ON THE OTHER HAND, IF AFTER A CAREFUL AND IMPARTIAL 01:04PM 6 CONSIDERATION OF ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, YOU ARE CONVINCED BEYOND 01:04PM 7 A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GUILTY, IT IS YOUR DUTY TO FIND THE DEFENDANT GUILTY. 01:04PM 8 CAN YOU ALL APPLY THE LAW AS GIVEN BY THE COURT, INCLUDING 01:04PM 9 01:04PM 10 THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE, AND THE GOVERNMENT'S BURDEN OF 01:04PM 11 PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT? IS THERE ANYONE WHO CANNOT DO 01:04PM 12 THAT? I SEE NO HANDS. 01:04PM 13 IS THERE ANYONE WHO BELIEVES THAT JUST BECAUSE MR. BALWANI 01:04PM 14 01:04PM 15 IS PRESENT IN THIS COURT, HE MUST BE GUILTY? ANYONE FEEL THAT? I SEE NO HANDS. 01:04PM 16 01:04PM 17 IS THERE ANYONE WHO CANNOT PRESUME MR. BALWANI INNOCENT OF 01:04PM 18 THE CHARGES AS HE SITS HERE RIGHT NOW? ANYONE WHO HAS 01:04PM 19 DIFFICULTY OR PARTS COMPANY WITH THAT CONCEPT? 01:05PM 20 I SEE NO HANDS. NOW, YOU HAVE SEEN A VIDEO THAT DISCUSSES THE TOPIC OF 01:05PM 21 01:05PM 22 UNCONSCIOUS BIAS. WE SHOW THAT VIDEO AS AN AID TO PROSPECTIVE 01:05PM 23 JURORS AS THEY CONSIDER THEIR JURY SERVICE AND THE TASK BEFORE 01:05PM 24 THEM. 01:05PM 25 IT IS MEANT AS AN EDUCATIONAL TOOL TO INFORM REGARDING

RESEARCH AND STUDIES ON THE ISSUES OF BIAS AND UNCONSCIOUS 1 01:05PM BIAS, AND I HOPE THAT YOU FOUND THAT VIDEO HELPFUL AND 2 01:05PM 3 INFORMATIVE. 01:05PM 01:05PM 4 IN OUR NATION AND STATE WE HAVE CITIZENS, RESIDENTS AND NONCITIZENS, FROM MANY DIFFERENT RACES, ETHNIC AND CULTURAL 01:05PM 01:05PM 6 BACKGROUNDS. 01:05PM 7 IN THE JURISDICTION OF THIS COURT, WE ENJOY A RICH DIVERSITY OF INDIVIDUALS AND CULTURES. 01:05PM 8 UNDER THE LAW, ALL PEOPLE WHO APPEAR IN COURT, REGARDLESS 01:05PM 9 01:05PM 10 OF RACE, RELIGION, ETHNIC HERITAGE, GENDER, AGE, OR SEXUAL 01:05PM 11 ORIENTATION ARE ENTITLED TO DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW, AND WE 01:06PM 12 GUARANTEE EACH PERSON THE RIGHT TO A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIAL. 01:06PM 13 WE ARE TO JUDGE EACH INDIVIDUAL AS WE WOULD WANT TO BE JUDGED, FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY. 01:06PM 14 01:06PM 15 NOW, IT MAY APPEAR THAT ONE OR MORE OF THE PARTIES, ATTORNEYS, OR WITNESSES COME FROM A NATIONAL, RACIAL, OR 01:06PM 16 RELIGIOUS GROUP OR MAY HAVE A DIFFERENT LIFESTYLE FROM YOUR 01:06PM 17 01:06PM 18 OWN. 01:06PM 19 WOULD THIS IN ANY WAY AFFECT ANY OF YOUR JUDGMENTS OR THE 01:06PM 20 WEIGHT AND CREDIBILITY YOU WOULD GIVE TO THE EVIDENCE IN THIS 01:06PM 21 CASE? ANYONE WHO FEELS THAT THAT MIGHT BE AN ISSUE FOR THEM? 01:06PM 22 I SEE NO HANDS. 01:06PM 23 TO REACH A VERDICT, THE JURY MUST BE UNANIMOUS. 01:06PM 24 DO ALL OF YOU ACCEPT THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE JURY'S 01:06PM 25 VERDICT BE UNANIMOUS? ANYONE WHO PARTS COMPANY WITH THAT

01:06PM	1	CONCEPT OR DISAGREES WITH THAT?
01:06PM	2	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:06PM	3	DOES ANYONE FEEL THAT BECAUSE OF A PHILOSOPHICAL, MORAL,
01:07PM	4	OR RELIGIOUS OR OTHER REASON, THEY CANNOT SIT AS A JUROR IN A
01:07PM	5	CRIMINAL CASE, OR THAT WOULD CAUSE DISCOMFORT OR AN INABILITY
01:07PM	6	TO REACH A VERDICT IN A CRIMINAL CASE? ANYONE FEEL THAT THAT
01:07PM	7	MIGHT BE AN ISSUE FOR THEM?
01:07PM	8	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:07PM	9	AS A JURY, YOU'RE NOT TO CONSIDER OR SPECULATE ON THE
01:07PM	10	ISSUE OF PUNISHMENT. THAT QUESTION IS SOLELY IN THE PROVINCE
01:07PM	11	OF THE COURT.
01:07PM	12	IS THERE ANYONE WHO PARTS COMPANY WITH THAT? ANYONE WHO
01:07PM	13	FEELS THAT THAT IS NOT APPROPRIATE?
01:07PM	14	IS THERE ANYONE WHO DOES NOT UNDERSTAND THAT CONCEPT?
01:07PM	15	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:07PM	16	IN OUR COURTS, AN ACCUSED HAS THE RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT
01:07PM	17	AND TO NOT TESTIFY. A DEFENDANT MAY CHOOSE TO RELY ON THE
01:07PM	18	STATE OF THE EVIDENCE AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE GOVERNMENT'S
01:07PM	19	CASE AND PRESENT NO AFFIRMATIVE EVIDENCE.
01:07PM	20	DO ALL OF YOU ACCEPT A DEFENDANT'S RIGHT UNDER THE
01:08PM	21	CONSTITUTION TO REMAIN SILENT AND, THUS, CHOOSE NOT TO TESTIFY
01:08PM	22	IN THIS CASE? IS THERE ANYONE WHO PARTS COMPANY WITH THAT?
01:08PM	23	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:08PM	24	IF MR. BALWANI RELIES ON HIS RIGHT NOT TO TESTIFY, WILL
01:08PM	25	ANYONE HOLD THAT AGAINST HIM?

01:08PM	1	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:08PM	2	WOULD ANYONE FEEL LIKE HE WOULD BE HIDING SOMETHING FROM
01:08PM	3	YOU AS A JUROR IF HE DID NOT TESTIFY?
01:08PM	4	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:08PM	5	ARE ANY OF YOU OR ANY MEMBERS OF YOUR FAMILY OR CLOSE
01:08PM	6	FRIENDS ATTORNEYS, LAW STUDENTS, OR PARALEGALS?
01:08PM	7	AND I KNOW JUROR NUMBER 133, WE KNOW ABOUT YOUR FRIEND.
01:08PM	8	ANYONE ELSE WHO HAS FRIENDS IN THE LEGAL BUSINESS?
01:08PM	9	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:08PM	10	HAVE YOU OR OH, I'M SORRY. I DO SEE A HAND. I BEG
01:08PM	11	YOUR PARDON.
01:08PM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M JUROR 125.
01:08PM	13	THE COURT: YES.
01:09PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY FRIEND IS GENERAL COUNSEL FOR
01:09PM	15	VTA.
01:09PM	16	THE COURT: YOU HAVE YOUR FRIEND IS THE GENERAL
01:09PM	17	COUNSEL FOR THE
01:09PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: VTA.
01:09PM	19	THE COURT: VTA, THE VALLEY TRANSIT ASSOCIATION?
01:09PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:09PM	21	THE COURT: AND HOW LONG HAVE THEY HAD THAT
01:09PM	22	POSITION?
01:09PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: FOR SURE I THINK IT'S THREE
01:09PM	24	YEARS.
01:09PM	25	THE COURT: I THINK ROB FAVELA USED TO BE THE HEAD

01:09PM	1	OF THAT. DO YOU KNOW ROB FAVELA?
01:09PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SOMEONE WAS RETIRING AND THEN
01:09PM	3	SHE WAS ACTING, AND I THINK SHE BECAME PERMANENT.
01:09PM	4	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY. AND DO YOU DISCUSS HER
01:09PM	5	WORK WITH HER?
01:09PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WHEN WE MEET SOMETIMES, WHATEVER
01:10PM	7	IS IN THE NEWS.
01:10PM	8	THE COURT: I SEE. ABOUT THE VTA?
01:10PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT.
01:10PM	10	THE COURT: OKAY. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT
01:10PM	11	RELATIONSHIP, FRIENDSHIP, AND YOUR DISCUSSION WITH HER OF HER
01:10PM	12	WORK THAT YOU THINK WOULD AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR TO
01:10PM	13	BOTH SIDES HERE?
01:10PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:10PM	15	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THANK YOU
01:10PM	16	FOR THAT.
01:10PM	17	ANYONE ELSE? BEHIND YOU, PLEASE.
01:10PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 143.
01:10PM	19	MY SISTER-IN-LAW IS, OR WAS, A PROSECUTOR IN MURRAY CITY,
01:10PM	20	UTAH. BUT SHE NO LONGER DOES THAT AND NOW WORKS AT THE
01:10PM	21	DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
01:10PM	22	THE COURT: OKAY. DID YOU TALK WITH HER ABOUT HER
01:10PM	23	WORK AS A PROSECUTOR?
01:10PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, WE NEVER TALKED ABOUT THAT.
01:10PM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. DID SHE PROSECUTE CRIMINAL CASES,

01:10PM	1	DO YOU KNOW?
01:10PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:10PM	3	THE COURT: IT WAS CRIMINAL CASES?
01:10PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:10PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY.
01:10PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, SHE PROSECUTED FOR THE
01:10PM	7	CITY.
01:10PM	8	THE COURT: I SEE. THANK YOU.
01:10PM	9	ANYTHING ABOUT THAT RELATIONSHIP THAT YOU THINK WILL
01:10PM	10	IMPAIR YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES HERE?
01:10PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT AT ALL.
01:10PM	12	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:10PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YOU'RE WELCOME.
01:11PM	14	THE COURT: ANYONE ELSE?
01:11PM	15	HAVE YOU OR ANYONE CLOSE TO YOU EVER BEEN INVOLVED WITH OR
01:11PM	16	APPEARED AS A DEFENDANT, VICTIM, OR WITNESS IN ANY
01:11PM	17	INVESTIGATION BY A GOVERNMENT AGENCY, WHICH WOULD INCLUDE
01:11PM	18	POLICE DEPARTMENT, SHERIFF'S OFFICES, FEDERAL AGENCIES?
01:11PM	19	AND WE CAN SPEAK PRIVATELY ABOUT THIS IF YOU WISH.
01:11PM	20	ANYONE HAVE THOSE EXPERIENCES?
01:11PM	21	YES. THIS IS 117, I THINK. YES.
01:11PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, 117.
01:11PM	23	THE COURT: YES.
01:11PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY YOUNGER SISTER WAS CHARGED
01:11PM	25	SEVERAL YEARS AGO IN COLORADO WITH A DUI AND VEHICULAR ASSAULT,

01:11PM	1	AND SHE PLED GUILTY AND SERVED ABOUT 90 DAYS IN JAIL.
01:12PM	2	THE COURT: OKAY. HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT, SIR? I'M
01:12PM	3	SORRY.
01:12PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ABOUT FIVE YEARS AGO.
01:12PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. WERE YOU INVOLVED IN THE CASE AT
01:12PM	6	ALL? DID YOU GO TO COURT AND TESTIFY?
01:12PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:12PM	8	THE COURT: OKAY. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT,
01:12PM	9	YOUR SISTER'S SITUATION, THAT YOU THINK AFFECTS YOUR ABILITY TO
01:12PM	10	BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TO BOTH SIDES HERE?
01:12PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:12PM	12	THE COURT: DO YOU FEEL THAT YOUR SISTER WAS
01:12PM	13	HANDLED HER CASE WAS HANDLED FAIRLY BY THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE
01:12PM	14	SYSTEM?
01:12PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:12PM	16	THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU HAVE ANY GRUDGE ABOUT
01:12PM	17	PROSECUTORS BECAUSE OF THAT SITUATION?
01:12PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE SOME PERSONAL FEELINGS
01:12PM	19	ABOUT HOW NOT NECESSARILY THE PROSECUTION, BUT THE CIVIL SIDE
01:12PM	20	OF IT WAS HANDLED.
01:12PM	21	THE COURT: I SEE. THERE WAS A CIVIL ACTION
01:12PM	22	INVOLVED AS WELL?
01:12PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:12PM	24	THE COURT: I SEE. AND THAT WAS OUTSIDE
01:12PM	25	OBVIOUSLY WELL, MAYBE NOT SO OBVIOUS BUT THAT WAS OUTSIDE

01:13PM	1	OF THE CRIMINAL PROSECUTION?
01:13PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT. THAT WAS SECONDARY.
01:13PM	3	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:13PM	4	AND SO THE ISSUES THAT YOU HAVE RELATE TO THAT CIVIL
01:13PM	5	PROCESS, NOT THE CRIMINAL PROSECUTION?
01:13PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:13PM	7	THE COURT: THIS IF YOU'RE SEATED AS A JUROR IN
01:13PM	8	THIS CASE, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE THE MINDSET, WELL, NOW I
01:13PM	9	CAN GET EVEN WITH THE PROSECUTORS?
01:13PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH, NOT AT ALL.
01:13PM	11	THE COURT: OKAY. CAN YOU BE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES
01:13PM	12	HERE, THE DEFENSE
01:13PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:13PM	14	THE COURT: AND THE PROSECUTION?
01:13PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:13PM	16	THE COURT: THANK YOU. ANYTHING ELSE YOU WANT US TO
01:13PM	17	KNOW ABOUT THAT?
01:13PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. THANK YOU.
01:13PM	19	THE COURT: YOU'RE WELCOME.
01:13PM	20	ANYONE ELSE?
01:13PM	21	YES?
01:13PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 115.
01:13PM	23	I JUST WANT TO REFERENCE MY EARLIER RESPONSE. THAT CASE
01:13PM	24	NEVER WENT TO TRIAL AS THE DEFENDANT PLED NO CONTEST, BUT AS I
01:13PM	25	MENTIONED EARLIER, I WAS INTERVIEWED BY THE INVESTIGATORS.

01:13PM	1	THE COURT: RIGHT. I WOULD BE VERY SURPRISED IF
01:14PM	2	YOUR ANSWER TO MY PREVIOUS QUESTION HAD CHANGED FROM NOW.
01:14PM	3	IS YOUR ANSWER THE SAME, IN OTHER WORDS, THAT WOULD
01:14PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WOULD HAVE NO BEARING ON THIS
01:14PM	5	CASE.
01:14PM	6	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH.
01:14PM	7	THANKS, SIR.
01:14PM	8	ANYONE ELSE? OH, IN THE BACK. YES.
01:14PM	9	YOU'RE RUNNING AROUND, AREN'T YOU?
01:14PM	10	THE CLERK: YES. IT'S GOOD EXERCISE.
01:14PM	11	THE COURT: GETTING THOSE STEPS IN.
01:14PM	12	YES, SIR?
01:14PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WAS ACCUSED OF UNFAIR LABOR
01:14PM	14	FOR MY COMPANY, AND THEY ACCUSED THE OTHER, LIKE THE OTHER
01:14PM	15	COMPANY WHICH IS NOT IN OPERATION, AND THEY GRANTED THE, THEY
01:14PM	16	GRANTED THE VERDICT TO THEM.
01:14PM	17	THE COURT: OKAY.
01:14PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO BUT IT'S NOT THE COMPANY,
01:14PM	19	BUT THEY LET US PAY FOR THE AMOUNT THAT WE WERE ACCUSED.
01:15PM	20	THE COURT: I SEE. SO YOU WERE FIRST OF ALL, MAY
01:15PM	21	I KNOW YOUR JUROR NUMBER?
01:15PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 179.
01:15PM	23	THE COURT: 179.
01:15PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 179. YEAH.
01:15PM	25	THE COURT: 179. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, SIR.

01:15PM	1	AND HOW LONG AGO WAS THIS SITUATION?
01:15PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT WAS, LIKE, ALMOST TEN YEARS
01:15PM	3	AGO.
01:15PM	4	THE COURT: TEN YEARS.
01:15PM	5	DID YOU GO TO A COURT OR AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING OF ANY
01:15PM	6	KIND?
01:15PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. WE WENT ALSO WE WENT
01:15PM	8	ALSO TO APPEAL IN SUPERIOR COURT.
01:15PM	9	THE COURT: YES.
01:15PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND ALSO TO THE APPELLATE COURT
01:15PM	11	OF CALIFORNIA, BUT WE WERE DENIED.
01:15PM	12	THE COURT: I SEE. YOU WENT TO THE SUPREME COURT?
01:15PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WE APPLIED.
01:15PM	14	THE COURT: TO THE APPELLATE COURT?
01:15PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BECAUSE IT'S NOT THE RIGHT
01:15PM	16	COMPANY.
01:15PM	17	THE COURT: I SEE.
01:15PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
01:15PM	19	THE COURT: WELL, DO YOU HAVE IS THERE ANYTHING
01:15PM	20	ABOUT THAT EXPERIENCE, SIR, THAT YOU THINK WILL AFFECT YOUR
01:15PM	21	ABILITY TO BE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES IN THIS CASE?
01:15PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:16PM	23	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
01:16PM	24	SIR. THANK YOU.
01:16PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU.

01:16PM	1	THE COURT: ANY OTHER RESPONSES TO THIS QUESTION?
01:16PM	2	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:16PM	3	HAVE YOU OR ANYONE CLOSE TO YOU EVER BEEN INVOLVED IN ANY
01:16PM	4	LITIGATION OR CLAIMS AGAINST THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT OR
01:16PM	5	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ANY OTHER MUNICIPALITY? ANYONE HAVE
01:16PM	6	LITIGATION WITH THOSE?
01:16PM	7	YES. OH, BEFORE WE COME DOWN HERE, LET'S
01:16PM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 165.
01:16PM	9	MY FAMILY, ALONG WITH OTHER FAMILIES IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD
01:16PM	10	WHERE WE LIVE, ARE CURRENTLY IN LITIGATION WITH THE LOCAL WATER
01:16PM	11	DISTRICT.
01:16PM	12	THE COURT: OKAY. OKAY. IS THAT THE SAN JOSE WATER
01:17PM	13	DISTRICT OR A DIFFERENT
01:17PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK IT'S SARATOGA.
01:17PM	15	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:17PM	16	AND ARE YOU ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE LITIGATION?
01:17PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I KEEP UP, BUT I'M NOT IN
01:17PM	18	CONTACT WITH THE LAWYERS. THAT'S THROUGH OTHER PEOPLE.
01:17PM	19	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT
01:17PM	20	THAT LITIGATION THAT WILL IMPAIR YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR TO
01:17PM	21	BOTH SIDES IN THIS CASE?
01:17PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:17PM	23	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:17PM	24	OKAY. THIS IS JUROR 113, I BELIEVE.
01:17PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. SO IN THE NORMAL COURSE OF

01:17PM	1	MY JOB DUTIES I GET INVOLVED IN INVESTIGATIONS IN FRAUD
01:17PM	2	MATTERS. I'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN A NUMBER OF S.E.C.
01:17PM	3	INVESTIGATIONS WORKING ON BEHALF OF OUR CLIENTS ON BEHALF OF
01:17PM	4	OUTSIDE RETAINED COUNSEL. IT'S JUST THE NORMAL COURSE OF MY
01:17PM	5	DAY-TO-DAY JOB.
01:17PM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:17PM	7	IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR WORK THAT YOU THINK MIGHT
01:17PM	8	IMPAIR YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR? I KNOW I ASKED YOU THIS THIS
01:17PM	9	MORNING, I THINK.
01:17PM	10	BUT YOU'VE DONE INVESTIGATIONS, YOU KNOW ABOUT S.E.C.
01:18PM	11	REGULATIONS, YOU KNOW ABOUT REPORTINGS AND THESE TYPES OF
01:18PM	12	THINGS.
01:18PM	13	IS THERE DO YOU HAVE A SENSE THAT I DON'T KNOW WHAT
01:18PM	14	THE EVIDENCE MAY BE IN THIS CASE. THERE MAY BE SOME EVIDENCE
01:18PM	15	ABOUT REPORTING OF SOME SORT OR REGULATIONS OF SOME SORT. I
01:18PM	16	JUST DON'T KNOW.
01:18PM	17	BUT WOULD YOU BE ABLE TO PUT ASIDE YOUR PROFESSIONAL
01:18PM	18	EXPERIENCE AND KNOWLEDGE AND DECIDE THIS CASE JUST ON WHAT YOU
01:18PM	19	HEARD HERE? IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU COULD DO?
01:18PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I MEAN, IN THE NORMAL COURSE OF
01:18PM	21	DOING THE WORK THAT I DO, WE LET THE FACTS SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES
01:18PM	22	AND WE DON'T RELY TO CONCLUSIONS WITHOUT LOOKING AT THE FACTS.
01:18PM	23	SO EVEN THOUGH I DO FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS EVERY DAY, YOU
01:18PM	24	KNOW, WE DON'T GO IN WITH A PRECONCEIVED NOTION.
01:18PM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, WHAT ABOUT IN THIS CASE?

DO YOU COME IN WITH A PRECONCEIVED NOTION HERE? 1 01:18PM PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. 2 01:18PM THE COURT: OKAY. IF YOU'RE SEATED AS A JUROR IN 3 01:18PM 01:19PM 4 THIS CASE AND YOU HEAR A WORD AND IT MIGHT TRIGGER YOU TO SAY, THAT'S SOMETHING INTERESTING, I HAVEN'T SEEN THAT BEFORE, OR I 01:19PM HAVE SEEN THAT BEFORE AND IT'S GOOD OR BAD, OR WHATEVER YOUR 01:19PM 6 01:19PM 7 EXPERIENCE IS, CAN YOU PUT THAT ASIDE AND NOT VIEW THE EVIDENCE THROUGH THAT LENS? 01:19PM 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I MEAN, I WOULDN'T PUT MY 01:19PM 9 01:19PM 10 EXPERIENCE ASIDE RELATIVE TO JUST UNDERSTANDING THE FACTS AND 01:19PM 11 CIRCUMSTANCES. 01:19PM 12 AND THEN IF I'VE SEEN BEHAVIOR THAT I'VE SEEN SIMILARLY, 01:19PM 13 THEN THAT WOULD BE HARD TO PUT ASIDE. THE COURT: RIGHT. AND I THINK THAT'S THE CONCERN 01:19PM 14 01:19PM 15 THAT THE LAWYERS HAVE, AND I HAVE, TOO, IS THAT IF YOU HEAR SOMETHING AND YOU SEE SOMETHING AND YOU MIGHT, BASED ON A CASE 01:19PM 16 01:19PM 17 OR A SITUATION THAT YOU'VE WORKED ON IN THE PAST, YOU MAY SAY, 01:19PM 18 OH, WELL, I'VE SEEN THIS BEFORE AND THIS IS HOW IT WORKED OUT, 01:19PM 19 EVEN THOUGH THAT EVIDENCE HAS NOT BEEN INTRODUCED IN THIS CASE. 01:19PM 20 YOU'VE HEARD ME TALK ABOUT THIS ALL DAY. WOULD YOU BE 01:19PM 21 ABLE TO PARSE THAT OUT AND JUST MAKE YOUR DECISION BASED ON 01:19PM 22 WHAT YOU HEAR IN THIS COURTROOM AND NOT, NOT ON SPECIFICALLY 01:20PM 23 OTHER CASES THAT YOU MAY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WITH? 01:20PM 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I MEAN, MY EXPERIENCES ARE WHAT 01:20PM 25 THEY ARE. BUT I JUST TAKE THE FACTS AS THEY'RE PRESENTED AND

01:20PM	1	MAKE THE DECISION BASED ON THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED.
01:20PM	2	THE COURT: OKAY. IN THIS CASE YOU'LL DO THAT?
01:20PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
01:20PM	4	THE COURT: ANY DOUBT ABOUT YOUR ABILITY TO PARSE
01:20PM	5	THOSE OUT?
01:20PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:20PM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
01:20PM	8	AS TO ALL OF YOU, IF YOU ARE SELECTED TO SIT ON THIS CASE,
01:20PM	9	WILL YOU BE ABLE TO RENDER A VERDICT SOLELY ON THE EVIDENCE
01:20PM	10	PRESENTED AT THE TRIAL AND IN THE CONTEXT OF THE LAW AS I GIVE
01:20PM	11	IT TO YOU IN MY INSTRUCTIONS, DISREGARDING ANY OTHER IDEAS,
01:20PM	12	NOTIONS, OR BELIEFS ABOUT THE LAW THAT YOU MAY HAVE ENCOUNTERED
01:21PM	13	IN REACHING YOUR VERDICT?
01:21PM	14	IS THERE ANYONE WHO CANNOT DO THIS?
01:21PM	15	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:21PM	16	CAN ANY OF YOU THINK OF ANY OTHER REASON WHY YOU MIGHT NOT
01:21PM	17	BE ABLE TO TRY THIS CASE FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY TO BOTH THE
01:21PM	18	GOVERNMENT AND THE DEFENSE, OR WHY YOU SHOULD NOT BE ON THIS
01:21PM	19	JURY?
01:21PM	20	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:21PM	21	MR. SCHENK, DO YOU HAVE QUESTIONS?
01:21PM	22	MR. SCHENK: YES. THANK YOU.
01:21PM	23	YOUR HONOR, MAY I?
01:21PM	24	THE COURT: YES, PLEASE. THANK YOU.
01:21PM	25	MR. SCHENK: GOOD AFTERNOON.

YOU HEARD THIS MORNING THAT MY NAME IS JEFF SCHENK. I'M 1 01:21PM 2 ONE OF THE PROSECUTORS ON THE CASE. 01:21PM CAN EVERYBODY HEAR ME OKAY? 3 01:21PM 01:21PM 4 GREAT. THANK YOU. I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS THAT I'D LIKE TO ASK JUST OF 01:21PM 01:21PM 6 THE ENTIRE PANEL, AND IF YOU HAVE A RESPONSE TO IT, PLEASE 01:22PM 7 RAISE YOUR HAND AND WE'LL HAVE FURTHER DIALOGUE. THE FIRST QUESTION THAT I HAVE IS, SOME PEOPLE VIEW JURY 01:22PM 8 SERVICE AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO SIT IN JUDGMENT OF ANOTHER HUMAN 01:22PM 9 01:22PM 10 BEING, AND MANY PEOPLE HAVE PERSONAL BELIEFS THAT DISCOURAGE 01:22PM 11 THAT, OR MAYBE IT WOULD PREVENT THEM FROM DOING THAT. 01:22PM 12 AND I'M WONDERING IF ANYBODY HAS A CONCERN IN THAT RESPECT? DOES ANYBODY THINK THAT WHAT JURY SERVICE ASKS OF 01:22PM 13 YOU, TO SIT IN JUDGE OF SOMEONE ELSE'S ACTIONS, IS SOMETHING 01:22PM 14 01:22PM 15 THAT THEY'RE NOT PERSONALLY COMFORTABLE WITH? DOES ANYONE HAVE A CONCERN OR WANT TO SAY ANYTHING ABOUT 01:22PM 16 01:22PM 17 THAT TOPIC? 01:22PM 18 GREAT. 01:22PM 19 THE NEXT TOPIC I WANTED TO TALK WITH YOU IS JUDGE DAVILA 01:22PM 20 ASKED YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR VIEW ON LAW ENFORCEMENT WITNESSES, THAT THERE MAY BE LAW ENFORCEMENT WITNESSES WHO 01:22PM 21 01:22PM 22 TESTIFY IN THIS TRIAL. PEOPLE HAVE VIEWS ON INDIVIDUALS WHO 01:22PM 23 MAKE A CAREER OF LAW ENFORCEMENT, AND WOULD YOU GIVE IT MORE OR 01:22PM 24 LESS CREDIBILITY. 01:22PM 25 I WANT TO ASK A SIMILAR QUESTION, BUT ABOUT DOCTORS.

1 01:23PM 2 01:23PM 3 01:23PM 01:23PM 4 01:23PM 5 01:23PM 6 01:23PM 7 01:23PM 8 01:23PM 9 01:23PM 10 01:23PM 11 01:23PM 12 01:23PM 13 01:23PM 14 01:23PM 15 01:23PM 16 01:24PM 17 01:24PM 18 01:24PM 19 01:24PM 20 01:24PM 21 01:24PM 22 01:24PM 23 01:24PM 24 01:24PM 25

THERE MAY BE DOCTORS WHO TESTIFY IN THIS TRIAL, AND I WONDER IF PEOPLE HAVE HAD IN PARTICULAR NEGATIVE EXPERIENCES WITH DOCTORS OR PEOPLE IN THE MEDICAL PROFESSION SO THAT IF A DOCTOR WAS TESTIFYING ON THE WITNESS STAND, YOU MIGHT HAVE SOME TROUBLE BELIEVING WHAT THE DOCTOR SAYS OR BELIEVING THAT THEY'RE A CREDIBLE WITNESS.

ANYBODY HAVE PERSONAL EXPERIENCES WITH DOCTORS? I'M NOT AS MUCH INTERESTED AS TO WHAT THOSE EXPERIENCES WERE, BUT JUST WHETHER YOU'VE HAD THOSE EXPERIENCES AND IF IT WOULD GIVE YOU SOME CONCERN ABOUT FAIRLY EVALUATING THE TESTIMONY OF A DOCTOR.

## ANYTHING?

I SEE NO HANDS. THANK YOU.

NEXT IS I'M WONDERING ABOUT ADDICTION TO SOCIAL MEDIA OR NEWS. JUDGE DAVILA HAS TOLD YOU THAT THERE'S A CHANCE THERE WILL BE SOME MEDIA COVERAGE OF THIS TRIAL, THAT THERE MIGHT BE NEWS STORIES OR ARTICLES WRITTEN, SEGMENTS ON TELEVISION ABOUT IT.

PART OF WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE ASKED TO DO IS TO AVOID ALL OF THE MEDIA, TO NOT CONSUME ANY MEDIA, TO NOT TALK TO PEOPLE ABOUT THE TRIAL.

AND I KNOW THESE DAYS THAT CAN BE DIFFICULT. YOUR PHONE TELLS YOU STORIES THAT YOU'RE NOT ASKING IT ABOUT, AND YOU WALK INTO ROOMS AND T.V.'S ARE ON AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

I'M WONDERING IF ANYBODY HAS A CONCERN ABOUT YOUR ABILITY TO ENTER THIS MEDIA BLACKOUT, AT LEAST FOR THIS CASE OR

1 01:24PM 2 01:24PM 3 01:24PM 01:24PM 4 01:24PM 01:24PM 6 01:24PM 7 01:24PM 8 01:25PM 9 01:25PM 10 01:25PM 11 01:25PM 12 01:25PM 13 01:25PM 14 01:25PM 15 01:25PM 16 01:25PM 17 01:25PM 18 01:25PM 19 01:25PM 20 01:25PM 21 01:25PM 22 01:25PM 23 01:25PM 24

01:25PM 25

ANYTHING RELATED TO THIS.

I SEE ONE HAND. IF I COULD PASS YOU THE MIKE AND IF YOU WOULDN'T MIND STARTING WITH YOUR JUROR NUMBER.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 165.

I SPEND A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF MY DAY ON THE COMPUTER. I WORK REMOTELY, AND WHEN I'M NOT ON THE COMPUTER, THERE'S ALWAYS A T.V. ON, CNN, THINGS LIKE THAT, AS WELL AS JUST I SPEND A LOT OF MY FREE TIME WHEN I'M JUST BY MYSELF ON REDDIT, TWITTER, THINGS OF THAT NATURE.

AND MOST OF MY FREE TIME, IF I -- DUE TO THE PANDEMIC I CAN'T SPEND TOO MUCH TIME WITH MY FRIENDS, EVEN THOUGH IT'S STARTING TO FREE UP A BIT MORE, AND I FILL A LOT OF MY TIME WITH SOCIAL MEDIA, THINGS OF THAT NATURE.

SO I'M CONCERNED ON THAT FRONT ABOUT WHAT I WOULD FILL MY TIME WITH, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE I DO GET ADS FOR HULU AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE WHERE I COULD SEE POTENTIAL THINGS THAT MIGHT INVOLVE THE CASE.

MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU FOR SHARING THAT.

DO YOU THINK THAT YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO, FOR INSTANCE, IF THE T.V. IS ON DURING THE DAY, PUT ON A DIFFERENT CHANNEL, ONE THAT IS NOT NEWS?

OR YOU'VE DESCRIBED SOME WEBSITES THAT YOU VISIT THAT MIGHT BE LIKELY TO HAVE STORIES ABOUT THIS CASE. DO YOU THINK THAT YOU COULD VISIT DIFFERENT WEBSITES, OR IS THAT REALLY JUST NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE ABLE TO COMPLY WITH?

01:25PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK I COULD, BUT I WOULD
01:26PM	2	HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THOSE WOULD BE BECAUSE THERE'S JUST A HANDFUL
01:26PM	3	OF WEBSITES THAT I ROUTINELY VISIT, SO I WOULDN'T KNOW WHAT I
01:26PM	4	WOULD SUBSTITUTE THEM WITH IF THAT WAS THE THING.
01:26PM	5	MR. SCHENK: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
01:26PM	6	ANYBODY ELSE WITH A YES.
01:26PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 133.
01:26PM	8	SO ONE OF MY REALLY GOOD FRIENDS IS, LIKE, REALLY BIG ON
01:26PM	9	THE BIOTECH SPACE. HE DOES LIKE A BUNCH OF RESEARCH IN THAT
01:26PM	10	SPACE, AND I EXPECT I HAVEN'T HEARD HIM TALK ABOUT IT YET,
01:26PM	11	BUT I SUSPECT THAT THIS MAY BE A TRIAL THAT HE WOULD BE
01:26PM	12	INTERESTED IN.
01:26PM	13	AND, LIKE, I FEEL LIKE THERE'S NO WAY I COULD SAY, HEY,
01:26PM	14	DON'T SEND ME INFORMATION ON THIS WITHOUT ME GIVING AWAY
01:26PM	15	DETAILS ABOUT THE TRIAL, THAT KIND OF STUFF, BECAUSE I KNOW
01:26PM	16	WE'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO TALK ABOUT IT.
01:26PM	17	SO I FEEL LIKE HE MAY SHARE INFORMATION WITHOUT KNOWING
01:26PM	18	THAT I'M NOT SUPPOSED TO SEE THAT INFORMATION. SO I THINK THAT
01:26PM	19	MIGHT BE A LITTLE HARD TO AVOID.
01:26PM	20	MR. SCHENK: WHEN YOU SAY THAT HE WOULD SHARE
01:27PM	21	INFORMATION WITH YOU, IS IT DIRECTLY TO YOU?
01:27PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THROUGH LIKE A GROUP TEXT
01:27PM	23	MESSAGE, THAT KIND OF THING.
01:27PM	24	MR. SCHENK: SO IF THE JUDGE GAVE YOU PERMISSION TO
01:27PM	25	SAY THE NAME OF THE CASE, BUT NOTHING ABOUT THE CASE, SO THAT

YOU TOLD YOUR FRIEND, YOU CANNOT TELL ME ANYTHING ABOUT THIS --1 01:27PM PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I THINK THAT WOULD BE 2 01:27PM OKAY. 3 01:27PM MR. SCHENK: THAT WOULD WORK? 01:27PM 4 01:27PM 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU. 01:27PM 6 01:27PM 7 ARE THERE ANY OTHER HANDS TO THAT OUESTION? CAN I GRAB THE MIKE? 01:27PM 8 LAST I WANT TO TALK TO PEOPLE ABOUT WHAT IT WILL BE LIKE 01:27PM 9 01:27PM 10 DURING YOUR SERVICE IN THE COURTROOM AND PHYSICALLY WHERE YOU 01:27PM 11 WOULD SIT. 01:27PM 12 DURING THE TRIAL, JURORS WOULD SIT WHERE THE PROSPECTIVE 01:27PM 13 JURORS ARE SEATED RIGHT NOW WITHIN THE BOX, THEY WOULD SIT 01:27PM 14 WITHIN THE TWO CHAIRS ON EACH SIDE OF THE JURY BOX, AND THEN ON 01:27PM 15 THE FIRST ROW OF THE GALLERY WHERE THE SIX OF YOU ARE SEATED 01:28PM 16 NOW. 01:28PM 17 DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL, MANY OF THE DOCUMENTS THAT 01:28PM 18 ARE INTRODUCED IN EVIDENCE ARE NOT HANDED PHYSICALLY IN PAPER 01:28PM 19 TO JURORS, BUT RATHER DISPLAYED ON THE SCREENS. THERE ARE FIVE 01:28PM 20 SMALL SCREENS AT THE FRONT OF THE JURY BOX, AND THERE'S A 01:28PM 21 SCREEN ON THE WALL TO YOUR LEFT, AND THERE'S ANOTHER SCREEN ON 01:28PM 22 THE OTHER SIDE OF THE COURTROOM. 01:28PM 23 THE TRIAL MAY INCLUDE MANY DOCUMENTS, MANY INSTANCES WHEN YOU'RE ASKED TO LOOK AT THE SCREEN. WITNESSES WILL BE TALKING 01:28PM 24 01:28PM 25 ABOUT THE EXHIBITS OR THE DOCUMENTS, BUT TO FOLLOW ALONG, YOU

01:28PM	1	JUST NEED TO SEE THE SCREENS AND BE ABLE TO OBSERVE THEM.
01:28PM	2	I'M WONDERING IF ANYONE THINKS THEY MAY HAVE TROUBLE WITH
01:28PM	3	THAT, SORT OF THE DISTANCES, WHERE THEY WOULD BE ASKED TO SIT
01:28PM	4	AND WHETHER THEY COULD SEE AND READ THE SCREENS WITHOUT ANY
01:28PM	5	PROBLEMS?
01:28PM	6	ANYBODY HAVE ANY CONCERNS WITH THAT?
01:28PM	7	NO. I SEE NO HANDS. THANK YOU.
01:28PM	8	NO FURTHER QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.
01:28PM	9	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
01:28PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH.
01:28PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
01:29PM	12	GOOD AFTERNOON, EVERYONE. MY NAME IS JEFF COOPERSMITH,
01:29PM	13	AND I REPRESENT SUNNY BALWANI. HE'S SEATED AT COUNSEL TABLE.
01:29PM	14	I KNOW YOU DIDN'T HAVE A LOT OF CHOICE, BUT THANK YOU FOR
01:29PM	15	YOUR TIME IN ANY EVENT.
01:29PM	16	I JUST WANT TO GO THROUGH SOME QUESTIONS, SOME FOR THE
01:29PM	17	WHOLE GROUP, AND SOME FOR PARTICULAR JURORS.
01:29PM	18	WE ONLY HAVE SO MUCH TIME, SO IF I DON'T CALL ON YOU, I
01:29PM	19	DON'T MEAN ANY OFFENSE. IT'S JUST THE NATURE OF THE
01:29PM	20	PROCEEDING.
01:29PM	21	AND I WILL, AS JUDGE DAVILA TOLD YOU, BE CALLING YOU BY
01:29PM	22	YOUR JUROR NUMBER. I KNOW YOU ALL HAVE NAMES, AND I DON'T MEAN
01:29PM	23	ANY DISRESPECT, BUT THAT'S THE PROTOCOL HERE.
01:29PM	24	I WANT TO EMPHASIZE THIS PROCESS, AS JUDGE DAVILA TOLD
01:30PM	25	YOU, IS IMPORTANT TO MAKE SURE THERE'S A FAIR TRIAL.

1 01:30PM 2 01:30PM 3 01:30PM 01:30PM 4 01:30PM 01:30PM 6 01:30PM 7 01:30PM 8 01:30PM 9 01:30PM 10 01:30PM 11 01:30PM 12 01:30PM 13 01:30PM 14 01:30PM 15 01:31PM 16 01:31PM 17 01:31PM 18 01:31PM 19 01:31PM 20 01:31PM 21 01:31PM 22 01:31PM 23 01:31PM 24 01:31PM 25

AND THERE'S NO WRONG ANSWERS HERE. NO ONE IS JUDGING ANY ANSWER, I'M CERTAINLY NOT, AND I KNOW NO ONE ELSE IN THE ROOM IS. IT'S REALLY WHAT YOU THINK AND FEEL.

IF THERE'S SOMETHING THAT IS IMPORTANT IN AN ANSWER TO A QUESTION THAT I ASK AND YOU THINK THAT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU NEED TO TALK ABOUT IN PRIVATE, OF COURSE PLEASE SAY THAT AND, WITH THE JUDGE'S PERMISSION, WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO DO THAT.

OKAY. THE FIRST QUESTION I HAVE IS THIS CASE, SOME OF YOU MAY KNOW ALREADY, INVOLVES SOME PRETTY TECHNICAL, DENSE EVIDENCE. THERE'S SOME VERY SCIENTIFIC RELATED ISSUES INVOLVING BLOOD TESTING AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

AS LAWYERS, I THINK ON BOTH SIDES, I THINK WE'RE GOING TO DO OUR BEST TO TRY TO MAKE THAT UNDERSTANDABLE AND ACCESSIBLE TO EVERYONE AND HAVE WITNESSES EXPLAIN TECHNICAL DOCUMENTS AND CONCEPTS, EVEN IF YOU'RE NOT ORDINARILY FAMILIAR WITH THOSE THINGS.

MY QUESTION FOR THE WHOLE GROUP HERE IS -- AND IF YOU COULD RAISE YOUR HAND -- DOES ANYONE, KNOWING THAT, THAT THERE MIGHT BE SOME VERY TECHNICAL INFORMATION, HAVE ANY QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER YOU COULD FOLLOW THAT, YOU KNOW, FOR ANY REASON, WHETHER IT'S A LANGUAGE ISSUE OR ANY OTHER REASON? IF THAT'S GOING TO BE AN ISSUE, JUST RAISE YOUR HAND.

AND AGAIN, IF IT'S SOMETHING YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT IN PRIVATE, YOU KNOW, WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO DO THAT, TOO.

JUROR 165.

01:31PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I WAS JUST
01:31PM	2	THE COURT: LET'S GET THE MICROPHONE SO WE CAN ALL
01:31PM	3	HEAR YOU.
01:31PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THIS IS NOT SO MUCH A CONCERN
01:31PM	5	NECESSARILY ABOUT THE TECHNICALITY, BUT IF WE DON'T UNDERSTAND
01:31PM	6	IT ON THE FIRST GO AROUND, WILL WE BE ABLE TO ASK THE JUDGE OR
01:31PM	7	THE WITNESS TO BE ABLE TO RESTATE IT MAYBE IN MORE SIMPLIFIED
01:31PM	8	TERMS, OR ARE THINGS LIKE THAT NOT APPLICABLE IN THIS
01:31PM	9	SITUATION? BECAUSE I FEEL LIKE IF I DON'T FULLY UNDERSTAND,
01:32PM	10	MAYBE I MIGHT MISINTERPRET SOMETHING.
01:32PM	11	SO I'M WONDERING IF THAT'S OF CONCERN AT ALL.
01:32PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES. THANK YOU FOR YOUR QUESTION.
01:32PM	13	I WOULD DEFER TO JUDGE DAVILA ON THAT ISSUE OF THE JUROR
01:32PM	14	ASKING QUESTIONS.
01:32PM	15	THE COURT: SURE, SURE.
01:32PM	16	THANK YOU, MR. COOPERSMITH.
01:32PM	17	ONE I DO INSTRUCT THE JURY IN MY PRELIMINARY
01:32PM	18	INSTRUCTIONS, ONCE YOU'RE ALL SEATED, WHOEVER IS SEATED, I DO
01:32PM	19	GIVE A PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTIONS THAT PREFACE THE INTRODUCTION
01:32PM	20	OF EVIDENCE.
01:32PM	21	ONE OF THOSE INSTRUCTIONS IS TO TELL YOU I DO NOT PERMIT
01:32PM	22	QUESTIONS FROM THE JURY.
01:32PM	23	NOW, THE LAWYERS KNOW THIS, AND AS MR. COOPERSMITH
01:32PM	24	SUGGESTS, THERE MAY BE SOME EVIDENCE THAT IS SCIENTIFIC IN
01:32PM	25	NATURE. IT HAS TERMS THAT WILL TEST OUR COURT REPORTER AND

01:32PM	1	SPELLING AND THINGS LIKE THAT.
01:32PM	2	BUT I WILL TELL YOU THIS: THE LAWYERS KNOW THIS, THEY'RE
01:32PM	3	EXPERIENCED LAWYERS, AND THEY KNOW, TO BEST GET THE INFORMATION
01:33PM	4	IN FRONT OF YOU, THEY WILL MAKE IT UNDERSTANDABLE.
01:33PM	5	THEY KNOW THEIR CASES. IF THERE'S A TERM THAT THEY THINK
01:33PM	6	IS GOING TO CAUSE DIFFICULTY, THEY'LL GO OVER IT TWO OR THREE
01:33PM	7	TIMES TO MAKE SURE.
01:33PM	8	AND IT MIGHT BE, IF YOU'RE SEATED AS A JUROR, YOU MIGHT BE
01:33PM	9	THINKING IN YOUR HEAD, WHY IS HE ASKING THAT FOUR TIMES?
01:33PM	10	WELL, THE REASON IS TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S CLARITY
01:33PM	11	ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR TOPIC, AND THAT'S WHAT THEY DO.
01:33PM	12	I WILL TELL YOU THIS: I CAN I THINK I CAN GUARANTEE
01:33PM	13	YOU, THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE ANY TRIGONOMETRY, GEOMETRY
01:33PM	14	QUESTIONS. YOU WON'T BE ASKED TO SOLVE CALCULUS OR ANYTHING
01:33PM	15	LIKE THAT HERE.
01:33PM	16	AM I RIGHT ABOUT THAT, COUNSEL?
01:33PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
01:33PM	18	THE COURT: RIGHT. THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE ANY MATH
01:33PM	19	QUIZ OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT ON THIS.
01:33PM	20	BUT THE INFORMATION MIGHT BE TECHNICAL IN SOME SENSE.
01:33PM	21	THESE LAWYERS ARE TRAINED TO MAKE IT ACCESSIBLE TO THE JURORS.
01:34PM	22	THEY'RE NOT HERE TO CONFUSE, CONFOUND, OR OBFUSCATE IN ANY
01:34PM	23	WAY. THEY'RE HERE TO GET INFORMATION TO YOU. THAT'S WHAT THEY
01:34PM	24	DO, AND MY SENSE IS THAT THEY'LL DO IT QUITE WELL.
01:34PM	25	I HOPE THAT ASSISTS YOU.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU. 01:34PM PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 131. 2 01:34PM THANK YOU FOR THIS. I WAS EXPECTING THERE WOULD BE 3 01:34PM 01:34PM 4 TECHNICAL QUESTIONS, AND I WAS ACTUALLY CONCERNED ABOUT IT, SO I WAS WONDERING IF THE PRESENTATION WOULD BE KIND OF DOWN, DOWN 01:34PM 01:34PM 6 FOR US TO UNDERSTAND, SO THIS WAS GREAT TO HEAR. 01:34PM 7 ANOTHER QUESTION I HAD WAS IF THERE WAS A TECHNICAL QUESTION, DO WE GET KIND OF SUPPORTING MATERIALS THAT WE CAN DO 01:34PM 8 A LITTLE RESEARCH ABOUT THAT? OR CAN WE DO IT ON OUR OWN JUST 01:34PM 9 01:34PM 10 TO UNDERSTAND THE FACTS BETTER? 01:34PM 11 THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU. MR. COOPERSMITH IS 01:34PM 12 GOING TO ALLOW ME TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION AS WELL. MR. COOPERSMITH: I WILL, YOUR HONOR, OF COURSE. 01:35PM 13 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 01:35PM 14 01:35PM 15 AND THIS IS WONDERFUL THAT YOU'RE ASKING THESE QUESTIONS BECAUSE THEY'RE VERY IMPORTANT QUESTIONS. AND, AGAIN, I HAVE A 01:35PM 16 01:35PM 17 PRELIMINARY INSTRUCTION THAT SPEAKS TO THIS AS WELL. 01:35PM 18 AND THE ANSWER TO YOUR ENTHUSIASM AND YOUR CURIOSITY TO DO 01:35PM 19 RESEARCH, I REGRET I HAVE TO TELL YOU, IS, NO, YOU CAN'T. 01:35PM 20 YOU'RE NOT ABLE TO DO THAT. YOU'RE NOT ABLE TO DO ANY 01:35PM 21 ADDITIONAL RESEARCH. YOU'RE NOT ABLE TO BRING A DICTIONARY WITH YOU TO LOOK UP TERMS. THAT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU CANNOT 01:35PM 22 01:35PM 23 DO. 01:35PM 24 THAT'S BECAUSE, AS I KEEP SAYING, TO MAKE YOUR DECISION, 01:35PM 25 YOU MUST ONLY, ONLY USE THE INFORMATION THAT YOU RECEIVE IN

1 01:35PM 2 01:35PM 3 01:35PM 01:35PM 4 01:35PM 01:36PM 6 01:36PM 7 01:36PM 8 01:36PM 9 01:36PM 10 01:36PM 11 01:36PM 12 01:36PM 13 01:36PM 14 01:36PM 15 01:36PM 16 01:36PM 17 01:36PM 18 01:36PM 19 01:36PM 20 01:37PM 21 01:37PM 22 01:37PM 23 01:37PM 24

01:37PM 25

THIS COURTROOM FROM THIS WITNESS STAND AND FROM THE EXHIBITS THAT ARE RECEIVED AND FROM THE ARGUMENTS AND COMMENTS OF COUNSEL. YOU CAN'T DO THAT, THAT RESEARCH.

NOW, LET ME -- IN THE SPIRIT OF FULL DISCLOSURE, I WILL TELL YOU THIS, THAT WHEN A JURY IS DELIBERATING, THE JURY HAS THE OPPORTUNITY THEN, THEN AFTER CONSULTING AMONGST YOURSELVES AS JURORS, IF YOU HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT SOMETHING, WHILE THE JURY IS DELIBERATING, THEY MAY SEND A QUESTION OUT AND THE COURT WILL MEET WITH COUNSEL AND TALK ABOUT THE QUESTION AND TRY TO ANSWER IT AS BEST WE CAN.

BUT THAT'S THE ONLY TIME WHERE QUESTIONS WOULD BE, WOULD BE ANSWERED OR I WOULD -- THERE'S A SPECIFIC INSTRUCTION THAT TELLS YOU THAT YOU MAY NOT, YOU MAY NOT DO ANY INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION, READING, VISIT ANY SITES THAT ARE DISCUSSED, THAT IS, LOCATIONS THAT ARE DISCUSSED IN THE TRIAL. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO AVOID THOSE LOCATIONS. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO ANY RESEARCH.

BUT I APPRECIATE YOUR CURIOSITY AND YOUR ENTHUSIASM FOR DOING SO.

BUT THESE LAWYERS ARE GOING TO GIVE YOU ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT YOU NEED TO MAKE AN EDUCATED AND A FULSOME DECISION ABOUT THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE. I KNOW THAT THEY WILL DO THAT.

SO, MR. COOPERSMITH, THANK YOU.

MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

01:37PM	1	JUROR NUMBER 131, IS THAT YOUR NUMBER?
01:37PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:37PM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOU CAN KEEP THE MIKE.
01:37PM	4	IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT YOUR PERSONAL EXPERIENCE OR
01:37PM	5	ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT YOU THAT MAKES YOU QUESTION IN ANY WAY YOUR
01:37PM	6	ABILITY TO UNDERSTAND DETAILED EVIDENCE?
01:37PM	7	AGAIN, AS THE JUDGE SAID, AND I THINK I SAID, WE'RE GOING
01:37PM	8	TO TRY TO MAKE IT EASY AND ACCESSIBLE. WE'RE NOT GOING TO TRY
01:37PM	9	TO DUMB IT DOWN, I WOULDN'T SAY THAT, BUT I WANTED TO KNOW IF
01:37PM	10	THERE WAS ANYTHING PARTICULAR YOU HAD.
01:37PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. MY SISTER-IN-LAW IS A
01:37PM	12	DOCTOR AND I UNDERSTAND THE FACTS, BUT IT'S NOT MY EXPERTISE,
01:37PM	13	RIGHT.
01:37PM	14	SO THE MEDICAL FIELD IS NOT MY EXPERTISE, SO I WAS JUST
01:37PM	15	CONCERNED ABOUT HOW TO, SINCE I DON'T HAVE THE EXPERTISE, HOW I
01:38PM	16	COULD UNDERSTAND THE FACTS BASED ON MY KNOWLEDGE. THAT'S ALL.
01:38PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU. I UNDERSTAND.
01:38PM	18	AND JURORS IN ALL KINDS OF CASES DON'T HAVE ANY PARTICULAR
01:38PM	19	EXPERTISE COMING TO THE CASE, AND THAT'S NOT REQUIRED. THERE'S
01:38PM	20	NO TEST, NOTHING LIKE THAT.
01:38PM	21	BUT THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION.
01:38PM	22	OKAY. WITH JUROR NUMBER 131 WE MENTIONED DOCTORS.
01:38PM	23	I WANT TO ASK YOU THE QUESTION THAT IS OPPOSITE WHAT
01:38PM	24	MR. SCHENK ASKED YOU, AND I THINK HE ASKED YOU IF THERE WERE
01:38PM	25	ANY REASON THAT A DOCTOR MIGHT TESTIFY IN THIS CASE, AND THAT

01:38PM	1	MIGHT HAPPEN IN THIS CASE, WHETHER YOU HAD ANY REASON NOT TO
01:38PM	2	BELIEVE THE DOCTOR OR NOT TO GIVE AS MUCH WEIGHT OR CREDIT TO
01:38PM	3	THE TESTIMONY.
01:38PM	4	THE QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE GROUP IS, DOES
01:38PM	5	ANYONE, WHEN IT COMES TO DOCTORS, THINK THEY WOULD GIVE MORE
01:38PM	6	WEIGHT TO THE DOCTOR'S TESTIMONY THAN OTHER WITNESSES WHO MIGHT
01:38PM	7	TESTIFY?
01:38PM	8	AND IF YOU COULD RAISE YOUR HAND, I'LL HAND YOU THE
01:38PM	9	MICROPHONE.
01:38PM	10	YES, SIR. JUROR NUMBER 181?
01:39PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT, YEAH, JUROR NUMBER 181.
01:39PM	12	SAME WITH THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS. DOCTORS ARE
01:39PM	13	TRAINED AND THEY KNOW WHAT THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT, AND I THINK
01:39PM	14	THEY CAN UNDERSTAND BETTER THAN A LAYPERSON COULD.
01:39PM	15	SO IF THEY WERE TO TESTIFY ABOUT A MEDICAL ISSUE OR A
01:39PM	16	SCIENTIFIC OR A DOCTOR ISSUE, THEY WOULD HAVE MORE CREDIBILITY
01:39PM	17	THAN A NORMAL PERSON FOR ME.
01:39PM	18	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND JUROR NUMBER 181, DO
01:39PM	19	YOU THINK IT'S POSSIBLE THAT A DOCTOR COULD GET SOMETHING
01:39PM	20	WRONG?
01:39PM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, YEAH, I DO. I DO.
01:39PM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH: DO YOU THINK IT'S POSSIBLE THAT A
01:39PM	23	DOCTOR COULD HAVE SOME OTHER AGENDA BESIDES COMING IN AND
01:39PM	24	TELLING, YOU KNOW, WHAT IS REALLY THE TRUTH?
01:39PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I BELIEVE THAT.

01:39PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: JUST LIKE ANY OTHER HUMAN BEING?
01:39PM	_	
01:39PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:39PM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: ANYTHING MORE YOU WANT TO ADD
01:39PM	4	ABOUT THAT?
01:39PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:39PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, SIR.
01:39PM	7	ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ABOUT DOCTORS?
01:40PM	8	OKAY. I SEE NO HANDS.
01:40PM	9	OKAY. I WANT TO ASK A QUESTION ABOUT SOME PARTICULAR
01:40PM	10	WITNESSES THAT YOU MAY REMEMBER FROM THE LIST OF WITNESSES THAT
01:40PM	11	THE JUDGE HAD YOU REVIEW AT THE BEGINNING OF TODAY.
01:40PM	12	THERE'S TWO PARTICULAR WITNESSES I WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT.
01:40PM	13	ONE OF THE WITNESSES IS A WOMAN NAMED ERIKA CHEUNG WHO YOU
01:40PM	14	MIGHT, IF YOU ARE SEATED AS A JUROR, MIGHT SEE TESTIFY.
01:40PM	15	AND MY QUESTION IS, HAS ANYONE SEEN A "TED TALK," OR ANY
01:40PM	16	OTHER PRESENTATION THAT A PERSON NAMED ERIKA CHEUNG MADE ON THE
01:40PM	17	SUBJECT OF THERANOS AND HER EXPERIENCES THERE?
01:40PM	18	NUMBER 165.
01:41PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: JUROR 165.
01:41PM	20	I DO RECALL WATCHING THE "TED TALK," THAT INVOLVED
01:41PM	21	THERANOS, SO I'M ASSUMING THAT IT WAS ERIKA CHEUNG, BUT I DO
01:41PM	22	NOT HAVE GREAT RECOLLECTION OF THE DETAILS THAT THEY REALLY
01:41PM	23	WENT INTO. IT WAS A WHILE BACK, AND MY IT'S NOT AT THE
01:41PM	24	FOREFRONT OF MY MEMORY, BUT I DO RECALL HAVING IN THE BACK OF
01:41PM	25	MY MIND HEARD A "TED TALK," ABOUT THERANOS. SO I'M ASSUMING

01:41PM	1	THAT IT'S THE ONE THAT YOU MENTIONED.
01:41PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND ABOUT HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT
01:41PM	3	THAT YOU SAW THAT?
01:41PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A WHILE BACK. SEVERAL MONTHS
01:41PM	5	PROBABLY.
01:41PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: DID YOU WATCH THE WHOLE
01:41PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:41PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: THING?
01:41PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, I DIDN'T WATCH THE WHOLE
01:41PM	10	THING.
01:41PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. BASED ON THAT, WITHOUT
01:41PM	12	TELLING ME WHAT THEY ARE, DID YOU FORM ANY PARTICULAR VIEW OR
01:41PM	13	OPINION ABOUT MS. CHEUNG OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
01:41PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I CAN'T RECALL WITH GREAT
01:42PM	15	DETAIL, SO NO.
01:42PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:42PM	17	OKAY. ANY OTHER HANDS ON THE SUBJECT OF ERIKA CHEUNG?
01:42PM	18	OKAY. SEEING NO HANDS.
01:42PM	19	THE NEXT QUESTION IS THERE'S ANOTHER WITNESS WHO COULD
01:42PM	20	TESTIFY IN THIS CASE, A GENTLEMAN NAMED TYLER SHULTZ.
01:42PM	21	DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE OF MR. SHULTZ, AND MORE
01:42PM	22	SPECIFICALLY, HAS ANYONE SEEN OR HEARD ANYTHING THAT MR. SHULTZ
01:42PM	23	PUBLISHED, SUCH AS A PODCAST OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
01:42PM	24	OKAY. JUROR NUMBER 113.
01:42PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY ONLY RECOLLECTION OF

01:42PM	1	TYLER SHULTZ WAS FROM A "NEW YORK TIMES" ARTICLE WHERE IT
01:42PM	2	TALKED ABOUT HIM AND HIS RELATIONSHIP TO THERANOS AND HIS
01:42PM	3	RELATIONSHIP TO A BOARD MEMBER AT THERANOS.
01:42PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT
01:42PM	5	MR. SHULTZ?
01:42PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOTHING SPECIFIC.
01:43PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: WOULD READING THE ARTICLE ABOUT
01:43PM	8	MR. SHULTZ HAVE AN IMPACT ON YOUR VIEWS IN THIS CASE?
01:43PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:43PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: IS THAT JUROR 181?
01:43PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. I READ AN ARTICLE ABOUT
01:43PM	12	TYLER SHULTZ, I THINK THAT'S GEORGE SHULTZ'S GRANDSON OR
01:43PM	13	SOMETHING LIKE THAT, AND HE'S ONE OF THE WHISTLEBLOWERS, I
01:43PM	14	GUESS.
01:43PM	15	I GUESS THE GIST OF THE ARTICLE WAS THAT
01:43PM	16	THE COURT: SIR, YOU DON'T HAVE TO TELL US WHAT THE
01:43PM	17	ARTICLE WAS. THIS IS JUST ASKING WHETHER YOU'VE BEEN EXPOSED
01:43PM	18	TO IT. MR. COOPERSMITH IS GOING TO
01:43PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I HAVE BEEN EXPOSED TO IT.
01:43PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: SIR, YOU MENTIONED THE TERM
01:43PM	21	"WHISTLEBLOWER."
01:43PM	22	DO YOU THINK PEOPLE WHO DESCRIBE THEMSELVES AS
01:43PM	23	WHISTLEBLOWERS ARE ALWAYS CORRECT ABOUT WHAT THEY'RE CLAIMING?
01:43PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK THEY'RE ALWAYS
01:43PM	25	CORRECT, NO.

01:43PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO SOMETIMES EVEN IF SOMEONE
01:43PM	2	FASHIONED THEMSELVES AS A WHISTLEBLOWER, THEY MIGHT GET
01:43PM	3	SOMETHING WRONG; IS THAT FAIR?
01:43PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT'S POSSIBLE, YES.
01:43PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT THAT?
01:43PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:44PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU, SIR.
01:44PM	8	ANY OTHER HANDS WITH REGARD TO MR. SHULTZ, TYLER SHULTZ?
01:44PM	9	I SEE NO HANDS.
01:44PM	10	THE COURT: JUROR NUMBER 181, I'M SORRY, YOU SAID
01:44PM	11	YOU READ THIS. I DON'T THINK YOU WERE ASKED WHETHER OR NOT
01:44PM	12	WHAT YOU READ WILL AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR TO BOTH SIDES
01:44PM	13	IN THIS CASE.
01:44PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK IT'S
01:44PM	15	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU GET THE MICROPHONE?
01:44PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH, THANK YOU.
01:44PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: I'LL HAND THIS BACK.
01:44PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK IT WILL AFFECT ME.
01:44PM	19	IT'S ALL GOING TO COME DOWN TO THE EVIDENCE, AND THAT'S WHAT IT
01:44PM	20	IS ABOUT, YEAH.
01:44PM	21	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:44PM	22	THANK YOU, MR. COOPERSMITH.
01:45PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
01:45PM	24	NEXT QUESTION FOR THE GROUP IS, WITHOUT TELLING ME WHAT
01:45PM	25	EXACTLY YOU KNOW ABOUT IT, IS ANYONE AWARE OF A ROMANTIC

01:45PM	1	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES,
01:45PM	2	ELIZABETH HOLMES?
01:45PM	3	WHAT I'M GOING TO HAVE YOU DO IS IF YOU COULD JUST CALL
01:45PM	4	OUT YOUR NUMBER ONE BY ONE AND WE'LL TAKE THAT DOWN.
01:45PM	5	SO IF YOU COULD RAISE YOUR HAND AGAIN. LET'S GO FROM
01:45PM	6	JUROR 134.
01:45PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 134.
01:45PM	8	THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU HAND THE MICROPHONE LET
01:45PM	9	THEM PASS THE MICROPHONE.
01:45PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: THAT'S A GREAT IDEA, YOUR HONOR.
01:45PM	11	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
01:45PM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 134.
01:45PM	13	MR. COOPERSMITH: IF YOU COULD HAND THE MIKE OVER
01:45PM	14	AND WE'LL JUST GET EVERY PERSON WHO RAISES THEIR HAND.
01:45PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 131.
01:45PM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 125.
01:45PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 143.
01:46PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 161.
01:46PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 165.
01:46PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 162.
01:46PM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 179.
01:46PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 181.
01:46PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 108.
01:46PM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. ANYBODY ELSE WHO RAISED
01:46PM	25	THEIR HAND TO MY QUESTION ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN

01:46PM	1	MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES?
01:47PM	2	I SEE NO OTHER HANDS.
01:47PM	3	OKAY. UNFORTUNATELY WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO KEEP ON
01:47PM	4	PASSING THE MIKE AROUND, SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE WITH
01:47PM	5	THAT.
01:47PM	6	I JUST WANTED TO GO THROUGH THAT LIST AND JUST ASK A
01:47PM	7	COUPLE OTHER QUESTIONS ABOUT IT.
01:47PM	8	SO LET'S START WITH JUROR 108. MY QUESTION IS IT'S
01:47PM	9	GOING TO BE THE SAME QUESTION OR QUESTIONS FOR EVERYONE.
01:47PM	10	DID YOU LEARN ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP FROM SOMETHING IN THE
01:47PM	11	NEWS MEDIA?
01:47PM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
01:47PM	13	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND HOW RECENTLY WAS THAT THAT YOU
01:47PM	14	LEARNED THAT OR READ THAT?
01:47PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ABOUT MAYBE A MONTH OR TWO AGO.
01:47PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:47PM	17	THE NEXT ONE IS JUROR 125.
01:48PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE RADIO.
01:48PM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: I'M SORRY?
01:48PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE RADIO.
01:48PM	21	THE COURT: I THINK THE MICROPHONE IS OFF.
01:48PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE RADIO.
01:48PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:48PM	24	AND ABOUT HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?
01:48PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: PROBABLY LAST MONTH.

01:48PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: LAST MONTH? OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:48PM	2	JUROR NUMBER 131.
01:48PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS ON INTERNET NEWS, AND IT
01:48PM	4	WAS PROBABLY A COUPLE MONTHS AGO.
01:48PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: ABOUT TWO MONTHS AGO?
01:48PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. MAYBE EVEN BEFORE THAT
01:48PM	7	BUT, YEAH, I DON'T RECALL.
01:48PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: WOULD IT HAVE BEEN LONGER THAN,
01:48PM	9	SAY, SIX MONTHS AGO?
01:48PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. PROBABLY JUST YEAH, I
01:48PM	11	DON'T REMEMBER. I THINK IT WAS LONGER THAN THAT.
01:48PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: LONGER THAN SIX MONTHS?
01:48PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:48PM	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY.
01:48PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK IT WAS, LIKE, A FEW
01:48PM	16	YEARS AGO WHEN IT JUST CAME UP.
01:49PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:49PM	18	ANYTHING MORE RECENT THAN THAT, NUMBER 131?
01:49PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:49PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:49PM	21	JUROR 134?
01:49PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I HEARD ABOUT IT ON SOCIAL
01:49PM	23	MEDIA.
01:49PM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY.
01:49PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS A COUPLE MONTHS AGO.

01:49PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. ABOUT TWO MONTHS AGO?
01:49PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
01:49PM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: APPROXIMATELY.
01:49PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:49PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. JUROR NUMBER 143.
01:49PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS PART OF THAT HBO
01:49PM	7	DOCUMENTARY THAT I MENTIONED WATCHING ABOUT TWO YEARS AGO.
01:49PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. SO WHEN YOU HEARD ABOUT
01:49PM	9	THIS WAS TWO YEARS AGO?
01:49PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH.
01:49PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND NOTHING MORE RECENT THAN THAT?
01:49PM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:49PM	13	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND IT WAS FROM THAT DOCUMENTARY
01:49PM	14	THAT YOU WATCHED?
01:49PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
01:49PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, SIR.
01:49PM	17	NEXT IS JUROR 161.
01:49PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S OKAY. ON THE NEWS
01:49PM	19	CHANNEL AND A COUPLE OF MONTHS BACK, YEAH, JUST A COUPLE OF
01:50PM	20	MONTHS BACK. NOT MORE THAN SIX MONTHS.
01:50PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. NOT MORE THAN SIX MONTHS
01:50PM	22	AGO, AND YOU THINK IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN CLOSER TO TWO MONTHS?
01:50PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT COULD BE.
01:50PM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND JUST FROM NEWS RADIO
01:50PM	25	OR

01:50PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NBC.
01:50PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: I'M SORRY. NBC?
01:50PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, NBC EVENING NEWS.
01:50PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:50PM	5	JUROR 165.
01:50PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ABOUT TWO TO THREE MONTHS AGO.
01:50PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND FROM WHAT SOURCE?
01:50PM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: "NEW YORK TIMES."
01:50PM	9	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:50PM	10	I THINK I SKIPPED 162.
01:50PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I READ IT IN "THE MERCURY NEWS."
01:50PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: ABOUT HOW LONG AGO, SIR?
01:50PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T HAVE AN EXACTLY
01:50PM	14	RECOLLECTION. LESS THAN A YEAR.
01:50PM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH: LESS THAN A YEAR?
01:50PM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I BELIEVE SO.
01:50PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. DO YOU THINK IT WAS WELL
01:50PM	18	WITHIN A YEAR, OR CLOSER TO ALL OF THE WAY UP TO A YEAR?
01:50PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: LESS THAN HALF A YEAR.
01:50PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: LESS THAN HALF A YEAR?
01:50PM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:50PM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, SIR.
01:50PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SURE.
01:51PM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND THEN JUROR 179.
01:51PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ON THE NEWS TWO MONTHS AGO.

01:51PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND WHAT PARTICULAR NEWS,
01:51PM	2	IF YOU CAN REMEMBER?
01:51PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I CAN'T REMEMBER.
01:51PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOU CAN'T REMEMBER.
01:51PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK ABC. I THINK.
01:51PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: ABC? OKAY. THANK YOU, SIR.
01:51PM	7	JUROR 181.
01:51PM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I'VE SEEN IT MORE THAN A
01:51PM	9	YEAR AGO, BUT VARIOUS SOURCES. BRIT NEWS, BROADCAST NEWS,
01:51PM	10	THOSE KIND OF THINGS.
01:51PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?
01:51PM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MORE THAN A YEAR.
01:51PM	13	MR. COOPERSMITH: MORE THAN A YEAR. NOTHING MORE
01:51PM	14	RECENT THAN THAT?
01:51PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:51PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, SIR.
01:51PM	17	ANYONE ELSE I DIDN'T GET TO WHO RAISED THEIR HAND IN
01:51PM	18	RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP?
01:51PM	19	OKAY. I SEE NO OTHER HANDS. THANK YOU.
01:51PM	20	THERE WAS A QUESTION THAT JUDGE DAVILA ASKED NEAR THE
01:51PM	21	BEGINNING OF THE SESSION TODAY. THAT WAS WHETHER ANYONE KNEW
01:52PM	22	ANY OF THE LAWYERS IN THE CASE OR THE TEAMS THAT WERE
01:52PM	23	REPRESENTING THE GOVERNMENT AND MR. BALWANI.
01:52PM	24	AND I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THERE'S NO OTHER JUROR WHO
01:52PM	25	WANTED TO RESPOND TO THAT, BECAUSE I THINK ONE OF OUR TEAM

MEMBERS SAW AN ADDITIONAL HAND, BUT WASN'T SURE IF THE PERSON 1 01:52PM 01:52PM 2 WAS RAISING A HAND, AND SO I JUST WANTED TO GIVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO EVERYONE IF THEY HAD ANY KNOWLEDGE OF THE LAWYERS IN THE 3 01:52PM 01:52PM 4 CASE. 01:52PM 5 OKAY. THANK YOU. 01:52PM 6 I'M GOING TO TURN TO ASKING SPECIFIC OUESTIONS OF CERTAIN PEOPLE IN THE ROOM. AGAIN, IF I DON'T GET TO YOU, I DON'T MEAN 01:52PM 7 ANY OFFENSE, BUT I'M TRYING TO RESPOND TO VARIOUS ANSWERS THAT 01:52PM 8 WERE GIVEN AND THINGS THAT WERE IN THE QUESTIONNAIRES. 01:52PM 9 01:53PM 10 MY FIRST QUESTION IS FOR JUROR NUMBER 108. YOU DON'T NEED 01:53PM 11 TO SAY THIS OUT HERE IN FRONT OF THE GROUP, BUT I NOTICE IN 01:53PM 12 YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE THERE WAS SOMETHING THAT MIGHT BE A PRIVATE 01:53PM 13 MATTER THAT YOU WANTED TO DISCUSS, AND I JUST WONDERED IF THERE WAS ANYTHING THAT YOU THOUGHT WOULD BE IMPORTANT FOR US TO KNOW 01:53PM 14 01:53PM 15 THAT YOU WOULD NEED TO DISCUSS IN PRIVATE AND NOT HERE IN THE PUBLIC SESSION. 01:53PM 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT NECESSARILY. 01:53PM 17 01:53PM 18 MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND I GUESS WHATEVER THE 01:53PM 19 MATTER IS WE WON'T TALK ABOUT IT. 01:53PM 20 IS THERE ANY IMPACT THAT IT WOULD HAVE ON YOUR VIEWS OF 01:53PM 21 THIS CASE AND YOUR ABILITY TO SIT AS A JUROR OR ANYTHING LIKE 01:53PM 22 THAT? 01:53PM 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU. 01:53PM 24 01:54PM 25 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

01:54PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. JUROR NUMBER 119, THANK
01:54PM	2	YOU. MY FIRST QUESTION FOR YOU IS THAT I JUST NOTICED IN YOUR
01:54PM	3	QUESTIONNAIRE THAT YOU SAID, IN RESPONSE TO ONE OF THE
01:54PM	4	QUESTIONS, THAT YOU WOULD NEED TO SEE SOME EVIDENCE TO
01:54PM	5	DETERMINE IF SOMEONE SHOULD BE INNOCENT OR GUILTY.
01:54PM	6	AM I GETTING THAT RIGHT?
01:54PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:55PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND DO YOU THINK THAT IF
01:55PM	9	YOU WERE A JUROR IN THIS CASE THAT MR. BALWANI WOULD HAVE TO
01:55PM	10	COME FORWARD WITH EVIDENCE FOR YOU TO ACCEPT THAT HE'S NOT
01:55PM	11	GUILTY IN THIS CASE?
01:55PM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK I WOULD NEED TO SEE
01:55PM	13	EVIDENCE FROM BOTH SIDES.
01:55PM	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND WHAT IF THE DEFENSE
01:55PM	15	DECIDED NOT TO PRESENT ANY EVIDENCE? WOULD THAT AND THE
01:55PM	16	GOVERNMENT PRESENTED SOME EVIDENCE. WOULD THAT BE ENOUGH FOR
01:55PM	17	YOU TO CONVICT, OR WOULD YOU STILL HAVE TO WEIGH WHETHER THE
01:55PM	18	GOVERNMENT SATISFIED THEIR BURDEN TO PROVE MR. BALWANI'S GUILT
01:55PM	19	BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT?
01:55PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M NOT SURE.
01:55PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND COULD YOU EXPLAIN WHY YOU ARE
01:55PM	22	NOT SURE?
01:55PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD THINK I WOULD NEED TO
01:55PM	24	SEE EVIDENCE FROM BOTH SIDES TO KNOW WHETHER ANYONE IS GUILTY
01:55PM	25	OR NOT GUILTY.

01:55PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. SO IF THE GOVERNMENT
01:55PM	2	PRESENTED EVIDENCE, FOR EXAMPLE, AND THE DEFENSE PRESENTED NO
01:55PM	3	EVIDENCE, THAT ALONE MIGHT LEAD YOU TO VOTE FOR GUILTY JUST
01:55PM	4	BECAUSE OF THAT ALONE; IS THAT CORRECT?
01:55PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: PERHAPS.
01:55PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: I'M SORRY?
01:55PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: PERHAPS.
01:56PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: PERHAPS.
01:56PM	9	WHAT WOULD IT DEPEND ON?
01:56PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I GUESS IT WOULD DEPEND ON THE
01:56PM	11	EVIDENCE THAT IS BEING PRESENTED.
01:56PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. SO IS THERE A SITUATION
01:56PM	13	WHERE YOU MIGHT, EVEN IF THE DEFENSE DIDN'T PRESENT ANY
01:56PM	14	EVIDENCE, YOU MIGHT DECIDE THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T PRESENT ENOUGH
01:56PM	15	EVIDENCE TO JUSTIFY A GUILTY VERDICT?
01:56PM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M NOT SURE.
01:56PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND IF THE JUDGE INSTRUCTED
01:56PM	18	YOU THAT THAT IS, IN FACT, WHAT YOU WOULD BE REQUIRED TO DO AS
01:56PM	19	A SEATED JUROR, DO YOU THINK YOU COULD FOLLOW THAT INSTRUCTION?
01:56PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:56PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: IF THE INSTRUCTION WAS THE WAY
01:56PM	22	THAT I JUST DESCRIBED IT?
01:56PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
01:56PM	24	THE COURT: OKAY. MAY I FOLLOW UP, MR. COOPERSMITH?
01:56PM	25	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOU MAY.

1 01:56PM 2 01:56PM 3 01:56PM 01:56PM 4 01:56PM 01:56PM 01:57PM 7 01:57PM 8 01:57PM 9 01:57PM 10 01:57PM 11 01:57PM 12 01:57PM 13 01:57PM 14 01:57PM 15 01:57PM 16 01:57PM 17 01:57PM 18 01:57PM 19 01:57PM 20 01:57PM 21

01:57PM 22

01:57PM 23

01:57PM 24

01:58PM 25

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

JUROR 119, I -- WHAT I TAKE FROM YOUR ANSWER IS THAT YOU WOULD -- THE QUESTION WAS, "WHAT IF THE DEFENSE PUTS NO EVIDENCE ON, AND WHAT WOULD YOU DO IN THAT SITUATION?"

AND WHAT I GATHER FROM YOUR ANSWER, WERE YOU SAYING THAT YOU WILL THEN WEIGH THE EVIDENCE THAT THE GOVERNMENT PUT ON AND DECIDE JUST BASED ON THAT WHETHER OR NOT THEY'D MADE THEIR CASE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.

THE COURT: AND I'M CONCERNED WHETHER YOU WERE SAYING IF THE DEFENSE DIDN'T PUT ANYTHING ON, THAT WOULD MAKE YOU VOTE GUILTY BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T PUT ANY EVIDENCE ON AND THE GOVERNMENT DID.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I MEAN, NOT NECESSARILY.

THE COURT: YOU WOULD STILL WEIGH IN THAT SITUATION, WHERE, FOR EXAMPLE, IF THE DEFENSE DECIDED NOT TO PUT ANYTHING ON BECAUSE THEY MAY FEEL THAT WE DON'T FEEL THAT THE GOVERNMENT PROVED THEIR CASE AND IT'S THEIR BURDEN, AND MAYBE THAT'S WHY A DEFENSE WOULD NOT PUT EVIDENCE ON.

AND I WOULD INSTRUCT YOU, AS MR. COOPERSMITH SAID, I WOULD INSTRUCT YOU, YOU CAN'T CONVICT UNLESS YOU BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE BEFORE YOU, AND IN THIS CASE IN THIS HYPOTHETICAL JUST THE EVIDENCE THAT THE GOVERNMENT PUT ON, UNLESS YOU BELIEVED THAT THAT CONVINCED YOU BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, YOU COULDN'T CONVICT.

01:58PM	1	DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT CONCEPT?
01:58PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:58PM	3	THE COURT: OKAY. I DON'T THINK YOU'VE HAD JURY
01:58PM	4	SERVICE BEFORE, AND THIS IS SOMETIMES THIS IS A CONCEPT THAT
01:58PM	5	WE SOMETIMES SPEND TIME DISCUSSING WITH JURORS BECAUSE OF THIS.
01:58PM	6	IT'S THE GOVERNMENT'S BURDEN. IF THEY DON'T MEET THEIR
01:58PM	7	BURDEN, YOU'LL FOLLOW THE LAW AS I GIVE IT TO YOU? AND I'LL
01:58PM	8	TELL YOU WHAT THAT LAW IS. YOU HEARD ME DESCRIBE IT. ACTUALLY
01:58PM	9	I READ YOU AN INSTRUCTION TODAY. I READ YOU THE PRESUMPTION OF
01:58PM	10	INNOCENCE INSTRUCTION. THAT'S THE INSTRUCTION THAT THE JURORS
01:58PM	11	WILL GET IN THE FINAL INSTRUCTIONS THAT DESCRIBES THE BURDENS.
01:58PM	12	DO YOU HAVE ANY QUARREL WITH THAT PRESUMPTION AND THOSE
01:58PM	13	REQUIREMENTS?
01:58PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:58PM	15	THE COURT: OKAY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT CONCEPT
01:58PM	16	THEN?
01:58PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
01:58PM	18	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:58PM	19	THANK YOU, MR. COOPERSMITH.
01:58PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
01:58PM	21	SO AGAIN, JUROR NUMBER 119, JUST TO GET TO A DIFFERENT
01:58PM	22	SUBJECT, DID YOU WATCH ALL OR PART OF THE MOVIE ABOUT THERANOS
01:58PM	23	OR ANYTHING RELATED TO THIS CASE?
01:58PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. LIKE I SAID FROM THE
01:58PM	25	BEGINNING, I RECALL WATCHING IT A PORTION OF A MOVIE I WOULD

01:59PM	1	LIKE TO SAY OVER A YEAR AGO.
01:59PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND DO YOU REMEMBER THE
01:59PM	3	NAME OF IT BY ANY CHANCE?
01:59PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DO NOT.
01:59PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND BASED UPON YOUR WATCHING THE
01:59PM	6	MOVIE, AND IT SOUNDS LIKE IT WAS A YEAR AGO, DID YOU FORM ANY
01:59PM	7	OPINION ABOUT THE CASE OR THE GUILT OR THE INNOCENCE OF
01:59PM	8	MR. BALWANI?
01:59PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:59PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: HOW ABOUT OF MS. HOLMES?
01:59PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:59PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: HAVE YOU EVER EXPRESSED A VIEW TO
01:59PM	13	A FRIEND OR FAMILY MEMBER OR SOMEONE CLOSE TO YOU ABOUT WHAT
01:59PM	14	YOU THOUGHT ABOUT THIS CASE?
01:59PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:59PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: EVER SENT A TEXT OR ANYTHING LIKE
01:59PM	17	THAT?
01:59PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
01:59PM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. OKAY. THANK YOU.
01:59PM	20	JUROR NUMBER 124. IS THERE A JUROR NUMBER 124?
01:59PM	21	THE CLERK: NO. WE EXCUSED THEM.
01:59PM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH: OH, THERE IS NO THANK YOU.
02:00PM	23	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
02:00PM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THIS NEXT IS FOR JUROR 125.
02:00PM	25	I JUST NOTICED ON YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE THAT YOU SAID THAT

02:00PM	1	YOU HAD POTENTIALLY AN ISSUE WITH DISRUPTION OF PATIENT CARE AT
02:00PM	2	YOUR JOB; IS THAT RIGHT?
02:01PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S NOT
02:01PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO?
02:01PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, THAT'S 1
02:01PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: 126.
02:01PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT SOUNDS FAMILIAR.
02:01PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. IS THAT RIGHT? AND DID YOU
02:01PM	9	HAVE A CONCERN ABOUT THAT?
02:01PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK THE QUESTION WAS
02:01PM	11	ASKING I DON'T REMEMBER THE WORDING EXACTLY, BUT IT WAS JUST
02:01PM	12	ABOUT MY IF I KNEW SOMEONE CLOSE TO ME WHO HAD AN EXPERIENCE
02:01PM	13	IN HEALTH CARE. I THINK THAT'S THE QUESTION.
02:01PM	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO.
02:01PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MAYBE IT WAS A DIFFERENT ONE.
02:01PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: WELL, YEAH. THAT WASN'T CLEAR.
02:01PM	17	MY FIRST QUESTION IS JUST, DID YOU HAVE A CONCERN THAT
02:01PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, YES.
02:01PM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT. THE QUESTION WAS, DO YOU
02:01PM	20	HAVE ANY CONCERN IF YOU SERVED AS A JUROR THAT IT WOULD AFFECT
02:01PM	21	PATIENT CARE AT YOUR JOB?
02:01PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOT IT. YES. I'M A HEALTH CARE
02:01PM	23	PROVIDER, AND HAVING TO BE HERE THREE TIMES A WEEK WHEN I WORK
02:02PM	24	FIVE DAYS A WEEK TO HAVE CONTINUITY OF CARE FOR MY PATIENTS IS
02:02PM	25	QUITE DIFFICULT.

02:02PM	1	I JUST DON'T HAVE ANY OTHER TIME IN MY SCHEDULE TO ALLOW
02:02PM	2	FOR THE VOLUME OF PATIENTS THAT I SEE.
02:02PM	3	SO AND ALSO AT THE BEGINNING, YOUR HONOR, YOU HAD SAID
02:02PM	4	THAT POTENTIALLY THERE MIGHT BE DAYS THAT WE ADD OR WE CHANGE,
02:02PM	5	AND DEPENDING ON HOW MUCH NOTICE I GET, THAT IS IT HAS
02:02PM	6	ALREADY INTERRUPTED RESCHEDULING PATIENTS, AND ALSO HOW I COME
02:02PM	7	ACROSS TO MY PATIENTS, AND THAT'S MY PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY,
02:02PM	8	RIGHT?
02:02PM	9	SO, YES.
02:02PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: AM I RIGHT THAT YOU'RE A DOCTOR OF
02:02PM	11	CHIROPRACTRY?
02:02PM	12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
02:02PM	13	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND IS THERE SOMEONE ELSE WHO
02:02PM	14	COULD FILL IN?
02:02PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WORK WITH TWO OTHER PROVIDERS.
02:02PM	16	HOWEVER, THEY HAVE THEIR OWN SCHEDULES AS WELL THAT ARE BUSY.
02:03PM	17	SO AT TIMES, YES. BUT ALSO WE HAVE A WAIT LIST OF ABOUT
02:03PM	18	TWO WEEKS AT TIMES.
02:03PM	19	SO
02:03PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: I GUESS THAT'S A GOOD THING FOR
02:03PM	21	YOU, RIGHT?
02:03PM	22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, YEAH.
02:03PM	23	SO IF SOMEONE NEEDED TO COME IN ACUTELY, THAT WOULD BE
02:03PM	24	VERY DIFFICULT.
02:03PM	25	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. SO IF YOU WERE SERVING AS A

JUROR, HOW WOULD YOU HANDLE THAT SITUATION? WHAT WOULD YOU DO 1 02:03PM 2 TO COVER THOSE PATIENTS WHO NEEDED CARE? 02:03PM PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT. SO IF I'M NOT IN OFFICE, 3 02:03PM 02:03PM 4 EITHER IF I HAVE ANY TIME IN MY SCHEDULE, THEY GET PUSHED INTO THOSE SLOTS; OR IF THERE ARE ANY AVAILABILITIES -- AGAIN, IT'S 02:03PM NOT OFTEN -- BUT AVAILABILITIES ON MY COWORKER'S SCHEDULES, 02:03PM 6 02:03PM 7 THEY GO THERE. BUT OTHERWISE THEY HAVE TO GET PUSHED OUT SEVERAL WEEKS. 02:03PM 8 AND, YOU KNOW, THAT'S DIFFICULT WITH PEOPLE RECOVERING FROM 02:03PM 9 02:03PM 10 SPORTS INJURY AND MANAGING PAIN, SO... 02:03PM 11 MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. SO I UNDERSTAND. 02:03PM 12 IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE ABOUT YOUR KNOWLEDGE OF THIS CASE 02:04PM 13 OR BACKGROUND THAT YOU THINK WOULD CAUSE A PROBLEM FOR YOU BEING A JUROR IN THIS CASE AND BEING FAIR TO MR. BALWANI? 02:04PM 14 02:04PM 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. SO MY KNOWLEDGE ABOUT ANYTHING RELATED TO THE CASE IS FAIRLY LOW. 02:04PM 16 02:04PM 17 I DO GET THE SENSE THAT IT HAS SOMETHING TO DO WITH HEALTH 02:04PM 18 CARE, AND AS A PROVIDER, HAVING A MORAL AND ETHICAL OBLIGATION IS OF THE UTMOST PRIORITY. AND SO IT'S HARD NOT TO BE A LITTLE 02:04PM 19 BIT MORE SUBJECTIVE RATHER THAN OBJECTIVE IF IT HAS TO DO WITH 02:04PM 20 A MORAL AND AN ETHICAL OBLIGATION. 02:04PM 21 02:04PM 22 SO POTENTIALLY, YES, THAT COULD. 02:04PM 23 MR. COOPERSMITH: DO YOU THINK, AS THE CASE 02:04PM 24 PROCEEDED, IF YOU HAD ANY CONCERNS ABOUT THAT, I DON'T KNOW 02:04PM 25 WHETHER YOU WOULD OR WOULDN'T, BUT WOULD THAT -- DO YOU THINK

YOU COULD PUT THAT ASIDE AND JUST LOOK AT THE EVIDENCE 1 02:04PM 2 OBJECTIVELY, OR DO YOU THINK YOUR BACKGROUND AS A HEALTH CARE 02:04PM PROVIDER WOULD ALWAYS COLOR YOUR JUDGMENT AS YOU PROCEEDED TO 3 02:04PM 02:05PM 4 LOOK AT THE FACTS? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DEFINITELY THINK THAT HAVING 02:05PM 02:05PM 6 EXPERIENCE AS A PROVIDER WOULD COLOR MY JUDGMENT. 02:05PM 7 AGAIN, EARLIER I HAD BEEN TALKING ABOUT ANOTHER QUESTION AND, YOU KNOW, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT I WOULD BE COMPLETELY 8 02:05PM IMPARTIAL AND LOOK AT THE EVIDENCE THAT IT IS, IT IS MY LANE, 02:05PM 9 02:05PM 10 IT'S WHAT I DO, AND I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO UPHOLD THAT MORAL 02:05PM 11 AND ETHICAL CODE, AND I HAVE A PROBLEM WHEN PEOPLE DON'T DO 02:05PM 12 THAT. 02:05PM 13 SO, YEAH, I HAVE A BIAS THERE, YES. MR. COOPERSMITH: NO. THANK YOU FOR BEING HONEST. 02:05PM 14 02:05PM 15 AND DO YOU HAVE SOME CONCEPT COMING INTO THIS CASE THAT YOU THINK THERE WAS ANYTHING DEFICIENT ABOUT WHAT THERANOS WAS 02:05PM 16 02:05PM 17 PROVIDING IN THE SERVICES IT WAS PROVIDING? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. LIKE I SAID, I DON'T KNOW 02:05PM 18 02:05PM 19 MUCH ABOUT THE CASE. ALL I KNOW IS THAT THERE IS SOME KIND OF HEALTH CARE CORRELATION THERE, BUT I DON'T KNOW SPECIFICS, SO I 02:06PM 20 DON'T HAVE A PRECONCEIVED NOTION RELATED TO THAT. 02:06PM 21 02:06PM 22 SO LIKE YOU WERE SAYING, IF SOMETHING WERE TO COME UP 02:06PM 23 THAT'S RELATED TO THE QUESTION YOU WERE ASKING, I DON'T KNOW 02:06PM 24 WHAT THAT WOULD BE INITIALLY. 02:06PM 25 MR. COOPERSMITH: SO YOUR CONCERNS ARE NOT RELATED

02:06PM	1	NECESSARILY TO THE FACTS OF THIS CASE?
02:06PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
02:06PM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: BUT IF IT HAS TO DO WITH HEALTH
02:06PM	4	CARE, YOU'RE PARTICULARLY SENSITIVE TO THAT?
02:06PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
02:06PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
02:06PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEP.
02:06PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: NEXT QUESTION I HAVE IS FOR
02:06PM	9	JUROR 143.
02:06PM	10	MY FIRST QUESTION IS ABOUT YOUR JOB. I THINK YOU WROTE IN
02:06PM	11	YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE THAT YOU WERE GOING THROUGH A PARTICULARLY
02:06PM	12	BUSY TIME AT WORK.
02:06PM	13	WAS THAT RIGHT?
02:06PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
02:06PM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND WHAT IS YOUR WORK, IF YOU CAN
02:06PM	16	SAY?
02:06PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I LEAD THE EXECUTIVE
02:07PM	18	COMMUNICATIONS AT A STARTUP.
02:07PM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. WHAT TYPE OF FIELD IS THE
02:07PM	20	STARTUP IN?
02:07PM	21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HOME CARE AND HOME MAINTENANCE.
02:07PM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. SO IF YOU WERE SERVING AS A
02:07PM	23	JUROR, WOULD YOU HAVE SOME COVERAGE FOR WHAT YOU USUALLY NEED
02:07PM	24	TO DO ON YOUR JOB?
02:07PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, DEFINITELY. I MEAN, WORK

02:07PM	1	IS ALWAYS BUSY, BUT, YES, I HAVE A TEAM UNDER ME.
02:07PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO THE TEAM WOULD FILL IN AND
02:07PM	3	COVER WHAT YOU NEEDED TO DO?
02:07PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
02:07PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND WOULD YOU GET PAID
02:07PM	6	WHILE YOU WERE SERVING AS A JUROR?
02:07PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
02:07PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU.
02:07PM	9	I THINK YOU SAID YOU WATCHED THE DOCUMENTARY A COUPLE
02:07PM	10	YEARS AGO?
02:07PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
02:07PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND HAVE YOU EVER, YOU KNOW,
02:07PM	13	DISCUSSED YOUR VIEWS ABOUT THE CASE FROM THE FACTS YOU LEARNED
02:07PM	14	IN THE DOCUMENTARY OR THE INFORMATION THAT YOU LEARNED IN THE
02:07PM	15	DOCUMENTARY? HAVE YOU EVER DISCUSSED THAT WITH FRIENDS OR
02:07PM	16	FAMILY OR ANYONE ELSE CLOSE TO YOU?
02:07PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NOT THAT I REMEMBER. I REMEMBER
02:07PM	18	MY WIFE AND I WATCHED IT TOGETHER, SO WE HAD A CONVERSATION
02:08PM	19	IMMEDIATELY AFTERWARDS, BUT NOTHING MORE THAN THAT.
02:08PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: DID YOU FORM ANY OPINION ABOUT
02:08PM	21	WHAT HAPPENED AT THERANOS BASED ON YOUR WATCHING THE
02:08PM	22	DOCUMENTARY?
02:08PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T REMEMBER. I DON'T THINK
02:08PM	24	so.
02:08PM	25	MR. COOPERSMITH: I THINK YOU SAID THAT YOU

02:08PM	1	UNDERSTOOD THAT A DOCUMENTARY IS JUST ONE FILMMAKER'S
02:08PM	2	PERSPECTIVE.
02:08PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
02:08PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO IS IT FAIR TO SAY IF YOU SERVE
02:08PM	5	AS A JUROR, YOU COULD DISREGARD THAT PARTICULAR FILMMAKER'S
02:08PM	6	PERSPECTIVE AND LOOK AT THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE?
02:08PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. I MEAN, ANYTHING THAT I
02:08PM	8	KNOW OUTSIDE OF THIS COURTROOM DOESN'T COME INTO THE COURTROOM.
02:08PM	9	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND DO YOU ALSO HAVE AN
02:08PM	10	UNDERSTANDING THAT FILMMAKERS WHO MAKE DOCUMENTARIES LIKE THE
02:08PM	11	ONE YOU WATCHED MIGHT NOT HAVE ACCESS TO ALL OF THE FACTS THAT
02:08PM	12	A JUROR WOULD HAVE IN THE COURSE OF WATCHING THE EVIDENCE IN
02:08PM	13	THE CASE?
02:08PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, DEFINITELY. THAT'S WHY I
02:09PM	15	COMMENTED IT WAS ONE PERSON'S OPINION. THEY'RE TRYING TO TELL
02:09PM	16	A STORY.
02:09PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND SOMETIMES THE STORY MIGHT BE
02:09PM	18	FOR ENTERTAINMENT.
02:09PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: EXACTLY, ESPECIALLY WHEN IT'S ON
02:09PM	20	нво.
02:09PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: I MEAN, WE CAN TRY TO BE
02:09PM	22	ENTERTAINING AS WELL.
02:09PM	23	OKAY. NUMBER 161, IF YOU COULD PASS THE MIKE OVER.
02:09PM	24	I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU, 161, THERE WAS A PARTICULAR
02:09PM	25	QUESTION ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE, AND I'M NOT I DON'T WANT TO

02:09PM	1	SAY IT, BUT YOU ANSWERED THAT YOU WEREN'T SURE HOW TO ANSWER
02:09PM	2	THE QUESTION.
02:09PM	3	DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT?
02:09PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (NODS HEAD SIDE TO SIDE.)
02:09PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, CAN I HAND THIS JUROR
02:10PM	6	HER QUESTIONNAIRE?
02:10PM	7	THE COURT: TO SHOW HER THIS ONE QUESTION?
02:10PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: JUST TO SHOW HER THE ONE QUESTION.
02:10PM	9	THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO THAT, MR. SCHENK?
02:10PM	10	MR. SCHENK: NO, YOUR HONOR.
02:10PM	11	THE COURT: IS THIS QUESTION 56?
02:10PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
02:10PM	13	(HANDING.)
02:10PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AND I'M LOOKING FOR?
02:10PM	15	THE COURT: QUESTION 56. AND JUST READ THAT TO
02:10PM	16	YOURSELF, PLEASE, IF YOU WOULD.
02:10PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OKAY.
02:10PM	18	THE COURT: THE QUESTION AND YOUR ANSWER TO IT.
02:10PM	19	AND THEN IF YOU WOULD LET MR. COOPERSMITH KNOW WHEN YOU
02:10PM	20	FINISH DOING THAT, HE'LL HAVE A QUESTION FOR YOU.
02:10PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
02:11PM	22	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
02:11PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'VE READ THE QUESTION, YES.
02:11PM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU. THANK YOU.
02:11PM	25	I JUST WANTED TO ASK YOU, WITH REGARD TO THAT QUESTION, IS

02:11PM	1	THERE ANYTHING THAT YOU THINK WOULD BE USEFUL FOR US TO KNOW IN
02:11PM	2	A PRIVATE CONVERSATION WITH THE JUDGE, IF JUDGE DAVILA
02:11PM	3	PERMITTED THAT?
02:11PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M NOT SURE. I DON'T KNOW THAT
02:11PM	5	I CAN TALK.
02:11PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: I'M SORRY?
02:11PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I SAID I'M NOT SURE I COULD
02:11PM	8	TALK, BUT
02:11PM	9	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. I DON'T WANT TO DISCUSS IT
02:11PM	10	PUBLICLY, BUT I JUST WANTED TO IF YOU HAVE ANY PARTICULAR
02:12PM	11	VIEWS ON THE SUBJECT THAT IS INCLUDED IN THAT QUESTION, YOU
02:12PM	12	KNOW, IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT FOR US TO KNOW THAT, AND I WONDER
02:12PM	13	IF IT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR YOU TO TALK ABOUT THAT PRIVATELY
02:12PM	14	RATHER THAN IN A PUBLIC SESSION.
02:12PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, I REALLY DON'T KNOW HOW TO
02:12PM	16	ANSWER.
02:12PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. DID YOU UNDERSTAND THE
02:12PM	18	QUESTION?
02:12PM	19	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
02:12PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'D LIKE TO THINK SO.
02:12PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU.
02:12PM	22	LET ME ASK YOU MORE GENERALLY, ARE THERE ANY VIEWS THAT
02:12PM	23	YOU HAVE ABOUT THIS CASE OR THINGS THAT YOU KNOW OR THINGS FROM
02:12PM	24	YOUR BACKGROUND ABOUT MR. BALWANI OR ABOUT ANYTHING ELSE IN
02:12PM	25	THIS CASE THAT YOU THINK WOULD AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE A FAIR

02:12PM	1	AND IMPARTIAL JUROR?
02:12PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
02:12PM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU. THANKS.
02:13PM	4	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
02:13PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THE NEXT QUESTION I HAVE IS
02:13PM	6	FOR JUROR 165.
02:14PM	7	IN AN EARLIER ANSWER YOU GAVE, YOU TALKED ABOUT PARTICULAR
02:14PM	8	WEBSITES THAT YOU LOOKED AT TO FOLLOW SOME NEWS ABOUT THE
02:14PM	9	THERANOS CASE.
02:14PM	10	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
02:14PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
02:14PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND I JUST WONDERED WHICH WEBSITES
02:14PM	13	THEY WERE.
02:14PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: REDDIT, TWITTER,
02:14PM	15	"NEW YORK TIMES," CNN MOSTLY, NOT THE WEBSITE, BUT THE ACTUAL,
02:14PM	16	LIKE, TELEVISION SHOW.
02:14PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
02:14PM	18	AND I THINK, AS YOU HEARD JUDGE DAVILA SAY BEFORE, YOU
02:14PM	19	KNOW, HE WILL INSTRUCT THE JURY THAT YOU CAN'T DO OUTSIDE
02:14PM	20	RESEARCH, YOU CAN'T LOOK AROUND THE INTERNET FOR INFORMATION
02:14PM	21	ABOUT THE CASE, NOTHING LIKE THAT.
02:14PM	22	AND I KNOW THIS IS, LIKE, PART OF A LOT OF OUR LIVES, THIS
02:14PM	23	IS PART OF YOUR LIFE AND HOW YOU KEEP UP WITH THINGS.
02:14PM	24	HOW DIFFICULT IS THAT GOING TO BE? DO YOU THINK THAT YOU
02:14PM	25	COULD STOP DOING THAT AT LEAST AS IT CONCERNS THIS CASE WHILE

1 02:15PM 2 02:15PM 3 02:15PM 02:15PM 4 02:15PM 02:15PM THAT TIME. 02:15PM 8 02:15PM 02:15PM 9 02:15PM 10 THOSE OUT FOR THE DURATION OF THE CASE. 02:15PM 11 02:15PM 12 02:15PM 13 02:15PM 14 02:15PM 15 "BALWANI." WHAT WOULD YOU DO IN THAT CASE? 02:15PM 16 02:15PM 17 02:15PM 18 02:16PM 19 02:16PM 20 AFFECT MY JUDGMENT IN ANY WAY. 02:16PM 21 02:16PM 22 02:16PM 23 02:16PM 24 SCROLLING THROUGH TWITTER OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. 02:16PM 25 MR. COOPERSMITH: SO DO YOU THINK IT WOULD BE HARD

YOU WERE SITTING AS A JUROR IF YOU WERE SITTING AS A JUROR? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK FOR THE MOST PART IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT IN THE SENSE THAT I SPEND -- WHENEVER I'M ON THE INTERNET THAT IS NOT WORK RELATED, IT'S WITH THOSE SITES AND I -- THAT'S PROBABLY WELL OVER AN HOUR A DAY SORT OF TIME. SO I -- THAT WOULD -- I WOULD NEED TO FIND OUT WHAT TO DO WITH BUT, YEAH, I FEEL LIKE THAT -- IT PROBABLY WOULD TAKE A WHILE TO ADJUST, BUT IT'S DEFINITELY POSSIBLE FOR ME TO CUT MR. COOPERSMITH: AND LET'S SAY THAT YOU WERE DOING YOUR NORMAL ROUTINE AND YOU WERE LOOKING ON THE INTERNET AND YOU CAME ACROSS "THE NEW YORK TIMES" OR ANY OTHER PUBLICATION ON THE INTERNET, AND YOU SAW, LIKE, THE WORD "THERANOS," OR PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO FOR THINGS, LIKE, WHEN I GET ADS FOR HULU, WHICH I WAS REGARDED TO NOT LOOK AT ANYMORE WHEN IT'S FOR SOME OF THE NEW SHOWS, I ALWAYS TRY AND SCROLL PAST IT AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE BECAUSE I'M TRYING TO NOT LET THAT BUT I STILL REMEMBER THE MAIN CATCH LINE THAT THEY USE ON THE ADVERTISING ABOUT THE VIDEO CLIP OR WHATEVER WHEN I'M

02:16PM	1	TO SHIELD YOURSELF COMPLETELY FROM INFORMATION ABOUT THIS CASE
02:16PM	2	EVEN IF THE JUDGE INSTRUCTED YOU NOT TO PAY ATTENTION TO
02:16PM	3	ANYTHING OUTSIDE OF THE WALLS OF THIS COURTROOM?
02:16PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK IT WOULD BE DOABLE FOR
02:16PM	5	ME. IT MIGHT TAKE ME A WHILE TO ADJUST, BUT I KNOW I CAN DO IT
02:16PM	6	IF INSTRUCTED.
02:17PM	7	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
02:17PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, IF I COULD HAVE A FEW
02:17PM	9	MINUTES TO LOOK AT MY NOTES?
02:17PM	10	THE COURT: YOU NEED A MOMENT TO REVIEW?
02:17PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES.
02:17PM	12	THE COURT: SURE. GO RIGHT AHEAD.
02:17PM	13	FOLKS, IF YOU WANT TO TAKE A STANDING BREAK, FEEL FREE TO
02:17PM	14	STAND UP AND STRETCH FOR A MOMENT IF YOU WOULD LIKE.
02:18PM	15	(STRETCHING.)
02:18PM	16	THE COURT: DOES ANY MEMBER WISH TO TAKE A BREAK, A
02:18PM	17	FIVE MINUTE BREAK TO LEAVE THE COURTROOM FOR ANY PURPOSE? IF
02:18PM	18	NOT, WE'LL STAY HERE.
02:18PM	19	OKAY. THANK YOU. I DON'T SEE ANY HANDS.
02:20PM	20	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
02:20PM	21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
02:20PM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH, ARE YOU READY TO PROCEED?
02:20PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU FOR
02:20PM	24	THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.
02:20PM	25	THE COURT: SURE.

02:20PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU ONE
02:20PM	2	QUESTION. YOU TALKED ABOUT YOUR CAREER AS A FORENSIC
02:20PM	3	ACCOUNTANT, RIGHT?
02:20PM	4	AND YOU INVESTIGATED FRAUD CASES, OR HELPED INVESTIGATE
02:20PM	5	FRAUD CASES AS PART OF YOUR JOB; IS THAT RIGHT?
02:20PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S CORRECT.
02:20PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND YOU COME INTO THOSE CASES
02:20PM	8	WITHOUT ANY PARTICULAR BIAS ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, YOU JUST
02:20PM	9	FOLLOW THE EVIDENCE WHERE IT LEADS; IS THAT FAIR?
02:20PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. WE'RE NORMALLY ENGAGED BY
02:21PM	11	COUNSEL, AND WE DON'T MAKE ANY DETERMINATION OF INNOCENCE OR
02:21PM	12	GUILT. WE JUST GATHER THE UNDERLYING FACTS.
02:21PM	13	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. HAVE YOU EVER IN YOUR
02:21PM	14	CAREER BEEN ON A CASE WHERE YOU WERE LOOKING AT THE FACTS AND
02:21PM	15	INVESTIGATING IT AND YOU FOUND, AS A RESULT OF THAT, THAT THERE
02:21PM	16	WASN'T ANY FRAUD, AT LEAST THAT'S THE WAY THAT IT CAME OUT?
02:21PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
02:21PM	18	MR. COOPERSMITH: HAS THAT HAPPENED QUITE A FEW
02:21PM	19	TIMES IN YOUR CAREER?
02:21PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT DOES HAPPEN REGULARLY. IT
02:21PM	21	GOES BACK AND FORTH. SOME FRAUD ALLEGATIONS ARE ACCURATE, AND
02:21PM	22	SOME FRAUD ALLEGATIONS ARE NOT INACCURATE.
02:21PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: ARE YOU CERTIFIED AS A CPA?
02:21PM	24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M A CPA, A CA, AND A CHARTER
02:21PM	25	BUSINESS VALUATOR FOR ECONOMIC DAMAGES.

02:21PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND IN THE WORK YOU DO, YOU
02:21PM	2	WORK FOR A PRIVATE EMPLOYER; RIGHT?
02:21PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DO.
02:22PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOU DON'T WORK FOR THE GOVERNMENT?
02:22PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
02:22PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: BUT DO YOU THINK THAT YOUR WORK IS
02:22PM	7	SIMILAR TO THE WORK OF WHAT FEDERAL AGENTS DO AS FAR AS YOU
02:22PM	8	KNOW?
02:22PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M SORRY, WHAT TYPE OF FEDERAL
02:22PM	10	AGENT?
02:22PM	11	THE COURT: WELL, I THINK THAT REQUIRES SOME
02:22PM	12	FOUNDATION, MR. COOPERSMITH.
02:22PM	13	MR. COOPERSMITH: WELL, DO YOU KNOW
02:22PM	14	THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
02:22PM	15	DO YOU KNOW WHAT FBI AGENTS WHO INVESTIGATE FRAUD CASES
02:22PM	16	DO?
02:22PM	17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GENERALLY, YES.
02:22PM	18	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND IN THE COURSE OF YOUR CAREER,
02:22PM	19	HAVE YOU EVER INTERACTED WITH ANYONE LIKE THAT?
02:22PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I MEAN, I HAVE WORKED WITH A LOT
02:22PM	21	OF FORMER FBI, CIA, U.S. ATTORNEYS, ALL TYPES.
02:22PM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND IS THE WORK THAT YOU DO
02:22PM	23	ON THE PRIVATE SIDE, IS IT SIMILAR IN TERMS OF FACT FINDING AND
02:22PM	24	LOOKING AT EVIDENCE?
02:22PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT IS.

02:22PM	1	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
02:22PM	2	I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
02:22PM	3	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
02:22PM	4	ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS FROM THE GOVERNMENT?
02:23PM	5	MR. SCHENK: NO, YOUR HONOR.
02:23PM	6	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
02:23PM	7	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO IS TO TAKE ABOUT
02:23PM	8	A 15 MINUTE BREAK HERE. WE'RE GOING TO BREAK. I WANT TO TALK
02:23PM	9	TO THE LAWYERS ABOUT NEXT STEPS.
02:23PM	10	IF YOU COULD GO DOWN TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM, PLEASE.
02:23PM	11	IF YOU COULD COLLECT YOURSELVES THERE, AND YOU'LL BE NOTIFIED
02:23PM	12	BY THE JURY COMMISSIONER AS TO WHAT NEXT STEPS WE'LL TAKE.
02:23PM	13	IT MAY BE THAT YOU'LL BE ALLOWED TO GO HOME, EXCUSED FOR
02:23PM	14	THE DAY NOT FROM YOUR SERVICE, BUT FOR THE DAY AND THEN
02:23PM	15	BE NOTIFIED ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT AND WHEN YOU NEED TO RETURN
02:23PM	16	FOR ANY FURTHER PURPOSE.
02:23PM	17	BUT I DO WANT TO TALK WITH THE LAWYERS FOR JUST A MOMENT
02:23PM	18	ABOUT NEXT STEPS, AND I DON'T WANT TO INCONVENIENCE YOU IN
02:23PM	19	SITTING HERE AND WAITING FOR THAT.
02:23PM	20	SO LET'S SEND YOU DOWN TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM, AND
02:23PM	21	YOU'LL BE CONTACTED ABOUT WHAT IS NEXT GOING TO OCCUR. THANK
02:24PM	22	YOU.
02:24PM	23	IF YOU COULD LEAVE THE DOCUMENTS HERE, THAT WOULD BE
02:24PM	24	HELPFUL.
02:24PM	25	YES, YOUR MAPS, RIGHT, OR CALENDARS.

02:24PM	1	(PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL OUT AT 2:24 P.M.)
02:25PM	2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. PLEASE BE SEATED. THANK
02:25PM	3	YOU.
02:25PM	4	WE'RE ON THE RECORD, AND THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT OUR
02:25PM	5	PROSPECTIVE PANEL HAS LEFT THE COURTROOM. ALL COUNSEL AND THE
02:25PM	6	DEFENDANT ARE PRESENT.
02:25PM	7	COUNSEL, WHAT SHOULD WE DO NEXT?
02:25PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: I ALWAYS LIKE WHEN JUDGES ASK ME
02:25PM	9	THAT, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
02:25PM	10	YOU KNOW, WE HAVE A NUMBER OF ISSUES TO DISCUSS ABOUT
02:25PM	11	TODAY'S PANEL, AND I THINK WE HAVE SOME ISSUES LEFT OVER FROM
02:25PM	12	YESTERDAY'S PANEL, BOTH HARDSHIP ISSUES AND CAUSE ISSUES.
02:25PM	13	WE CAN DISCUSS THOSE ALL TOGETHER. WHATEVER THE COURT'S
02:25PM	14	PREFERENCE IS. BUT THAT'S THE REMAINING BUSINESS FOR THESE TWO
02:25PM	15	PANELS THAT I SEE AT LEAST.
02:25PM	16	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, WE HAVE ONE PANEL STILL
02:25PM	17	HERE, TODAY'S PANEL HERE, AND I WANT TO INQUIRE WHETHER OR NOT
02:25PM	18	WE SHOULD RELEASE THEM FOR THE DAY OR WHETHER WE SHOULD KEEP
02:25PM	19	SOME OF THEM.
02:25PM	20	SO LET ME HEAR YOUR THOUGHTS ON THAT.
02:25PM	21	MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
02:25PM	22	I THINK THE QUESTION BEFORE US IS WHETHER WE HAVE
02:25PM	23	SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO MAKE ANY DECISIONS REGARDING THE
02:26PM	24	PANEL THAT IS HERE, AND THE GOVERNMENT'S ANSWER IS YES.
02:26PM	25	I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY JUROR PRESENT IN THE COURTHOUSE

TODAY THAT WE NEED TO CALL BACK INTO THE COURTROOM TO ASK 1 02:26PM FURTHER QUESTIONS, SO OUR VIEW IS THAT WE COULD RELEASE THIS 2 02:26PM PANEL. THE GOVERNMENT DOES NOT INTEND TO MAKE FOR CAUSE. 3 02:26PM 02:26PM 4 I'D BE HAPPY TO LOOK THROUGH THE NOTES AND SEE IF THERE ARE SOME HARDSHIP ONES THAT MAY HAVE BEEN OVERLOOKED OR FURTHER 02:26PM 02:26PM 6 DEVELOPED IN THE LAST FEW HOURS. 02:26PM 7 BUT IF MR. COOPERSMITH HAS FOR CAUSE OR HARDSHIP, I DON'T KNOW THAT THE JURY NEEDS TO BE PRESENT IN THE COURTHOUSE FOR 02:26PM 8 02:26PM 9 THEM. 02:26PM 10 THE COURT: RIGHT. 02:26PM 11 MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 02:26PM 12 I CERTAINLY AGREE WE WOULDN'T HAVE TO KEEP THE JURY IF 02:26PM 13 WE'RE JUST TALKING ABOUT CAUSE AND THEY CAN BE NOTIFIED. THE ISSUE, THOUGH, FOR THIS PANEL THAT IS STILL HERE IS 02:26PM 14 02:26PM 15 THAT THERE ARE JURORS, MAYBE TWO, MAYBE THREE, WHO KNEW ABOUT THE PRIOR CONVICTION OF MS. HOLMES. 02:26PM 16 02:26PM 17 THERE ARE ALSO A FEW JURORS WHO KNEW ABOUT, BASED ON THEIR 02:26PM 18 QUESTIONNAIRES, THE ISSUE OF THE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST 02:27PM 19 MR. BALWANI RELATING TO ABUSE. 02:27PM 20 AND IT'S VERY HARD, AND AS WE ALREADY DISCUSSED, TO FULLY 02:27PM 21 DEVELOP THOSE THINGS DURING VOIR DIRE IN A PUBLIC SETTING. 02:27PM 22 SO WE WOULD LIKE TO OUESTION THOSE JURORS INDIVIDUALLY. 02:27PM 23 AND, YOU KNOW, OUR POSITION IS, AS WE DISCUSSED YESTERDAY, 02:27PM 24 FOR EACH JUROR THAT SHOULD BE QUESTIONED INDIVIDUALLY, I 02:27PM 25 UNDERSTAND FROM WHAT THE COURT DID YESTERDAY, THAT IT MIGHT --

02:27PM	1	THE COURT'S RULING MIGHT BE TO DO IT AS A GROUP,
02:27PM	2	NOTWITHSTANDING OUR DESIRE.
02:27PM	3	SO THAT, YOU KNOW, WOULD BE BETTER THAN NOT DOING IT AT
02:27PM	4	ALL, YOUR HONOR.
02:27PM	5	THE COURT: SO THANK YOU.
02:27PM	6	ARE YOU YOU ASKED A QUESTION ABOUT KNOWLEDGE OF A
02:27PM	7	ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP. I DON'T THINK YOU USED THE WORD "ABUSE"
02:27PM	8	IN YOUR QUESTION.
02:27PM	9	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, I DID NOT, YOUR HONOR.
02:27PM	10	THE COURT: AND THERE WERE FIVE PEOPLE THAT ANSWERED
02:27PM	11	AFFIRMATIVELY TO THAT QUESTION.
02:27PM	12	ARE YOU ASKING THAT WE BRING THOSE FIVE IN AND MAKE
02:27PM	13	FURTHER INQUIRY ABOUT THAT?
02:27PM	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE NOT
02:27PM	15	FOR ALL OF THEM, I COULD LOOK AT THE QUESTIONNAIRES, BUT FOR
02:28PM	16	SOME OF THEM THEY SEEMED TO BE AWARE OF EXACTLY WHAT THE
02:28PM	17	QUESTION WAS.
02:28PM	18	AND THE ISSUE THAT WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT FOR VOIR DIRE
02:28PM	19	PURPOSES ISN'T THAT THEY KNOW ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP. THAT'S
02:28PM	20	GOING TO BE, I THINK, EVIDENT DURING THE COURSE OF THE EVIDENCE
02:28PM	21	IN THE CASE.
02:28PM	22	IT'S ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR ALLEGATION THAT MS. HOLMES MADE
02:28PM	23	AGAINST MR. BALWANI. THAT'S THE ISSUE THAT WE THINK HAS TO BE
02:28PM	24	FURTHER EXPLORED WITH MORE INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR DIRE.
02:28PM	25	THE COURT: WELL, SO WE HAVE THESE FIVE HERE THAT I

02:28PM	1	IDENTIFIED THAT RESPONDED AFFIRMATIVELY TO YOUR QUESTION OF
02:28PM	2	KNOWLEDGE OF, AS YOU PUT IT, ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP.
02:28PM	3	SHOULD WE BRING THESE FIVE IN THEN IN YOUR OPINION?
02:28PM	4	YOU'RE GOING TO GET SOME HELP HERE FROM SOMEONE IT LOOKS LIKE.
02:28PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: IT'S ALWAYS NICE WHEN I GET HELP,
02:28PM	6	YOUR HONOR.
02:28PM	7	AGAIN, WE THINK EACH PERSON SHOULD BE BROUGHT IN
02:28PM	8	INDIVIDUALLY, BUT WE UNDERSTAND THE COURT WANTED TO DO IT AS A
02:28PM	9	GROUP, AT LEAST YESTERDAY WITH THE CONVICTIONS.
02:28PM	10	BUT WE DO THINK THAT WE HAVE TO DO THAT IN SOME FASHION,
02:28PM	11	BECAUSE WHAT I ASKED THE JURORS DURING MY QUESTIONING WAS
02:28PM	12	WHETHER THEY KNEW OF THE RELATIONSHIP AND THOSE PEOPLE SAID
02:29PM	13	YES.
02:29PM	14	AND I ALSO ASKED THEM WHAT SOURCE THEY HAD AND WHEN?
02:29PM	15	AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE NEWS MEDIA THAT'S BEEN COVERING
02:29PM	16	THE CASE, EVERY TIME THEY HAVE MENTIONED THE RELATIONSHIP IN
02:29PM	17	ANY MEDIA THAT I HAVE EVER SEEN IN THIS CASE FROM ANY
02:29PM	18	PUBLICATION, THAT WAS ALWAYS THE WHAT THEY TALKED ABOUT.
02:29PM	19	THE COURT: NO, NO, I'M NOT YOU DON'T HAVE TO
02:29PM	20	DEFEND WHETHER OR NOT WE SHOULD BRING THEM UP.
02:29PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANKS.
02:29PM	22	THE COURT: THAT'S THE FIVE THAT I IDENTIFIED, 161,
02:29PM	23	165, 162, 179, AND 181. THOSE ARE THE FIVE THAT YOU ASKED THE
02:29PM	24	QUESTION OF THAT RESPONDED AFFIRMATIVELY ACCORDING TO MY NOTES.
02:29PM	25	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, MY COLLEAGUE

02:29PM	1	MS. WALSH'S NOTES SHOW A FEW MORE.
02:29PM	2	WE HAD 108, 125, 131, 134, 143, 161, 165, 162, 179, AND
02:29PM	3	181.
02:29PM	4	THE COURT: TO THAT QUESTION?
02:29PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: TO THE RELATIONSHIP QUESTION, YES.
02:30PM	6	THE COURT: I SEE. OKAY.
02:30PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND THEN THERE WERE, AS I SAID, A
02:30PM	8	FEW OTHERS WHO KNEW ABOUT THE PRIOR CONVICTION, SO THEY MAY
02:30PM	9	HAVE SOME OVERLAP ON THAT. THERE WERE AT LEAST TWO OR THREE.
02:30PM	10	WE CAN COME UP WITH THAT LIST.
02:30PM	11	THE COURT: WELL, LET'S TAKE TEN MINUTES AND LET YOU
02:30PM	12	GET A LIST TOGETHER, BECAUSE WHAT WE MAY DO LET'S LOOK AT
02:30PM	13	THAT. I DON'T WANT TO KEEP OUR JURORS DOWN THERE ANY LONGER
02:30PM	14	THAN WE HAVE TO.
02:30PM	15	AND IF WE'RE GOING TO BRING SOME FOLKS UP, I'D LIKE TO DO
02:30PM	16	THAT IN A WAY THAT ALLOWS THE PARTIES TO GAIN INFORMATION THAT
02:30PM	17	THEY NEED, BUT ALSO TO DO IT IN AN EFFICIENT MANNER TO
02:30PM	18	ACCOMPLISH THAT.
02:30PM	19	SO LET'S TAKE
02:30PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES.
02:30PM	21	THE COURT: SO LET'S GIVE YOU ABOUT TEN MINUTES TO
02:30PM	22	DO THAT.
02:30PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
02:30PM	24	THE COURT: WE'LL BE IN RECESS.
02:30PM	25	(RECESS FROM 2:30 P.M. UNTIL 2:45 P.M.)

THE COURT: WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD OUTSIDE OF THE 1 02:45PM 2 PRESENCE OF OUR PROSPECTIVE PANEL. 02:45PM ALL COUNSEL, MR. BALWANI IS PRESENT. 3 02:45PM 02:46PM 4 MR. COOPERSMITH. MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU. 02:46PM AND THANK YOU FOR GIVING US A LITTLE TIME. WE REALLY 02:46PM 6 02:46PM 7 APPRECIATE IT. SO, FIRST OF ALL, GOING BACK TO THE RELATIONSHIP ISSUE, IN 02:46PM 8 THE TIME WE HAD THERE, WE CULLED DOWN OUR LIST A LITTLE BIT. 02:46PM 9 02:46PM 10 WE SAW THAT WE HAD SOME PEOPLE WHO WATCHED OR LISTENED TO 02:46PM 11 SOMETHING LONG ENOUGH AGO THAT WE WOULDN'T BE CONCERNED WITH 02:46PM 12 THE ISSUE THAT WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT. SO WE CUT PEOPLE OFF FROM THAT LIST. 02:46PM 13 02:46PM 14 THE COURT: RIGHT. 02:46PM 15 MR. COOPERSMITH: SO I COULD READ IT AGAIN AND TELL YOU WHO WE TOOK OFF. MAYBE I'LL READ IT AGAIN. 02:46PM 16 02:46PM 17 THE PEOPLE THAT WE THINK SHOULD BE QUESTIONED 02:46PM 18 INDIVIDUALLY, 108, 125, 131, 134, 161, 165, 162, AND 179. AND 02:46PM 19 THAT'S A REVISED LIST FROM THE ONE I GAVE BEFORE THE BREAK. 02:46PM 20 AND, YOUR HONOR, SINCE WE THINK IT'S VERY LIKELY THAT THEY 02:46PM 21 KNOW ABOUT THE ALLEGATIONS OF ABUSE, WE DON'T KNOW THAT FOR 02:47PM 22 SURE, SO WE THINK THERE'S SOME RISK IN QUESTIONING THEM ALL 02:47PM 23 TOGETHER BECAUSE IF WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A CANDID DISCUSSION 02:47PM 24 ABOUT WHAT THEY KNOW ABOUT THAT ISSUE, IT MIGHT TAINT OTHERS IF 02:47PM 25 SOME PEOPLE KNOW MORE THAN OTHERS ABOUT EXACTLY WHAT WAS GOING

02:47PM	1	ON, OR WHAT THE ALLEGATIONS WERE RATHER.
02:47PM	2	SO THAT WAS I MEAN, I KNOW IT WAS MORE TIME-CONSUMING,
02:47PM	3	BUT THAT WAS THE ISSUE WE HAD.
02:47PM	4	TURNING TO THE OTHER QUESTION, THERE'S ONLY TWO JURORS
02:47PM	5	THAT WE THINK WOULD HAVE TO BE INDIVIDUALLY QUESTIONED ABOUT
02:47PM	6	THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE PRIOR CONVICTION, AND THAT'S JUROR 165 AND
02:47PM	7	JUROR 180.
02:47PM	8	SO THERE'S AN OVERLAP, OBVIOUSLY WITH 165.
02:47PM	9	180 IS SOMEONE WHO APPARENTLY DIDN'T RAISE HIS HAND ABOUT
02:47PM	10	THE RELATIONSHIP, BUT DOES KNOW ABOUT THE PRIOR CONVICTION.
02:47PM	11	MR. SCHENK: 180 HAS BEEN EXCUSED.
02:47PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: OH, IS THAT RIGHT?
02:47PM	13	THE COURT: YES.
02:47PM	14	THE CLERK: YES.
02:47PM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH: WELL, THAT SOLVES 180. GREAT.
02:47PM	16	THANK YOU.
02:47PM	17	SO THAT JUST MEANS WE HAVE 165 WHO WOULD BE THE ONE JUROR
02:48PM	18	WHO WOULD HAVE TO TALK ABOUT BOTH ISSUES.
02:48PM	19	MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, LET ME START WITH THE
02:48PM	20	CONVICTION ISSUE.
02:48PM	21	165 EXPRESSED KNOWLEDGE OF THE CONVICTION VERY EARLY ON
02:48PM	22	THIS MORNING AND WAS ASKED MULTIPLE TIMES AFTER THE EXPRESSION
02:48PM	23	OF THAT KNOWLEDGE WHETHER THAT JUROR COULD BE FAIR.
02:48PM	24	THERE IS NO NEED TO DO INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE ON THE
02:48PM	25	CONVICTION QUESTION. THAT HAS BEEN THOROUGHLY DISCUSSED AFTER

02:48PM	1	THE JUROR EXPRESSED KNOWLEDGE OF THAT FACT.
02:48PM	2	SO I DON'T THINK THAT THERE'S A NEED TO RETREAD THAT
02:48PM	3	GROUND.
02:48PM	4	THE COURT: MAY I ASK, IS THIS THE JUROR WHO, WHEN
02:48PM	5	ASKED ABOUT KNOWLEDGE SAID, OH, YES, I READ ALL OF THIS AND
02:48PM	6	HEARD ABOUT IT, AND INCLUDING THE CONVICTION AND THEN HE
02:48PM	7	STOPPED? AND THAT WAS IT?
02:48PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT. IT WAS THE SAME JUROR WHO
02:48PM	9	TALKED ABOUT HOW HE'S READING INTERNET SITES AND DOING IT ALL
02:48PM	10	OF THE TIME AND IT MIGHT BE DIFFICULT FOR HIM TO DO THAT.
02:48PM	11	THE COURT: I THOUGHT HE SAID HE COULD.
02:48PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: HE DID ULTIMATELY SAY HE COULD,
02:48PM	13	BUT HE JUST SAID IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT.
02:49PM	14	THE COURT: HE DID SAY THAT. HE SAID I WOULD FOLLOW
02:49PM	15	THE COURT'S INSTRUCTION AND I WOULD DO IT. HE SEEMS TO BE
02:49PM	16	WEDDED TO REDDIT, TWITTER, "NEW YORK TIMES," CNN.
02:49PM	17	HARD. IT'S DOABLE, I CAN DO IT.
02:49PM	18	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT. AND I UNDERSTAND
02:49PM	19	MR. SCHENK'S POINT. THE ONLY REASON THAT I THINK IT'S STILL
02:49PM	20	NECESSARY IS BECAUSE WE COULDN'T REALLY STILL EXPLORE WHETHER
02:49PM	21	THAT PARTICULAR THING, THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE CONVICTION, WOULD
02:49PM	22	CLOUD HIS JUDGMENT IN A WAY THAT WOULD MAKE IT HARD FOR HIM TO
02:49PM	23	SIT.
02:49PM	24	AND I WOULD ALSO SAY BECAUSE IT'S ONLY ONE PERSON THAT WE
02:49PM	25	THINK HAS TO BE QUESTIONED ON THE RELATIONSHIP ISSUE ANYWAY, I

02:49PM	1	DON'T THINK IT WOULD TAKE AN INORDINATE AMOUNT OF TIME TO ASK
02:49PM	2	HIM QUESTIONS IF HE WAS HERE ANYWAY.
02:49PM	3	THE COURT: WHAT WHY CAN'T WE ACCOMPLISH WITH
02:49PM	4	THESE TEN INDIVIDUALS A CONVERSATION, AT LEAST INITIALLY
02:49PM	5	EXPLORE THEIR KNOWLEDGE OF THE ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP
02:49PM	6	COLLECTIVELY?
02:50PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: I THINK WE CAN GO A CERTAIN WAY
02:50PM	8	DOWN THAT ROAD.
02:50PM	9	THE COURT: FOR EXAMPLE PARDON ME. BUT IF YOU
02:50PM	10	WERE TO ASK, YOU TOLD US ABOUT YOUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE
02:50PM	11	RELATIONSHIP, AND THEN MAYBE STAGE THE QUESTIONING? WOULD THAT
02:50PM	12	HELP IF YOU STAGED THE QUESTIONING? DOES ANYBODY KNOW ABOUT
02:50PM	13	ANY OTHER ALLEGATIONS? AND START PARING IT DOWN TO SEE.
02:50PM	14	IF THEY ALL RAISE THEIR HAND, THEN WE CAN HAVE A
02:50PM	15	COLLECTIVE CONVERSATION. IT'S NOT GOING TO INTERFERE AND
02:50PM	16	INFECT ANYONE.
02:50PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, I DEFINITELY
02:50PM	18	UNDERSTAND THE EFFICIENCY POINT, AND OBVIOUSLY THAT'S BETTER
02:50PM	19	THAN NOT DOING IT AT ALL.
02:50PM	20	OUR PREFERENCE IS TO DO IT ONE-BY-ONE, BUT IF THAT'S WHAT
02:50PM	21	THE COURT SETTLES ON, THEN OF COURSE WE'LL CONDUCT IT THAT WAY.
02:50PM	22	THE COURT: MR. SCHENK, DO YOU HAVE ANY THOUGHTS?
02:50PM	23	MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE WE
02:50PM	24	ASKED JURORS, ALL OF THEM, IF THEY KNEW ABOUT A RELATIONSHIP.
02:50PM	25	NOW MR. COOPERSMITH HAS REFINED THAT QUESTION BY ASKING

1 02:50PM 2 02:51PM 3 02:51PM 02:51PM 4 02:51PM 02:51PM 6 02:51PM 7 02:51PM 8 02:51PM 9 02:51PM 10 02:51PM 11 02:51PM 12 02:51PM 13 02:51PM 14 02:51PM 15 02:51PM 16 02:51PM 17 02:51PM 18 02:52PM 19 02:52PM 20 02:52PM 21 02:52PM 22 02:52PM 23 02:52PM 24 02:52PM 25

THE PANEL IF THEY KNEW ABOUT A ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP.

IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE QUESTION THAT MATTERS NOW IS YOUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP WAS OBTAINED THROUGH THE MEDIA. YOU'VE TOLD ME WHERE YOU'VE LEARNED IT AND WHEN YOU LEARNED IT. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT KNOWLEDGE THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL?

WE DON'T NEED TO PROBE TO THE DETAILS OF WHAT IT IS THAT THEY KNOW.

SO I'M NOT SURE -- IF WE GO THAT ROUTE, I THINK THEY CAN ALL BE QUESTIONED COLLECTIVELY.

I THINK THE KEY QUESTION IS, CAN THEY BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL IN LIGHT OF THE KNOWLEDGE THEY BRING TO THE COURTROOM, THE SAME QUESTION THAT WE HAVE ASKED THEM MULTIPLE TIMES ON VARIOUS TOPICS.

IF, THOUGH, WE ARE GOING TO INJECT THE SORT OF MULTIPLE LEVELS, I SUPPOSE I DO HAVE A CONCERN THAT AT SOME POINT ONE JUROR IS GOING TO SAY, YES, WHAT I KNOW ABOUT THE ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP IS X, AND THEN THEY'LL SAY THE WORD "ABUSE" OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, AND I SUPPOSE COLLECTIVELY IS THE WAY TO GO BECAUSE THE ONLY QUESTION THAT MATTERS IS, CAN YOU BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL?

BUT IF WE'RE GOING TO GO FURTHER AND IF WE ARE GOING TO INJECT INTO THEIR LIST OF QUESTIONS OR THEIR KNOWLEDGE THE ALLEGATIONS OF ABUSE, THEN I THINK THAT SHOULD BE INDIVIDUAL.

THE COURT: I'M JUST TRYING TO SEE HOW WE CAN PARSE

THAT OUT. 1 02:52PM LET ME JUST SAY THIS: IT'S TEN MINUTES TO 3:00. DO YOU 2 02:52PM INTEND TO EXAMINE THEM ON THIS ISSUE, EACH OF THEM FOR 3 02:52PM 02:52PM 4 30 MINUTES, 40 MINUTES? MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, NOTHING LIKE THAT. 02:52PM 5 THE COURT: I'M NOT PUTTING TIME LIMITS, I JUST WANT 02:52PM 6 02:52PM 7 TO --MR. COOPERSMITH: NO. WHAT I'M REALLY INTERESTED IN 02:52PM 8 KNOWING IS CERTAINLY WHETHER THEY CAN BE FAIR. 02:52PM 9 02:52PM 10 BUT BEYOND THAT, SIMPLY WHETHER THERE'S ANYTHING ABOUT 02:52PM 11 THEIR BACKGROUND OR THEIR EXPERIENCE OR WHAT THEY KNOW ABOUT 02:52PM 12 THIS CASE THAT WOULD MAKE THEM LOOK AT MR. BALWANI DURING THE ENTIRE COURSE OF THE TRIAL AS AN ACCUSED ABUSER RATHER THAN A 02:52PM 13 MAN WHO IS INNOCENT UNTIL PROVEN GUILTY, AND I WANT TO GET TO 02:53PM 14 02:53PM 15 THE BOTTOM OF THAT QUESTION BECAUSE SOME PEOPLE JUSTIFIABLY HAVE PARTICULAR EXPERIENCES OR REASONS TO BE REALLY CONCERNED 02:53PM 16 02:53PM 17 ABOUT THAT. 02:53PM 18 THE COURT: SO THAT'S THE QUESTION YOU WOULD ASK 02:53PM 19 THEM IF THEY WERE BROUGHT IN INDIVIDUALLY? YOU'VE HEARD ABOUT 02:53PM 20 THE ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP. IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT 02:53PM 21 RELATIONSHIP -- I'M NOT TELLING YOU WHAT TO SAY -- BUT IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT THAT RELATIONSHIP, THAT YOU'VE HEARD THERE WAS 02:53PM 22 02:53PM 23 ABUSE INVOLVED? YES OR NO? 02:53PM 24 AND THEN WITH THAT KNOWLEDGE THEN, WITH THAT KNOWLEDGE 02:53PM 25 THEN, WOULD YOU LOOK AT MY CLIENT AS AN ABUSER, AS OPPOSED

02:53PM	1	TO AS YOU JUST SAID BEFORE? IS THAT IT, LIKE, FOUR
02:53PM	2	QUESTIONS LIKE THAT?
02:53PM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: IT'S BASICALLY THAT, YOUR HONOR.
02:53PM	4	AND I MIGHT ASK THEM ONE MORE THING, DO THEY BELIEVE THE
02:53PM	5	ALLEGATIONS FOR SOME REASON, EVEN THOUGH THEY MAY NOT KNOW AS
02:53PM	6	MUCH ABOUT IT AS THEY WOULD NEED TO TO MAKE THAT CONCLUSION?
02:54PM	7	SOME PEOPLE, YOU KNOW, WOULD AUTOMATICALLY ACCEPT THAT
02:54PM	8	DEPENDING ON THEIR BACKGROUND AND PARTICULAR PERSPECTIVE.
02:54PM	9	SO, YEAH, IT'S PROBABLY FOUR OR FIVE QUESTIONS,
02:54PM	10	YOUR HONOR.
02:54PM	11	IT'S NOT NOTHING.
02:54PM	12	I DON'T WANT TO CAUSE MORE TIME THAN NECESSARY, BUT THAT'S
02:54PM	13	WHAT WE'RE GETTING AT.
02:54PM	14	THE COURT: WE ALL WANT TO MAKE SURE TO GET A FAIR
02:54PM	15	JURY HERE AND GET A FAIR TRIAL.
02:54PM	16	WHAT ELSE WITH THIS LEVEL OF INQUIRY? ANYTHING ELSE WITH
02:54PM	17	THIS PANEL?
02:54PM	18	MR. COOPERSMITH: ONLY THAT ONE JUROR THAT OVERLAPS
02:54PM	19	ABOUT THE CONVICTION, NUMBER 165.
02:54PM	20	THE COURT: YES.
02:54PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND THEN WE HAVE SOME ADDITIONAL
02:54PM	22	CONCERNS ABOUT CAUSE, STRIKES, BASED ON WHAT PEOPLE SAID, NOT
02:54PM	23	TOO MANY, BUT SOME, AND THEN THERE ARE SOME HARDSHIP ISSUES
02:54PM	24	THAT WE CAN DISCUSS.
02:54PM	25	BUT AGAIN, AS MR. SCHENK SAID, WE DON'T HAVE TO DO THAT

02:54PM	1	TODAY. THEY CAN BE EXCUSED AND WE TAKE THAT UP TOMORROW OR
02:54PM	2	WHENEVER THE COURT WOULD PREFER.
02:54PM	3	SAME WITH THE FIRST PANEL, FRANKLY. WE CAN TALK ABOUT
02:54PM	4	THAT AS WELL WHEN THE COURT WISHES TO DO THAT.
02:54PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, LET'S ANYTHING FURTHER?
02:55PM	6	MR. SCHENK: NO, YOUR HONOR.
02:55PM	7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
02:55PM	8	LET US SEND OUR IT'S PROBABLY EASIER TO SAY LET'S KEEP
02:55PM	9	FOR TODAY 108, 125, 131, 134, 161, 165, 162, AND 179.
02:55PM	10	EVERYONE ELSE CAN BE RELEASED FOR THE DAY, AND THEY'LL BE
02:55PM	11	NOTIFIED AS TO IF AND/OR WHEN THEY SHOULD BE RECALLED.
02:55PM	12	AND THEN THOSE INDIVIDUALS WE WILL LET'S SEE. LET ME
02:55PM	13	GO OFF THE RECORD TO CHECK AND SEE WHERE WE CAN PARK THEM.
02:55PM	14	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
03:01PM	15	(PROSPECTIVE JURORS 108, 125, 131, 134, 165, 162, AND 179
03:01PM	16	IN AT 3:01 P.M.)
03:01PM	17	THE COURT: WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD. ALL COUNSEL
03:01PM	18	ARE PRESENT AND MR. BALWANI IS PRESENT.
03:01PM	19	I JUST WANT TO CONFIRM THAT WE HAVE WE'VE CALLED AND
03:01PM	20	SUMMONED BACK SOME PROSPECTIVE JURORS TO ANSWER ADDITIONAL
03:01PM	21	QUESTIONS.
03:01PM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH, I JUST WANT TO GO OVER THE LIST THAT
03:01PM	23	YOU'VE PROVIDED ME TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE EVERYONE WE'VE
03:01PM	24	TALKED ABOUT, MR. SCHENK.
03:01PM	25	JUROR 108 IS HERE. THANK YOU.

03:02PM	1	125.
03:02PM	2	131.
03:02PM	3	134. WAS THAT A NUMBER THAT YOU ASKED FOR THAT WAS
03:02PM	4	ASKED FOR, PARDON ME?
03:02PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:02PM	6	THE COURT: 134 IS NOT HERE.
03:02PM	7	WE'LL CHECK ON THAT. 161. YES. THANK YOU.
03:02PM	8	165. YES. THANK YOU.
03:02PM	9	162.
03:02PM	10	179. YES. THANK YOU.
03:02PM	11	GIVE US JUST A MOMENT. WE MAY HAVE LOST A JUROR IN THE
03:02PM	12	HALLWAY.
03:02PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK SHE CALLED A
03:02PM	14	NUMBER.
03:02PM	15	THE COURT: HANG ON A SECOND. WE'LL GET THE
03:03PM	16	MICROPHONE TO FOLKS.
03:03PM	17	YOU'RE JUROR NUMBER?
03:03PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 165.
03:03PM	19	THE COURT: YES.
03:03PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK FOR JUROR 134, BEFORE
03:03PM	21	WHEN DEFENSE COUNSEL WAS ASKING US QUESTIONS THEY ASKED FOR 134
03:03PM	22	AND HE WAS NOT HERE DURING THE AFTER THE BREAK.
03:03PM	23	SO AT LEAST
03:03PM	24	THE CLERK: HE'S ON HIS WAY.
03:03PM	25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, OKAY.

03:03PM	1	THE COURT: YES, I THINK WE FOUND HIM. HE'S BEEN
03:03PM	2	FOUND.
03:03PM	3	THANK YOU FOR THE HELP, THOUGH. I APPRECIATE IT.
03:03PM	4	YOU LOOK AWFULLY LONELY THERE, 108. WHY DON'T YOU
03:03PM	5	WOULD YOU LIKE TO JOIN YOUR COLLEAGUES THERE? SURE. WHY DON'T
03:03PM	6	YOU STEP DOWN AND CHOOSE A SEAT THERE.
03:03PM	7	OR YOU COULD SPREAD OUT IF YOU WOULD LIKE. WHATEVER.
03:04PM	8	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
03:05PM	9	THE COURT: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED. THANK
03:05PM	10	YOU.
03:05PM	11	ALL RIGHT. WE'RE ON THE RECORD AND JUROR NUMBER 134 HAS
03:05PM	12	JOINED US, ALONG WITH ALL OF THE OTHER JURORS I'VE CALLED OUT.
03:05PM	13	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THANK YOU FOR COMING BACK AND THANK
03:05PM	14	YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE.
03:05PM	15	I BROUGHT YOU BACK BECAUSE WE WANTED TO ASK YOU FOLLOWUP
03:05PM	16	ON ONE OF THE QUESTIONS THAT WAS POSED TO YOU.
03:05PM	17	WE'RE GOING TO BEGIN DOING THIS COLLECTIVELY, TO ASK YOU
03:05PM	18	QUESTIONS COLLECTIVELY.
03:05PM	19	IT MAY BE THAT WE CAN SPEAK PRIVATELY IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK
03:06PM	20	PRIVATELY OR IF THE TOPIC MOVES INTO A DIRECTION THAT COUNSEL
03:06PM	21	OR I FEEL WOULD BE MORE APPROPRIATE TO SPEAK PRIVATELY.
03:06PM	22	IF WE DO THAT, WE'LL GO INTO THIS LITTLE ROOM HERE, INTO
03:06PM	23	OUR JURY DELIBERATION ROOM. OUR COURT REPORTER WILL COME WITH
03:06PM	24	US AND WE CAN TALK ONE ON ONE.
03:06PM	25	THIS IS NOT GOING TO TAKE AN INORDINATE AMOUNT OF TIME.

03:06PM	1	BUT LET ME TELL YOU, THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE GOING TO BE
03:06PM	2	POSED TO YOU REVOLVE AROUND YOUR ANSWER TO THE QUESTION ABOUT
03:06PM	3	KNOWLEDGE OF A ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MR. BALWANI AND
03:06PM	4	MS. HOLMES.
03:06PM	5	AND EACH OF YOU, IN RESPONSE TO MR. COOPERSMITH'S INQUIRY,
03:06PM	6	RAISED YOUR HAND THAT YOU HAD KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THAT.
03:06PM	7	YOU ALSO IDENTIFIED FOR US THE SOURCE OF THAT INFORMATION,
03:06PM	8	AND WE ALL HAVE THAT DOWN. WE'RE NOT GOING TO ASK YOU TO
03:06PM	9	REPEAT THE SOURCE OF INFORMATION.
03:06PM	10	BUT MY SENSE IS THAT THE PARTIES ARE CURIOUS ABOUT THAT
03:06PM	11	KNOWLEDGE OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE OF THAT ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP
03:07PM	12	AND PERHAPS SOME OTHER ISSUE THAT MIGHT BE ATTACHED TO IT.
03:07PM	13	MR. SCHENK, DO YOU WANT TO WAIVE ANY OPENING QUESTIONS AND
03:07PM	14	ALLOW MR. COOPERSMITH TO BEGIN?
03:07PM	15	MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:07PM	16	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
03:07PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH.
03:07PM	18	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
03:07PM	19	AND AS JUDGE DAVILA SAID, THANK YOU FOR COMING BACK. WE
03:07PM	20	APPRECIATE YOUR TIME AND WE KNOW IT'S VALUABLE.
03:07PM	21	JUDGE DAVILA TOLD YOU WHY YOU'RE BACK HERE AND IT RELATES
03:07PM	22	TO THE ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP QUESTION.
03:07PM	23	IF YOU WERE SEATED AS A JUROR, DURING THE COURSE OF THE
03:07PM	24	TRIAL IT'S LIKELY THAT YOU WILL HEAR INFORMATION ABOUT THE
03:07PM	25	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES

03:07PM	1	THE COURT: MR. COOPERSMITH, I'M SORRY.
03:07PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH, I'M SORRY. I HATE TO INTERRUPT YOU, BUT YOUR
03:07PM	3	BACK IS TO THE MOST IMPORTANT PERSON IN THE COURTROOM.
03:07PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: IT IS TRUE, YES.
03:07PM	5	THE COURT: RIGHT. SO SHE NEEDS TO HEAR YOU. SO IF
03:07PM	6	I COULD JUST POSITION YOU A DIFFERENT WAY, MAYBE PULL THAT
03:08PM	7	MICROPHONE OVER AND THAT MIGHT BE OF ASSISTANCE TO HER.
03:08PM	8	THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE THAT. SORRY TO INTERRUPT.
03:08PM	9	MR. COOPERSMITH: MY APOLOGIES, YOUR HONOR, AND ALSO
03:08PM	10	TO THE COURT REPORTER.
03:08PM	11	AS I WAS SAYING, DURING THE COURSE OF THIS TRIAL, YOU
03:08PM	12	MIGHT HEAR EVIDENCE ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP ABOUT MR. BALWANI
03:08PM	13	AND MS. HOLMES.
03:08PM	14	AND THE FIRST QUESTION I HAVE FOR YOU, JUST BY A SHOW OF
03:08PM	15	HANDS, OF COURSE, GIVEN WHAT YOU'VE ALREADY TOLD ME ABOUT WHERE
03:08PM	16	YOU LEARNED THAT OR WHEN, OR APPROXIMATELY WHEN, ARE YOU AWARE
03:08PM	17	OF ANY OTHER ISSUE IN THAT RELATIONSHIP, THAT ANY SORT OF
03:08PM	18	DIFFICULTY OR ALLEGATIONS OR ANYTHING OF THAT NATURE THAT YOU
03:08PM	19	HEARD IN ADDITION TO THE FACT THAT THERE WAS A ROMANTIC
03:08PM	20	RELATIONSHIP?
03:08PM	21	AND IF YOU HAVE ANYTHING IN YOUR HEAD ABOUT THAT, IF YOU
03:08PM	22	COULD RAISE YOUR HAND, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.
03:08PM	23	OKAY. AND WITHOUT TELLING ME WHAT YOU KNOW
03:08PM	24	THE COURT: THIS IS JUROR NUMBER 162.
03:08PM	25	MR. COOPERSMITH: 162. THANK YOU.

03:08PM	1	AND WITHOUT TELLING ME EXACTLY WHAT YOU KNOW, ARE YOU
03:09PM	2	AWARE OF SOME DIFFICULTY OR ALLEGATIONS OR ANYTHING RELATED TO
03:09PM	3	THE RELATIONSHIP ALONG THOSE LINES?
03:09PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HELLO.
03:09PM	5	I MEAN, MAYBE I SHOULDN'T HAVE RAISED MY HAND. I DID HEAR
03:09PM	6	SOMETHING ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP THAT I READ IN "THE MERCURY,"
03:09PM	7	THAT IT WASN'T I MEAN, AM I FREE TO SAY?
03:09PM	8	THE COURT: WELL, LET ME JUST YES. LET ME JUST
03:09PM	9	ASK YOU, YOU HEARD SOMETHING ABOUT YOU TOLD US YOU KNOW
03:09PM	10	ABOUT A ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP, AND IT SEEMS LIKE YOU HAVE, AT
03:09PM	11	LEAST YOU'VE READ ABOUT SOMETHING THAT TALKS ABOUT THAT
03:09PM	12	RELATIONSHIP IN GREATER DETAIL?
03:09PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.
03:09PM	14	THE COURT: OKAY. LET'S STOP YOU THERE.
03:09PM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH.
03:09PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. ANYONE ELSE
03:09PM	17	THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
03:09PM	18	ANYONE ELSE HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE OF SOME ANY PARTICULAR
03:09PM	19	ISSUES THAT AT LEAST WERE ALLEGED OR DISCUSSED ABOUT THE
03:09PM	20	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MR. BALWANI, MY CLIENT, AND MS. HOLMES?
03:09PM	21	NUMBER 161.
03:10PM	22	AND WITHOUT TELLING US YET EXACTLY WHAT YOU KNOW.
03:10PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. LIKE I SAID, THE NEWS WAS
03:10PM	24	GOING ON ON THE SIDE, AND I WAS WORKING ON SOMETHING, AND I
03:10PM	25	JUST HEARD ABOUT A RELATIONSHIP.

03:10PM	1	BUT LIKE THE JUDGE SAID, MORE TO IT THAN A ROMANTIC
03:10PM	2	RELATIONSHIP.
03:10PM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND IT'S I'LL TRY TO
03:10PM	4	PHRASE IT THIS WAY: ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIPS, AS WE ALL KNOW,
03:10PM	5	CAN BE COMPLICATED AT TIMES. THAT'S JUST HOW LIFE GOES.
03:10PM	6	DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE OF ANY PARTICULAR
03:10PM	7	COMPLEXITY, OR ALLEGED COMPLEXITY, IN THIS RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
03:10PM	8	MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES?
03:10PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T I JUST KNEW ABOUT
03:10PM	10	THERE WAS A RELATIONSHIP AND I JUST KNOW THE AGE. SO I CAN
03:10PM	11	ASSUME THERE WAS MAYBE SOMETHING. BUT, NO, I DON'T HAVE ANY
03:10PM	12	DETAILS.
03:10PM	13	THE COURT: AND YOUR NUMBER WAS?
03:11PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 131.
03:11PM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH: 131.
03:11PM	16	ANYBODY ELSE HAVE ANY OTHER INFORMATION THAT YOU WANT TO
03:11PM	17	SHARE? AND IF IT'S SOMETHING MORE DETAILED, WE CAN DO THAT IN
03:11PM	18	A PRIVATE SESSION OBVIOUSLY.
03:11PM	19	OKAY.
03:11PM	20	THE COURT: I SEE NO HANDS.
03:11PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT.
03:11PM	22	THE COURT: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR THE COLLECTIVE,
03:11PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH?
03:11PM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: I DON'T HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS
03:11PM	25	OTHER THAN MAYBE FOR TWO JURORS.

03:11PM	1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
03:11PM	2	MR. SCHENK.
03:11PM	3	MR. SCHENK: NO. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
03:11PM	4	THE COURT: LET ME ASK, MR. COOPERSMITH, MR. SCHENK,
03:11PM	5	SHOULD WE MAKE INQUIRY OF TWO JURORS AND ALLOW THE OTHERS TO
03:11PM	6	GO, OR WOULD YOU LIKE THE BALANCE TO STAY? IS THERE ANY OTHER
03:11PM	7	REASON TO KEEP THE BALANCE?
03:11PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: THERE'S NO REASON TO KEEP ANYONE
03:11PM	9	OTHER THAN THE TWO, YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:11PM	10	THE COURT: 162 AND 161; IS THAT RIGHT?
03:11PM	11	MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, MAY I JUST ASK ONE
03:11PM	12	QUESTION?
03:11PM	13	THE COURT: YES, PLEASE.
03:12PM	14	MR. SCHENK: THE QUESTION FOR ALL OF YOU, YOU ALL
03:12PM	15	EXPRESSED SOME KNOWLEDGE OF A ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
03:12PM	16	MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES.
03:12PM	17	AND AGAIN, WITHOUT REVEALING WHAT YOU KNOW ABOUT THE
03:12PM	18	RELATIONSHIP OR ANY OF THE DETAILS, IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT
03:12PM	19	WHAT YOU KNOW THAT WOULD AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND
03:12PM	20	IMPARTIAL IN THIS TRIAL?
03:12PM	21	IF THERE I8S ANYBODY WHO HAS A CONCERN THAT IT WOULD
03:12PM	22	AFFECT THEIR ABILITY TO BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL, WOULD YOU PLEASE
03:12PM	23	RAISE YOUR HAND?
03:12PM	24	YOUR HONOR, I SEE NO HANDS.
03:12PM	25	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.

03:12PM	1	MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU.
03:12PM	2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MAY I ASK ALL BUT 162 AND
03:12PM	3	161 TO LEAVE NOW?
03:12PM	4	MR. SCHENK: YES.
03:12PM	5	THE COURT: OKAY. MR. COOPERSMITH?
03:12PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:12PM	7	THE COURT: SO 162 AND 161, IF YOU WOULD STAY FOR A
03:12PM	8	MOMENT.
03:12PM	9	THE REST OF YOU, YOU WILL BE NOTIFIED BY THE COURT AS TO
03:12PM	10	WHEN YOU SHOULD RETURN. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU FOR
03:13PM	11	YOUR PATIENCE.
03:13PM	12	THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED.
03:13PM	13	(PROSPECTIVE JURORS 108, 125, 131, 134, 165, AND 179 OUT
03:13PM	14	AT 3:13 P.M.)
03:13PM	15	THE COURT: IS IT YOUR DESIRE, MR. COOPERSMITH, TO
03:13PM	16	HAVE A BRIEF CONVERSATION WITH THESE JURORS?
03:13PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:13PM	18	THE COURT: SO LET'S TAKE A BREAK. WE'LL MEET IN
03:13PM	19	PRIVATE WITH JUROR 162 FIRST.
03:13PM	20	AND JUROR 161, IF YOU COULD BE PATIENT AND WAIT HERE, THIS
03:13PM	21	WON'T BE VERY LONG, AND THEN WE'LL TALK WITH YOU.
03:13PM	22	AND WE'LL NOW RETIRE TO A PRIVATE SPACE TO TALK.
03:14PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, IS IT OKAY IF
03:14PM	24	MS. WALSH ACCOMPANIES US?
03:14PM	25	THE COURT: SURE.

03:14PM	1	(SIDE-BAR CONFERENCE ON THE RECORD.)
03:28PM	2	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR 162 IN.)
03:28PM	3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WHERE WOULD YOU GUYS LIKE ME?
03:28PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: I THINK WE'LL LEAVE THAT TO THE
03:28PM	5	JUDGE.
03:28PM	6	THE COURT: HERE WE ARE. YOU CAN HAVE A SEAT IF YOU
03:28PM	7	WOULD LIKE. SURE. GO RIGHT AHEAD.
03:28PM	8	OKAY. WE'RE ON THE RECORD OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF THE
03:28PM	9	COURTROOM. WE'RE IN THE JURY DELIBERATION ROOM. COUNSEL ARE
03:28PM	10	PRESENT, MR. SCHENK IS PRESENT, MR. LEACH IS PRESENT, AND
03:28PM	11	MS. WALSH AND MR. COOPERSMITH.
03:28PM	12	JUROR NUMBER 162 IS PRESENT.
03:28PM	13	I BELIEVE THAT, MR. COOPERSMITH, YOU WANTED TO ASK A
03:28PM	14	QUESTION?
03:28PM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES. IS EVERYBODY COMFORTABLE
03:28PM	16	WITH ME REMOVING MY MASK?
03:28PM	17	THE COURT: SURE. GO RIGHT AHEAD.
03:28PM	18	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU.
03:28PM	19	SIR, I APPRECIATE YOU COMING BACK HERE, AND AS THE JUDGE
03:28PM	20	TOLD YOU, IT'S NOT BECAUSE YOU'RE IN ANY TROUBLE. WE'RE JUST
03:28PM	21	TRYING TO GET AS FAIR A JURY AS POSSIBLY WE CAN AND THAT HASN'T
03:28PM	22	TO DO WITH WHETHER YOU'RE FAIR, THAT HAS TO DO WITH THE CASE.
03:28PM	23	SO THANKS FOR THAT AND PUTTING UP WITH US.
03:28PM	24	SO THE PARTICULAR ISSUE I WANT TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT IS IN
03:28PM	25	YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE, AND I'M JUST LOOKING AT IT

03:28PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WAS WONDERING WHY YOU GUYS
03:28PM	2	DIDN'T SAY ANYTHING OUT THERE, BECAUSE I KNOW WHAT I WROTE AND
03:28PM	3	I THOUGHT, THEY DIDN'T CALL MY NAME.
03:28PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT. SO YOU ANTICIPATED IT?
03:28PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: RIGHT.
03:28PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: ON QUESTION 42, THE QUESTION WAS,
03:28PM	7	HAVE YOU HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MS. HOLMES
03:28PM	8	AND MR. BALWANI?
03:28PM	9	AND YOU WROTE, "I READ THAT HE'S ACCUSED OF BEING VERY
03:28PM	10	CONTROLLING OVER MS. HOLMES, THE RELATIONSHIP WAS ALSO SEXUAL
03:28PM	11	IN NATURE."
03:28PM	12	RIGHT?
03:28PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, AND I COMMEND YOU FOR BEING
03:28PM	14	ABLE TO READ MY CHICKEN SCRATCH.
03:28PM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH: IT'S NOT BAD AT ALL.
03:28PM	16	SO I GUESS WHEN YOU SAY THAT "HE'S ACCUSED OF BEING VERY
03:28PM	17	CONTROLLING," CAN YOU GIVE US AN IDEA WHAT THAT MEANS?
03:28PM	18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SO I READ IN THE PAPER SOMETHING
03:28PM	19	TO THE FACT THAT HE CONTROLLED HER DIET AND WHAT SHE WORE, AND
03:28PM	20	MAYBE CONTROLLED HER SOCIAL INTERACTIONS WITH HER PEERS OR
03:28PM	21	OTHER PEOPLE, MAYBE TRYING TO, LIKE, SOCIALLY ISOLATE HER
03:28PM	22	PERHAPS.
03:28PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY OTHER
03:28PM	24	ALLEGATIONS THAT SHE MAY HAVE MADE ABOUT OTHER THINGS HE MAY
03:28PM	25	HAVE DONE TO HER, OR NOT? ANYTHING ELSE COME TO MIND?

03:28PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, NOT CRYSTAL CLEAR, NO.
03:28PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND JUST ABOUT HIM BEING
03:28PM	3	CONTROLLING?
03:28PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. I GUESS THE STORY I READ
03:28PM	5	WAS MORE PAINTED HIM IN MORE OF A POOR LIGHT THAN HER.
03:28PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT.
03:28PM	7	IS THERE ANYTHING ABOUT HAVING READ THAT MATERIAL OR
03:28PM	8	ANYTHING IN YOUR BACKGROUND OR ANYTHING ELSE THAT MAKES YOU
03:28PM	9	BELIEVE THOSE ALLEGATIONS, OR IS IT JUST YOU DON'T KNOW ONE WAY
03:28PM	10	OR THE OTHER?
03:28PM	11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I DON'T KNOW. SOMETIMES I
03:28PM	12	READ IT IN THE PAPER AND SOMETIMES YOU CAN TAKE THAT WITH A
03:28PM	13	GRAIN OF SALT.
03:28PM	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: FOR SURE.
03:28PM	15	AND WHEN YOU ARE SEATED AS A JUROR FOR SEVERAL MONTHS, I
03:28PM	16	HATE TO SAY, YOU MAY BE LOOKING AT MR. BALWANI EVERY DAY THAT
03:28PM	17	YOU ARE HERE IN COURT, WOULD YOU BE LOOKING AT HIM ANY
03:28PM	18	DIFFERENTLY BECAUSE YOU WERE AWARE OF THIS ALLEGATION
03:28PM	19	MS. HOLMES MADE?
03:28PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK I WOULD.
03:28PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: IT WOULDN'T AFFECT YOU ONE WAY OR
03:28PM	22	THE OTHER?
03:28PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK IT WOULD.
03:28PM	24	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
03:28PM	25	MR. COOPERSMITH: I DON'T HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS.

03:28PM	1	MR. SCHENK: NOTHING. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
03:28PM	2	THE COURT: CAN WE ALLOW HIM TO LEAVE THEN FOR THE
03:28PM	3	DAY?
03:28PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:28PM	5	THE COURT: GREAT.
03:28PM	6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OKAY. GREAT.
03:28PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, SIR.
03:28PM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ALL RIGHT. THANKS.
03:28PM	9	THE COURT: AND THEN WE'LL BRING IN THE OTHER
03:28PM	10	WE'LL ASK HIM TO GO GET THE OTHER.
03:28PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU.
03:28PM	12	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
03:28PM	13	(PROSPECTIVE JUROR 161 IN.)
03:28PM	14	THE COURT: PLEASE HAVE A SEAT. THANK YOU.
03:28PM	15	AND WE ARE ON THE RECORD IN A PRIVATE SESSION WITH
03:28PM	16	MR. SCHENK, MR. LEACH, MS. WALSH, AND MR. COOPERSMITH WITH
03:28PM	17	JUROR NUMBER 161.
03:28PM	18	THANK YOU FOR JOINING US HERE. AS YOU SAW WITH THE
03:28PM	19	PREVIOUS JUROR, THIS IS NOT GOING TO TAKE LONG.
03:28PM	20	LET ME INVITE MR. COOPERSMITH TO GO FIRST.
03:28PM	21	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
03:28PM	22	ARE YOU COMFORTABLE IF I TAKE OFF MY MASK?
03:28PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (NODS HEAD UP AND DOWN.)
03:28PM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU. SO WHAT I WANT TO TELL
03:28PM	25	YOU IS THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE AND PUTTING UP WITH US, AND I

03:28PM	1	KNOW THIS IS NOT IN YOUR ORDINARY EXPERIENCE NECESSARILY.
03:28PM	2	YOU'RE NOT HERE BECAUSE YOU'RE IN ANY TROUBLE. THAT'S NOT
03:28PM	3	WHAT IS GOING ON HERE.
03:28PM	4	WE'RE JUST TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHAT PEOPLE THINK AND
03:28PM	5	BELIEVE, AND THERE'S NO WRONG ANSWERS. AS I SAID BEFORE, IT'S
03:28PM	6	JUST A MATTER OF TRYING TO GET THE FAIREST JURY WE CAN GET.
03:28PM	7	AND WHAT I WAS INTERESTED IN, AND I WON'T ASK YOU THINGS
03:28PM	8	THAT I ALREADY ASKED YOU OUT THERE IN THE COURTROOM, BUT THIS
03:28PM	9	IS QUESTION 42 IN YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE, AND I'M JUST LOOKING AT
03:28PM	10	YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE, AND THE QUESTION WAS: HAVE YOU HEARD
03:28PM	11	ANYTHING ABOUT A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MS. HOLMES AND
03:28PM	12	MR. BALWANI?
03:28PM	13	AND YOU WROTE THAT YOU HEARD ON THE NEWS THAT THEY WERE IN
03:28PM	14	A RELATIONSHIP AND HAVE NOT THOUGHT OF MY OPINION AND NOT SURE
03:28PM	15	ABOUT WHAT I THINK.
03:28PM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: (NODS HEAD UP AND DOWN.)
03:28PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND I GUESS THE FIRST QUESTION I
03:28PM	18	HAVE FOR YOU, CAN YOU GIVE US A LITTLE MORE DETAIL ABOUT WHAT
03:28PM	19	EXACTLY YOU HEARD ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP ON THE NEWS?
03:28PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I THINK IT WAS A ONE
03:28PM	21	LINER, THE NEWS I WAS READING SAYING THAT THEY WERE IN A
03:28PM	22	RELATIONSHIP AND MY MEMORY IS BAD, BUT STILL I THINK I HEARD
03:28PM	23	THAT IT WAS AN ABUSIVE RELATIONSHIP OR "ABUSIVE" WAS USED.
03:28PM	24	I DON'T KNOW THE EXACT WORDS EXACTLY
03:28PM	25	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY.

03:28PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OR LINE. BUT THAT'S WHAT I
03:28PM	2	HEARD. I THINK I HEARD.
03:28PM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND DO YOU KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HOW
03:28PM	4	THAT WHAT THE ABUSIVE RELATIONSHIP WAS EXACTLY?
03:28PM	5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, NO.
03:28PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: WHO RAISED IT OR WHO WAS ACCUSING
03:28PM	7	WHO OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT?
03:28PM	8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS THE NEWS PERSON WAS
03:28PM	9	TALKING, AND I WAS COOKING AND SHE WAS TALKING. BUT I DON'T
03:28PM	10	KNOW WHY IT STUCK IN MY HEAD, BUT, YEAH, I THINK THAT'S WHAT I
03:28PM	11	HEARD.
03:28PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
03:28PM	13	AND WHEN YOU HEARD THAT, IT SOUNDS LIKE IT WAS RELATIVELY
03:28PM	14	BRIEF, DID YOU ASSUME ANYTHING ABOUT MR. BALWANI BASED ON WHAT
03:28PM	15	YOU HEARD ON THE NEWS?
03:28PM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. LIKE I SAID, IT WAS JUST
03:28PM	17	IT WAS IN PASSING. I WAS DOING SOMETHING AND SHE JUST READ THE
03:28PM	18	THING, AND YEAH, I DIDN'T THINK ABOUT IT.
03:28PM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND IF THE ALLEGATIONS OF
03:28PM	20	ABUSE OR ANYTHING COME UP, DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON, ANYTHING
03:28PM	21	ABOUT YOU OR YOUR BACKGROUND THAT WOULD MAKE YOU MORE INCLINED
03:28PM	22	TO BELIEVE THOSE THINGS?
03:28PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M NOT SURE.
03:28PM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND IF I COULD ASK, WHAT IS THE
03:28PM	25	HESITATION?

03:28PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HONESTLY DON'T KNOW. IT COULD
03:28PM	2	BE TRUE, IT COULD BE FALSE. BUT I'LL TAKE A PAUSE AT THE WORD
03:28PM	3	"ABUSE" AND, YOU KNOW, IT WON'T BE LIKE, OH, A PERSON COULD BE
03:28PM	4	INNOCENT. IT WILL STAY IN MY MIND JUST FOR THAT.
03:28PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND WHEN YOU SAY IT WOULD
03:28PM	6	STAY IN YOUR MIND, IF YOU WERE AWARE OF SOMEONE ONE PERSON
03:28PM	7	ACCUSING ANOTHER PERSON OF ABUSE, WOULD YOU BE MORE LIKELY TO
03:28PM	8	THINK THAT THAT HAPPENED?
03:28PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH, I WOULD LOOK AT IT AS A
03:28PM	10	POSSIBILITY.
03:28PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND WOULD YOU BE MORE
03:28PM	12	LIKELY TO THINK THAT THAT HAPPENED IF IT WAS A WOMAN ACCUSING A
03:28PM	13	MAN OF THAT, OR DOES IT NOT MATTER TO YOU HOW THAT WOULD GO?
03:28PM	14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT I
03:28PM	15	WOULDN'T JUST PUT A MAN OR A WOMAN, BUT I WOULD BE STUCK AT THE
03:28PM	16	WORD "ABUSE."
03:28PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. AND, YOU KNOW, I ASKED YOU
03:28PM	18	IN COURT BEFORE ABOUT A RESPONSE TO ANOTHER QUESTION, AND I'M
03:28PM	19	JUST WONDERING AND PARDON ME BECAUSE IS THERE ANYTHING
03:28PM	20	ABOUT, LIKE, YOUR EXPERIENCE OR HERITAGE OR BACKGROUND THAT
03:28PM	21	MAKES YOU BELIEVE ANYTHING IN PARTICULAR OF MR. BALWANI WHEN IT
03:28PM	22	COMES TO THE ISSUE OF ABUSE?
03:28PM	23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK SO.
03:28PM	24	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU
03:28PM	25	THINK WE SHOULD KNOW? AND AGAIN, NO WRONG ANSWERS, JUST WITH

03:28PM	1	THE GOAL OF BEING AS FAIR AS POSSIBLE, BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY WHAT
03:28PM	2	WE'RE LOOKING FOR IS PEOPLE WHO COME INTO THIS WITH A BLANK
03:28PM	3	SLATE.
03:28PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I UNDERSTAND.
03:28PM	5	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT YOU'RE A
03:28PM	6	GOOD OR BAD PERSON.
03:28PM	7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I UNDERSTAND.
03:28PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: IT JUST MEANS YOU MIGHT OR MIGHT
03:28PM	9	NOT BE THE RIGHT JUROR FOR THE CASE.
03:28PM	10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I UNDERSTAND.
03:28PM	11	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND ANYTHING ELSE YOU THINK WE
03:28PM	12	SHOULD KNOW ABOUT THIS?
03:28PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
03:28PM	14	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU.
03:28PM	15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WAS HONEST.
03:28PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: I APPRECIATE THAT.
03:28PM	17	MR. SCHENK: NO, THANK YOU.
03:28PM	18	THE COURT: I'D LIKE TO FOLLOW UP ON YOUR QUESTION
03:28PM	19	AND ANSWER TO 56, AND I KNOW I PUT THAT IN FRONT OF YOU. MY
03:28PM	20	SENSE IS THAT YOU WANTED A LITTLE MORE TIME TO PERHAPS DISCUSS
03:28PM	21	YOUR RESPONSE.
03:28PM	22	AND THIS IS A QUESTION MAY I TELL YOU, THE REASON THAT
03:28PM	23	THIS QUESTION IS HERE IS BECAUSE THERE WAS SOME CONCERN AS I
03:28PM	24	MENTIONED THIS MORNING, PEOPLE COME IN OUR COURTS, AND THEY
03:28PM	25	COME FROM ALL DIFFERENT BACKGROUNDS.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH. 03:28PM THE COURT: AND THE CONCERN IS WHETHER OR NOT, 2 03:28PM BECAUSE MR. BALWANI MIGHT BE FROM A DIFFERENT CULTURE, A 3 03:28PM 03:28PM 4 DIFFERENT RACE, A DIFFERENT BACKGROUND, HAVE DIFFERENT EXPERIENCES FROM OTHER PEOPLE, THIS QUESTION IS DESIGNED TO PUT 03:28PM 03:28PM 6 THAT OUT IN THE FRONT. AND MR. BALWANI AND OTHERS, THERE MAY BE OTHER WITNESSES, 03:28PM 7 BUT CERTAINLY WE KNOW MR. BALWANI IS FROM SOUTH ASIAN 03:28PM 8 BACKGROUND AND CULTURE. 03:28PM 9 03:28PM 10 AND THIS QUESTION WAS PREPARED TO HOPEFULLY ALLOW SOMEONE 03:28PM 11 TO SHARE THEIR EXPERIENCES, THEIR THOUGHTS, THEIR BELIEFS, 03:28PM 12 WHETHER OR NOT THAT FACT ALONE WOULD CAUSE THEM SOME DIFFICULTY AS THEY WERE TO -- AS THEY SIT AS A JUROR ON THE CASE 03:28PM 13 RECOGNIZING THAT MR. BALWANI -- RECOGNIZING HIS BACKGROUND. 03:28PM 14 03:28PM 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. IF THIS, IF THIS PART WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN THERE, I MIGHT -- I DON'T KNOW. JUST INDIA 03:28PM 16 03:28PM 17 AND PAKISTAN JUST GAVE ME A PAUSE AND I'M LIKE, OKAY, HOW 03:28PM 18 SHOULD I ANSWER? LIKE, WHAT IS IT THAT -- SO THAT'S WHY I SAY 03:28PM 19 I'M NOT SURE. 03:28PM 20 THE COURT: YES. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SINCE IT WAS PUT SO 03:28PM 21 03:28PM 22 SPECIFICALLY. 03:28PM 23 THE COURT: AND PARDON ME FOR INTERRUPTING YOU, BUT 03:28PM 24 YOU'VE SAID SOMETHING THAT I THINK IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE. INDIAN 03:28PM 25 AND PAKISTAN ARE COUNTRIES THAT DO NOT GET ALONG. THEY SHARE A

03:28PM	1	BORDER AND THERE'S TENSION BETWEEN THOSE COUNTRIES.
03:28PM	2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: UH-HUH.
03:28PM	3	THE COURT: SOMETIMES THERE'S TENSION BETWEEN
03:28PM	4	RESIDENTS OF THOSE COUNTRIES, BOTH THERE AND IN DIFFERENT
03:28PM	5	COUNTRIES, LIKE WE ENJOY A DIVERSITY OF CULTURES HERE. IN THIS
03:28PM	6	VALLEY, YOU'RE PROBABLY AWARE, WE HAVE MANY, MANY WONDERFUL
03:28PM	7	PEOPLE FROM SOUTH ASIA HERE.
03:28PM	8	AND THIS QUESTION WAS DESIGNED, I THINK, TO INQUIRE ABOUT
03:28PM	9	THAT. YOU'VE TOLD US AND I SAID WE KNOW ABOUT THE HISTORY OF
03:28PM	10	INDIAN AND PAKISTAN.
03:28PM	11	AND I BELIEVE THIS QUESTION WAS DESIGNED TO SEE, IS THERE
03:28PM	12	ANYONE WHO MIGHT HAVE SOME FEELINGS ABOUT THAT TENSION THAT IF
03:28PM	13	SOMEONE IS FROM PAKISTAN, SOMEONE IS FROM INDIA, THEY MIGHT
03:28PM	14	JUDGE MR. BALWANI IN A DIFFERENT WAY JUST BECAUSE OF HIS
03:28PM	15	NATIONALITY?
03:28PM	16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: OH, I DON'T KNOW HIS
03:28PM	17	NATIONALITY.
03:28PM	18	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:28PM	19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: BUT, YEAH, HONESTLY, I DIDN'T
03:28PM	20	KNOW HOW TO ANSWER THAT AND THAT'S WHY I SAID I'M NOT SURE HOW
03:28PM	21	TO ANSWER.
03:28PM	22	BUT TO GO BACK TO YOURS, I DON'T KNOW WHAT HIS COUNTRY OF
03:28PM	23	ORIGIN IS.
03:28PM	24	THE COURT: IF YOU WERE TO LEARN OF HIS COUNTRY OF
03:28PM	25	ORIGIN, DO YOU THINK THAT WOULD CAUSE YOU SOME DIFFICULTY

03:28PM	1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
03:28PM	2	THE COURT: IN JUDGING THE FACTS OF THIS CASE OR
03:28PM	3	JUDGING HIM?
03:28PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.
03:28PM	5	THE COURT: IF YOU WERE TO LEARN OF HIS BACKGROUND,
03:28PM	6	DO YOU THINK THAT THAT WOULD, IF IT WAS A CERTAIN COUNTRY,
03:28PM	7	WOULD THAT JUST IN AND OF ITSELF CAUSE YOU NOT TO LIKE HIM OR
03:28PM	8	NOT JUDGE HIM FAIRLY?
03:28PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO. I DON'T THINK SO.
03:28PM	10	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
03:28PM	11	AND THIS IS DIFFICULT TO TALK ABOUT, ABOUT RELATIONSHIPS
03:28PM	12	AND THE WAY PEOPLE LOOK AT THINGS. I RECOGNIZE THAT.
03:28PM	13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT'S FINE. I DIDN'T LOOK AT
03:28PM	14	INDIA AGAINST PAKISTAN, IT'S JUST SOUTHEAST ASIA, AND I TOOK A
03:28PM	15	PAUSE. BUT NOTHING AGAINST ANYONE.
03:28PM	16	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:28PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND COULD I ASK, WHEN YOU SAY YOU
03:28PM	18	TOOK A PAUSE WHEN YOU SAW SOUTHEAST ASIAN AND PAKISTAN, WHY DID
03:28PM	19	YOU TAKE A PAUSE?
03:28PM	20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I JUST THOUGHT, WHY SO SPECIFIC?
03:28PM	21	AND I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND THAT. YOU COULD HAVE SAID SPAIN, OR I
03:28PM	22	DON'T KNOW, BUT WHY SO SPECIFIC?
03:28PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY.
03:28PM	24	THE COURT: MR. SCHENK?
03:28PM	25	MR. SCHENK: NOTHING FURTHER.

03:28PM	1	THE COURT: MR. COOPERSMITH?
03:28PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, YOUR HONOR.
03:28PM	3	THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE?
03:28PM	4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I JUST WANT TO GET OUT.
03:28PM	5	THE COURT: NOW IS THE TIME. THANK YOU SO MUCH.
03:28PM	6	(LAUGHTER.)
03:28PM	7	THE COURT: YOU'LL BE NOTIFIED WHETHER OR NOT YOU
03:28PM	8	NEED TO COME BACK.
03:28PM	9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THANK YOU.
03:28PM	10	THE COURT: ANYTHING ANYONE WANTS TO PUT ON THE
03:28PM	11	RECORD ABOUT THIS?
03:28PM	12	MR. SCHENK: NO, YOUR HONOR.
03:28PM	13	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
03:28PM	14	(END OF DISCUSSION AT SIDE-BAR.)
03:28PM	15	(NO PROSPECTIVE JURORS PRESENT.)
03:29PM	16	THE COURT: WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD. ALL OF OUR
03:29PM	17	PANEL MEMBERS HAVE LEFT. ALL COUNSEL ARE PRESENT. MR. BALWANI
03:29PM	18	IS PRESENT.
03:29PM	19	COUNSEL, ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE TO TAKE UP TODAY?
03:29PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: I DON'T THINK SO.
03:29PM	21	THE ONLY THING I HAVE REMAINING FROM TODAY AND YESTERDAY
03:29PM	22	IS JUST SOME ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION ABOUT SOME FOR CAUSE STRIKES
03:29PM	23	AND POSSIBLY HARDSHIP ISSUES.
03:29PM	24	BUT WE DON'T HAVE TO DO THAT THIS AFTERNOON. OBVIOUSLY IT
03:29PM	25	CAN BE WHENEVER THE COURT PREFERS.

03:29PM	1	THE COURT: OKAY. WE'RE SCHEDULED TO MEET TOMORROW
03:29PM	2	MORNING, I BELIEVE, TO TALK ABOUT A MOTION, I THINK?
03:29PM	3	MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THERE ARE 49 JURORS
03:29PM	4	REMAINING.
03:29PM	5	I DON'T THINK THERE ARE PENDING FOR CAUSE OR HARDSHIP
03:29PM	6	CHALLENGES, SO I THINK THE COURT, TO THE EXTENT THAT THERE
03:30PM	7	AREN'T ANY, CAN AND SHOULD DENY THEM, AND WE HAVE ENOUGH. WE
03:30PM	8	NEED 40
03:30PM	9	THE COURT: RIGHT.
03:30PM	10	MR. SCHENK: IN ORDER TO SELECT A JURY.
03:30PM	11	SO WE COULD PASS THE SHEET TOMORROW MORNING. AND WE DON'T
03:30PM	12	NEED THE THIRD PANEL, THE THIRD PANEL THAT I THINK MONDAY WOULD
03:30PM	13	BE TOLD TO COME IN ON TUESDAY IS MY RECOLLECTION.
03:30PM	14	SO I THINK WE HAVE SUFFICIENT JURORS IN ORDER TO GET OUR
03:30PM	15	SEATED JURY.
03:30PM	16	THE COURT: SHOULD WE THANK YOU.
03:30PM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH, LET ME TELL YOU WHILE YOU'RE LOOKING
03:30PM	18	THERE, I WANT TO TELL YOU A NOTE WAS PASSED TO ME THAT SUGGESTS
03:30PM	19	THAT JUROR NUMBER 112 IS MOVING OUT OF STATE IN APRIL WAS THE
03:30PM	20	MESSAGE. I THINK THIS WAS PASSED FROM THE TO OUR JURY
03:30PM	21	COMMISSIONER.
03:30PM	22	MR. COOPERSMITH: 112, YOUR HONOR?
03:30PM	23	THE COURT: CORRECT.
03:30PM	24	MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE WE HAVE DISCUSSED
03:30PM	25	THIS MONDAY OR TUESDAY OF THIS WEEK. THIS WAS THE JUROR ON THE

03:30PM	1	QUESTIONNAIRE THAT WROTE THAT THE JUROR EXPECTED TO MOVE OUT OF
03:30PM	2	THE BAY AREA AFTER THE JOB, AND MAYBE THE INFORMATION
03:31PM	3	CRYSTALLIZED.
03:31PM	4	THE COURT: THAT WAS MY SENSE. IN LIGHT OF THIS, MY
03:31PM	5	SENSE WAS TO STRIKE THE JUROR FOR HARDSHIP.
03:31PM	6	MR. COOPERSMITH: AND WE DON'T HAVE ANY OBJECTION.
03:31PM	7	THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU.
03:31PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: MR. SCHENK MAY BE RIGHT THAT WE'LL
03:31PM	9	HAVE ENOUGH JURORS TO GET TO THE PASSING OF THE SHEET FOR
03:31PM	10	PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES, BUT WE DO HAVE TO KNOW WHO IS IN THAT
03:31PM	11	POOL, AND SO WE DO HAVE TO TAKE UP WE DON'T HAVE A LOT.
03:31PM	12	I THINK WE HAVE FIVE JURORS THAT APPROXIMATELY, I
03:31PM	13	THINK BASED ON THE NOTES NOW THAT I HAVE TO STRIKE FOR
03:31PM	14	MOVE TO STRIKE FOR CAUSE, AND THEN WE HAVE THREE ADDITIONAL
03:31PM	15	HARDSHIP ISSUES TO DISCUSS, AND I GUESS IF EVERY SINGLE ONE OF
03:31PM	16	THOSE LEFT, WE STILL MIGHT HAVE ENOUGH, SO OBVIOUSLY THAT WOULD
03:31PM	17	BE FINE.
03:31PM	18	THE COURT: WOULD YOU LIKE TO DISCUSS THOSE NOW? DO
03:31PM	19	YOU WANT TO TAKE THOSE UP TOMORROW MORNING? WHAT ARE YOUR
03:32PM	20	THOUGHTS?
03:32PM	21	MR. SCHENK: WE'D DEFER TO THE COURT. WE'RE
03:32PM	22	PREPARED TO DO IT NOW, BUT IF THE COURT WOULD PREFER TO DO IT
03:32PM	23	TOMORROW MORNING WITH THE MOTION, THAT WOULD BE FINE.
03:32PM	24	THE COURT: SURE.
03:32PM	25	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO JUST TO BE CLEAR, WE CAN DO IT

03:32PM	1	NOW IF THE COURT WANTS TO DO THAT, OR WE CAN DO IT TOMORROW
03:32PM	2	MORNING.
03:32PM	3	THE COURT: SURE.
03:32PM	4	MR. COOPERSMITH: BUT WE HAVE CERTAIN FOR CAUSE
03:32PM	5	STRIKES FROM TODAY, OR AT LEAST ARGUMENTS ABOUT THAT, AND THEN
03:32PM	6	WE HAVE A SOMEWHAT LONGER LIST FROM YESTERDAY.
03:32PM	7	THE COURT: RIGHT.
03:32PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: SO THAT'S THE ISSUE.
03:32PM	9	THE COURT: AND THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT I PROBABLY
03:32PM	10	UNARTFULLY RAISED THIS MORNING.
03:32PM	11	WHAT DO WE DO IF WE'RE ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH A UNIVERSE OF
03:32PM	12	JURORS TODAY, JUST WITH TODAY'S POOL, WHAT WOULD WE DO WITH
03:32PM	13	THOSE FROM YESTERDAY? WOULD WE I THINK THERE WAS A HOLDOVER
03:32PM	14	GROUP OF THOSE, IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN, THAT WERE CHALLENGED FOR
03:32PM	15	CAUSE OR HARDSHIP.
03:33PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT. THERE WERE SOME HARDSHIP
03:33PM	17	ISSUES AND FOR CAUSE, AND THEN THE OTHER QUESTION ABOUT THE
03:33PM	18	PANEL FROM DAY ONE WAS THAT WE DIDN'T DO WHAT WE DID TODAY
03:33PM	19	WHERE THERE WERE PEOPLE WHO KNEW ABOUT THE ABUSIVE RELATIONSHIP
03:33PM	20	ALLEGATIONS THAT WE DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE TO
03:33PM	21	THE COURT: OH, NO, THAT'S WHAT I'M TALKING ABOUT.
03:33PM	22	THERE'S THAT GROUP. I CAN'T REMEMBER THE NUMBER OF THOSE.
03:33PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT.
03:33PM	24	THE COURT: SO THE QUESTION IS, ARE YOU COMFORTABLE,
03:33PM	25	ASSUMING WE GO THROUGH THE CHALLENGE PROCESS TODAY, ARE YOU

03:33PM	1	COMFORTABLE USING WHAT WE HAVE TODAY, OR DO YOU WANT TO INCLUDE
03:33PM	2	THOSE FROM YESTERDAY AS WELL? WE'LL HAVE TO LOOK AT THAT.
03:33PM	3	MR. SCHENK: YEAH. I DON'T THINK WE HAVE ENOUGH. I
03:33PM	4	THINK WE ONLY HAVE 28 I'M SORRY
03:33PM	5	THE COURT: TODAY?
03:33PM	6	MR. SCHENK: 27 REMAINING TODAY. WITH STRIKING
03:33PM	7	112 JUST NOW, I THINK THERE'S 27 LEFT TODAY. WE NEED 40.
03:33PM	8	THE COURT: RIGHT.
03:33PM	9	MR. SCHENK: SO WE NEED BOTH PANELS.
03:33PM	10	MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT, YOUR HONOR. AND THERE
03:33PM	11	ARE I'M NOT COMFORTABLE ON BEHALF OF MR. BALWANI TO
03:34PM	12	UNLESS THE COURT WERE TO STRIKE ALL OF THE PEOPLE WHO KNEW
03:34PM	13	ABOUT THE ABUSIVE RELATIONSHIP ISSUE BASED ON THEIR
03:34PM	14	QUESTIONNAIRES, YOU KNOW, I WOULDN'T BE COMFORTABLE GOING
03:34PM	15	FORWARD WITHOUT HAVING A CHANCE, LIKE WE JUST DID WITH TWO
03:34PM	16	PEOPLE, TO QUESTION THEM ABOUT THAT.
03:34PM	17	THE COURT: WELL, OKAY. SO LET ME ASK, CAN WE DO
03:34PM	18	YOU HAVE HARDSHIPS CAUSE FOR THIS PANEL, TODAY'S PANEL THAT YOU
03:34PM	19	WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS? CAN WE DO THAT NOW?
03:34PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
03:34PM	21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, LET'S DO THAT NOW AND
03:34PM	22	SEE WHERE THAT TAKES US.
03:34PM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
03:34PM	24	SO LET ME TAKE UP HARDSHIPS FIRST.
03:34PM	25	THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. COOPERSMITH: THERE WERE THREE JURORS. THE 1 03:34PM FIRST WAS 126, AND THE HARDSHIP ISSUE SHE EXPRESSED WAS THAT 2 03:34PM SHE WAS A CHIROPRACTOR AND THERE WAS SOME CONTINUITY OF CARE 3 03:34PM 03:34PM 4 ISSUES AND SHE WAS CONCERNED ABOUT HER PATIENTS AND HOW THAT 03:34PM 5 WOULD WORK OUT. 03:35PM 6 THAT'S ONE OF THEM. THE OTHER ONE WAS JUROR 181. THAT JUROR TALKED ABOUT 03:35PM 7 HAVING LOWER BACK PAIN AND HIS LEGS GO NUMB AND IT MIGHT BE 03:35PM 8 DIFFICULT TO SIT AS A JUROR BECAUSE OF THAT. THAT'S 181. 03:35PM 9 03:35PM 10 YEAH, 181. 03:35PM 11 AND THEN IN ADDITION THERE WAS JUROR 119. THE ONLY ISSUE 03:35РМ 12 SHE RAISED WAS THAT SHE WAS PREGNANT. SHE SAID THAT AT THE END 03:35PM 13 OF THE ESTIMATED TRIAL TIME, SHE WOULD BE 31 WEEKS PREGNANT, WHICH WOULDN'T CAUSE ANY ISSUES UNLESS HER BABY WAS PREMATURE, 03:35PM 14 03:35PM 15 AND WE CERTAINLY HOPE THAT'S NOT THE CASE. BUT OBVIOUSLY IT'S ONLY AN ESTIMATE. WE DON'T KNOW HOW 03:35PM 16 03:36PM 17 LONG THE TRIAL WILL GO AND WE DON'T KNOW WHEN WE WILL BE IN THE 03:36PM 18 DEFENSE CASE. SO THAT WAS THE ISSUE WITH 119. 03:36PM 19 THOSE WERE THE THREE HARDSHIP ISSUES FROM THIS PANEL 03:36PM 20 TODAY. THE COURT: OKAY. SURE. 03:36PM 21 03:36PM 22 MR. SCHENK. MR. SCHENK: SURE. WHY DON'T I TAKE THEM IN 03:36PM 23 03:36PM 24 NUMERICAL ORDER, SO LET'S START WITH 119. 03:36PM 25 I DID NOT GET THE IMPRESSION SHE WAS ASKING TO BE

1 03:36PM 2 03:36PM 3 03:36PM 03:36PM 4 03:36PM 03:36PM 6 03:36PM 7 03:36PM 8 03:36PM 9 03:36PM 10 03:36PM 11 03:36PM 12 03:37PM 13 03:37PM 14 03:37PM 15 03:37PM 16 03:37PM 17 03:37PM 18 03:37PM 19 03:37PM 20 03:37PM 21 03:37PM 22 03:37PM 23 03:37PM 24 03:37PM 25

RELIEVED. SHE TOLD US, MY RECOLLECTION IS, THAT SHE SENT AN EMAIL OR A NOTE TO HER DOCTOR AND HAD NOT HEARD BACK FROM HER DOCTOR.

THE ACCOMMODATION SHE ASKED FOR WAS BREAKS FOR BATHROOM TRIPS, AND WE CERTAINLY CAN ACCOMMODATE THAT.

I DON'T THINK THAT IT'S NECESSARY TO EXCUSE HER FOR HARDSHIP AT THIS TIME, ALTHOUGH I SUPPOSE WE HAVE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE'S A CHANCE THAT WE WOULD NEED TO REPLACE HER WITH AN ALTERNATE LATE IN THE TRIAL IF THE BABY CAME EARLY.

BUT I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO EXCUSE HER FOR HARDSHIP AT THIS POINT.

THE SECOND JUROR THAT MR. COOPERSMITH REFERENCED WAS 126. SHE DID EXPRESS SOME CONCERNS REGARDING COVERAGE FOR HER PATIENTS, THOUGH THERE WERE TWO ADDITIONAL PROVIDERS WHO COULD SHARE SOME OF THE LOAD.

SHE ALSO NOTED THAT ON TWO OF THE WORK DAYS WE WOULD NOT BE IN SESSION AND SHE COULD SEE PATIENTS ON THOSE OCCASIONS, AND WHILE THERE IS A TWO WEEK WAITING LIST, IT DOES SEEM THAT IT'S STILL POSSIBLE FOR HER TO SEE PATIENTS.

SO I DON'T THINK IT'S NECESSARY TO EXCUSE 126.

AND THEN 181 DID NOT ASK TO BE EXCUSED. MY NOTES FOR 181 ACTUALLY INDICATE HIM SAYING THAT HE WAS NOT REALLY ASKING TO BE EXCUSED. HE WOULD BE FINE AS LONG AS HE HAD THE ABILITY TO MOVE AROUND AS NEEDED, AND I THINK THE COURT HAS NOTED THE ABILITY TO ACCOMMODATE THAT.

SO MY NOTES EXPLICITLY SAY HE DID NOT ASK TO BE EXCUSED 1 03:37PM FOR THAT HARDSHIP. 03:38PM 2 THE COURT: THAT'S MY RECOLLECTION ABOUT 181, JUST 3 03:38PM TO GO IN REVERSE ORDER. I THINK I ASKED HIM ABOUT WHETHER OR 03:38PM 4 NOT WE COULD -- HIS CONDITION COULD BE MITIGATED BY PILLOWS AND 03:38PM 5 THAT TYPE OF THING, AND I RECALL HIM ANSWERING IN THE 03:38PM 6 03:38PM 7 AFFIRMATIVE. AND I RECALL I EXPLAINED TO HIM HOW WE ALLOWED JURORS IN 03:38PM 8 THE PAST TO STAND OUTSIDE AND STRETCH AND TAKE BREAKS, AND I 03:38PM 9 03:38PM 10 THINK HE SAID THAT WOULD BE GREAT, OR WORDS TO THAT EFFECT. 03:38PM 11 SO I'M GOING TO DECLINE TO STRIKE THE -- I'M SPEAKING OF 03:38РМ 12 181 -- 181 FOR THE BACK PAIN ISSUE BASED ON MY COLLOQUY WITH HIM AND HIS ANSWERS TO MY QUESTIONS, AS WELL AS YOURS. 03:38РМ 13 126 WITH PATIENTS, IT SEEMED TO ME THAT THE CONVERSATION 03:38PM 14 03:39РМ 15 THAT SHE HAD WAS ONE OF INCONVENIENCE TO HER PATIENTS AND HER 03:39PM 16 COLLEAGUES. 03:39PM 17 SHE DID SAY THAT HER COLLEAGUES COULD SHARE THE LOAD, AND 03:39PM 18 SHE DID TALK ABOUT OUR SCHEDULING THAT WOULD NOT KEEP HER FROM 03:39PM 19 THE ENTIRETY OF HER PATIENTS. 03:39РМ 20 SHE DID TALK ABOUT IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT, AND OBVIOUSLY 03:39РМ 21 HER PREFERENCE WOULD BE TO BE ABLE TO CONTINUE HER FULL CASE 03:39PM 22 LOAD. SHE SEEMS TO BE VERY GOOD AT WHAT SHE DOES. SHE SAYS 03:39РМ 23 THERE'S A WAITING LIST FOR HER. 03:39PM 24 THAT INFORMS THAT, BECAUSE OF HER HIGH DEMAND, PEOPLE WILL 03:39РМ 25 PROBABLY BE WILLING TO WAIT TO RECEIVE THE SERVICES THAT SHE

1 03:39PM 2 03:39PM 3 03:39PM 03:39PM 4 03:40PM 03:40PM 6 03:40PM 7 8 03:40PM 03:40PM 9 03:40PM 10 03:40PM 11 03:40PM 12 03:40PM 13 03:40PM 14 03:40PM 15 03:40PM 16 03:40PM 17 03:40PM 18 03:40PM 19 03:41PM 20 03:41PM 21 03:41PM 22 03:41PM 23 03:41PM 24

03:41PM 25

PROVIDES.

SO I'M NOT GOING TO STRIKE HER FOR HARDSHIP.

AND THEN 119, WHEN SHE TALKED ABOUT HER PREGNANCY, SHE SAID, I JUST WANT TO LET YOU KNOW. AND, YOU KNOW, IT WAS INFORMATIVE FOR US FOR OUR PURPOSES OF PLANNING, AND I DID ASK HER ABOUT CONTACTING HER DOCTOR AND SHE SAID SHE EMAILED HER DOCTOR BUT HAD NOT HEARD BACK FROM HER DOCTOR YET.

SO I DON'T THINK THERE'S ENOUGH INFORMATION IN FRONT OF THE COURT NOW TO STRIKE HER FOR HARDSHIP AT THIS POINT, SO I'LL DENY THAT REQUEST.

THANK YOU.

MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THEN TURNING TO THE CAUSE ISSUE FOR TODAY'S PANEL.

THE FIRST ONE I WANT TO DISCUSS IS JUROR NUMBER 161, WHO WE JUST HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO QUESTION IN THE JURY DELIBERATION ROOM.

AND THE ISSUE IS SHE OBVIOUSLY KNOWS THINGS ABOUT THE CASE, AND SHE HAS SEEN SOME NEWS MEDIA, AND WHEN THE COURT WAS QUESTIONING HER DURING THE COURT'S VOIR DIRE, THERE WAS A HESITATION WHEN THE COURT ASKED HER IF SHE COULD BE FAIR. THERE WAS A HESITATION, AND SHE FINALLY DID SAY, YES, BUT THEN LATER SHE SAID SHE'S NOT SURE SHE COULD BE FAIR.

AND THEN IN THE INTERACTION THAT WE HAD WITH HER IN THE DELIBERATION ROOM, THERE SEEMED TO BE SOMETHING WEIGHING ON HER MIND THAT SHE WASN'T WILLING OR ABLE TO SAY. I'M NOT SURE WHY.

1 03:41PM 2 03:41PM 3 03:41PM 03:41PM 4 03:41PM 03:41PM 6 03:41PM 7 03:41PM 8 03:41PM 9 03:41PM 10 03:41PM 11 03:42PM 12 03:42PM 13 03:42PM 14 03:42PM 15 03:42PM 16 03:42PM 17 03:42PM 18 03:42PM 19 03:42PM 20 03:42PM 21 03:42PM 22 03:42PM 23 03:42PM 24 03:42PM 25

BUT JUST BASED ON THE ANSWERS THAT SHE'S NOT SURE THAT SHE COULD BE FAIR AND SOME OF THE OTHER ANSWERS IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE THAT WE DISCUSSED, I THINK THAT THIS JUROR WOULD BE A GOOD CANDIDATE TO EXCUSE FOR CAUSE.

MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, WE OPPOSE THAT.

FIRST, WHEN YOUR HONOR WAS SPEAKING WITH HER AND ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT WHETHER THE JUROR COULD BE FAIR, SHE SAID, YES, I WANT TO SAY I'LL TRY, BUT I'VE NOT BEEN IN THE SITUATION BEFORE.

YOUR HONOR DRILLED DOWN A LITTLE FURTHER AND DREW A DISTINCTION, AND THE JUROR AGREED, HER CONCERNS ABOUT WHETHER SHE COULD BE FAIR, WHETHER SHE COULD TELL THE COURT SHE COULD BE FAIR HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE FACTS OF THE CASE OR THE INABILITY TO FOLLOW THE COURT'S INSTRUCTIONS OR A QUARREL WITH THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE.

SHE HAS NOT SERVED ON A JURY BEFORE AND SHE FELT SOME HESITANCY TO COMMITTING 100 PERCENT TO SOMETHING THAT SHE HAD NEVER DONE BEFORE.

THAT'S A VERY DIFFERENT THING THAN TO SAY SHE SHOULD BE EXCUSED FOR CAUSE BECAUSE SHE HAD SOME BIAS OR SOME THING ABOUT HER THAT WILL PREVENT HER FROM BEING FAIR IN THIS CASE.

I ALSO OBSERVED, AS MR. COOPERSMITH NOTED, THAT ON OCCASIONS SHE PAUSED OR HESITATED BEFORE ANSWERING, BUT I DID NOT THINK IT WAS BECAUSE SHE HAD SOMETHING TO HIDE. SHE SEEMED THOUGHTFUL AND CAREFUL.

WHEN THE COURT JUST A MOMENT AGO ASKED FURTHER QUESTIONS 1 03:42PM 2 REGARDING HER KNOWLEDGE OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MR. BALWANI 03:42PM AND MS. HOLMES, SHE WAS FORTHCOMING. SHE DESCRIBED WHAT IT IS 3 03:42PM 03:43PM 4 THAT SHE KNEW. SHE TOLD THE COURT THAT HER INFORMATION WOULD NOT PREVENT 03:43PM 03:43PM 6 HER FROM BEING FAIR OR BEING AN IMPARTIAL JUROR IN THIS CASE. 03:43PM 7 WHEN THE COURT FOLLOWED UP ON, I THINK IT WAS QUESTION 56, THAT'S THE QUESTION WHERE WE NOTED SOME HESITANCY IN THE 03:43PM 8 MORNING SESSION, SHE GAVE US AN ANSWER TO WHAT HER HESITANCY 03:43PM 9 03:43PM 10 WAS BASED ON. SHE FOUND THE QUESTION SURPRISING. 03:43PM 11 THE QUESTION ITSELF CALLED OUT INDIVIDUALS FROM A 03:43PM 12 PARTICULAR REGION, FROM SOUTH ASIA, FROM INDIA, FROM PAKISTAN, 03:43PM 13 AND SHE SAID, WHY NOT SPAIN? WHY DIDN'T THIS QUESTION FOCUS ON OTHER COUNTRIES AND WHY DID IT FOCUS ON THIS REGION? 03:43PM 14 03:43PM 15 AGAIN, IT DIDN'T APPEAR THAT SHE WAS ATTEMPTING TO HIDE ANYTHING. SHE WAS THOUGHTFUL IN HER ANSWER, AND SHE SAID THE 03:43PM 16 03:43PM 17 QUESTION GAVE ME PAUSE BOTH FIGURATIVELY, AND PROBABLY 03:43PM 18 LITERALLY GAVE HER PAUSE, AND THEN SHE ANSWERED. 03:43PM 19 SHE DIDN'T KNOW WHAT TO WRITE ON THE QUESTION, BUT WHEN WE 03:43PM 20 FOLLOWED UP WITH HER, WE GOT WHAT I THOUGHT WAS A THOUGHTFUL 03:43PM 21 ANSWER. 03:43PM 22 NONE OF THE ANSWERS THAT SHE GAVE SUGGESTS THAT SHE HAS 03:44PM 23 SOME BIAS OR SOME INABILITY TO BE FAIR. IN FACT, THAT'S CONTRARY TO WHAT WE'VE HEARD FROM HER. 03:44PM 24 03:44PM 25 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER?

MR. COOPERSMITH: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR. I 1 03:44PM THINK YOU'VE OBSERVED THE SAME THINGS WE OBSERVED. 03:44PM 2 THE COURT: RIGHT, I DID. 3 03:44PM 03:44PM 4 I CAN UNDERSTAND WHERE THERE MIGHT BE SOME QUESTIONING BASED ON A PAUSING, AND I NOTICE THAT HER PLACE OF BIRTH IS NOT 03:44PM 5 03:44PM 6 IN THIS COUNTRY, SHE WAS BORN IN ANOTHER COUNTRY, AND IT WAS 03:44PM 7 ONE OF THE COUNTRIES REFERENCED IN QUESTION 56, WHICH CAUSED US TO MAKE SOME INQUIRY ABOUT THAT HISTORICAL RELATIONSHIP AND 03:44PM 8 TENSION BETWEEN THOSE TWO. 03:44PM 9 03:44PM 10 SHE WAS NERVOUS. MY OBSERVATION IS SHE WAS NERVOUS ABOUT 03:44PM 11 BEING HERE. NOT ABOUT SERVICE, BUT JUST THAT SHE HAD NEVER 03:44PM 12 BEEN IN THIS CIRCUMSTANCE BEFORE. I THINK THAT IS SOMETHING THAT HAS TO BE GIVEN 03:44PM 13 CONSIDERATION AS TO AN OBSERVATION OF HER QUESTIONS. 03:44PM 14 03:45PM 15 WHEN WE SPOKE WITH HER PRIVATELY A MOMENT AGO, SHE SEEMED TO HAVE GREATER COMFORT, AT LEAST IN EXPRESSING HER CONFUSION 03:45PM 16 ABOUT QUESTION56 AND HER SUGGESTED EDITS ABOUT HOW THAT MIGHT 03:45PM 17 03:45PM 18 BE BETTER PHRASED. 03:45PM 19 I SUPPOSE ONE COULD INTUIT THAT THE PAUSING MEANS THAT 03:45PM 20 THERE'S SOME RETICENCE IN HER ABILITY TO BE FAIR, BUT IN THE 03:45PM 21 TOTALITY OF HER QUESTIONING AND HER DEMEANOR, I DON'T CAPTURE 03:45PM 22 THAT FROM HER. 03:45PM 23 SO I'M GOING TO FIND -- I'M GOING TO DECLINE YOUR 03:45PM 24 INVITATION TO STRIKE HER FOR CAUSE FOR THOSE REASONS. 03:45PM 25 MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MOVING ON. JUROR NUMBER 119 -- WHICH I UNDERSTAND THERE 1 03:45PM 03:45PM 2 WAS A HARDSHIP ISSUE, BUT THAT'S BEEN DENIED -- THERE WAS AN ISSUE WHERE I WAS HAVING A DISCUSSION WITH HER ABOUT WHETHER 3 03:46PM 03:46PM 4 THE DEFENSE WOULD HAVE TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE TO ESTABLISH INNOCENCE, AND SHE SEEMED TO BE VERY UNSURE ABOUT THAT AND TOOK 03:46PM 03:46PM 6 QUITE A WHILE. 03:46PM 7 AND I UNDERSTAND THE COURT DID FINALLY ASK HER, AND I THINK I EVEN ASKED HER, COULD SHE FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTION? AND 03:46PM 8 SHE SAID YES. 03:46PM 9 03:46PM 10 BUT THERE WAS A LOT OF UNCERTAINTY IN SORT OF COMING TO 03:46PM 11 THAT, AND WE THINK THAT THAT WOULD BE ENOUGH TO STRIKE HER 03:46PM 12 BECAUSE WE JUST DON'T -- WE THINK SOMEONE HAS TO BE ABSOLUTELY 03:46PM 13 SURE THAT THEY CAN FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTION AND PRESUME INNOCENCE EVEN IF THE DEFENSE DOESN'T PRESENT ANY EVIDENCE AT ALL. 03:46PM 14 03:46PM 15 SO THAT WAS THE ISSUE. THE COURT: I RECALL THAT COLLOQUY BACK AND FORTH, 03:46PM 16 03:46PM 17 AND THAT EDUCATION, IF YOU WILL. 03:46PM 18 MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. 03:46PM 19 THE COURT: MR. SCHENK. MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, WE OPPOSE REMOVING JUROR 03:46PM 20 03:46PM 21 119 FOR CAUSE. HER HESITANCY I DON'T THINK WAS BASED ON AN 03:46PM 22 INABILITY TO FOLLOW COURT INSTRUCTION. IT WAS RATHER AN 03:46PM 23 UNDERSTANDING OF THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE. 03:47PM 24 SHE WAS ASKED QUESTIONS, AND I DON'T THINK THAT SHE HAS 03:47PM 25 EXPERIENCE IN THIS AREA, AND I DON'T THINK THAT SHE APPRECIATED

THAT IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTIONS THAT SHE WAS BEING ASKED, THE 1 03:47PM 2 PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE APPLIED OR WAS RELEVANT. 03:47PM SHE DID NOT EXPRESS HESITANCY OR CONCERNS ABOUT FOLLOWING 3 03:47PM 03:47PM 4 COURT INSTRUCTIONS. WHEN TOLD THERE WAS A PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE, THAT THE 03:47PM DEFENSE WOULD NOT HAVE TO PRESENT EVIDENCE, SHE WAS SOMEONE WHO 03:47PM 6 UNDERSTOOD THE COURT OR MR. COOPERSMITH'S STATEMENT TO HER 03:47PM 7 ABOUT THOSE CONCEPTS. SHE SEEMS ABLE TO APPLY THOSE. 03:47PM 8 HER HESITANCY SEEMED IN FACT TO BE AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE 03:47PM 9 03:47PM 10 APPLICATION OF THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE TO THE PARTICULAR 03:47PM 11 QUESTIONS THAT SHE WAS BEING ASKED. 03:47PM 12 THAT'S A VERY DIFFERENT THING THAN SOMEONE WHO CAN'T 03:47PM 13 FOLLOW COURT INSTRUCTIONS AND SHOULD BE STRUCK FOR CAUSE. THE COURT: YOU KNOW -- THANK YOU. 03:47PM 14 03:47PM 15 WHAT I GLEANED FROM THAT CONVERSATION, THAT COLLOQUY, WAS THE INITIAL QUESTION ABOUT WHAT IF THE DEFENSE DOESN'T PUT 03:47PM 16 ANYTHING ON, THEN WHAT WOULD YOU DO? 03:47PM 17 03:48PM 18 I LOOKED AT HER AND, TO ME, SHE HAD THIS QUIZZICAL LOOK. 03:48PM 19 HUH? HUH? WHAT IS THAT QUESTION? AND THEN YOU FOLLOWED UP, MR. COOPERSMITH, AS TO -- I 03:48PM 20 03:48PM 21 THINK MR. SCHENK, OR CERTAINLY THE COURT DID. 03:48PM 22 AND I TEND TO AGREE WITH MR. SCHENK. I'M NOT SURE SHE 03:48PM 23 UNDERSTOOD THE GAMUT OF THE -- THE TOTALITY OF THE 03:48PM 24 HYPOTHETICAL. BECAUSE SHE DID SAY, DIDN'T SHE, WELL, I GUESS I 03:48PM 25 WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT THE EVIDENCE OF THE GOVERNMENT THEN, OR

WHAT IS IN FRONT OF ME THEN, WHICH SEEMED TO BE AN ENTRANCE 1 03:48PM 2 INTO BALANCING JUST WHAT IS IN FRONT OF ME. 03:48PM AND THEN LATER ON I THINK -- I DON'T WANT TO SAY THERE WAS 3 03:48PM 03:48PM 4 A GESTALT MOMENT, BUT, YOU KNOW, IT SEEMED SHE UNDERSTOOD IT AND THEN SHE GOT TO THE, YES, OKAY, I'LL FOLLOW THE 03:48PM 5 03:48PM 6 INSTRUCTIONS. 03:48PM 7 AND I ASKED OUESTIONS ABOUT PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE TO SEE IF THAT WOULD FILL THE GAP OF HER QUESTIONING ABOUT THAT 03:48PM 8 03:49PM 9 CONCEPT. 03:49PM 10 I DON'T THINK SHE HAD -- OR THAT SHE LACKS THE CAPACITY TO 03:49PM 11 UNDERSTAND THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE. 03:49PM 12 QUITE THE CONTRARY. I BELIEVE THE QUESTIONING, THE FINAL OUESTIONING TO HER ALLOWED HER TO UNDERSTAND THAT. SHE DID SAY 03:49PM 13 SHE WOULD FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS. 03:49PM 14 03:49PM 15 I SPENT A LOT OF TIME NOT JUST WITH HER, BUT PERHAPS USING HER AND OTHERS AS AN EDUCATIONAL TOOL TALKING ABOUT THE 03:49PM 16 03:49PM 17 IMPORTANCE OF THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE. 03:49PM 18 THIS MORNING I ASKED SEVERAL JURORS, I THINK, I POINTED 03:49PM 19 DIRECTLY TO YOUR CLIENT AND I ASKED, CAN YOU PRESUME HIM TO BE 03:49PM 20 INNOCENT? ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT? AND I DON'T RECALL IF I ASKED HER THAT SPECIFICALLY. I 03:49PM 21 03:49PM 22 MAY HAVE. 03:49PM 23 BUT I'M GOING TO DECLINE YOUR INVITATION TO STRIKE HER FOR 03:49PM 24 CAUSE. I DON'T THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE FOR JUROR 119. 03:49РМ 25 MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MOVING ON TO JUROR 126, WHO I UNDERSTAND THE COURT DENIED 1 03:49PM 2 ON THE HARDSHIP SIDE. 03:50PM ON THE CAUSE SIDE, THE CONCERN WE HAD IS THAT SHE'S A 3 03:50PM 03:50PM 4 CHIROPRACTOR, SHE'S A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER, SHE SEEMS DEDICATED TO HER PATIENTS, AND SHE SAID THAT IT WOULD BE VERY HARD FOR 03:50PM 03:50PM 6 HER TO PUT ASIDE HER CONCERN THAT PATIENTS WOULD BE HARMED OR 03:50PM 7 SOMETHING LIKE THAT. AND IT WASN'T, YOU KNOW, SPECIFIC TO THIS CASE 03:50PM 8 NECESSARILY, BUT THE CONCERN THAT WE HAVE IS THAT WE ANTICIPATE 03:50PM 9 03:50PM 10 THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO PRESENT A CASE WHERE THEY'RE 03:50PM 11 GOING TO CLAIM THAT THE BLOOD TESTS THAT WERE BEING CONDUCTED 03:50PM 12 BY THERANOS WERE NOT CORRECT OR NOT WHAT THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN. I THINK THAT'S OBVIOUS. 03:50PM 13 AND WE THINK BEFORE WE EVEN GET TO REFUTING THAT, WHICH OF 03:50PM 14 03:50PM 15 COURSE WE WILL DO, SHE WILL BE BEHIND BECAUSE SHE'S COMING AT THIS FROM A PERSPECTIVE THAT SHE WAS VERY CANDID ABOUT THAT SHE 03:50PM 16 03:50PM 17 HAD, AND THIS WILL AFFECT HER IN A WAY, YOU KNOW, THAT IT MAY 03:50PM 18 NOT AFFECT OTHER JURORS. 03:50PM 19 AND FOR THAT REASON I THINK THIS WOULD NOT BE A GOOD JUROR 03:51PM 20 TO SIT ON THIS CASE. THE COURT: THANK YOU. 03:51PM 21 03:51PM 22 DID SHE TALK -- AND MAYBE I HAVE THESE CONFUSED. 03:51PM 23 DID SHE TALK TO US ABOUT ETHICS AND MORALITY? 03:51PM 24 MR. COOPERSMITH: SHE DID. 03:51PM 25 THE COURT: RIGHT. I RECALL THAT. I WANTED TO SAY

1 03:51PM 03:51PM 2 3 03:51PM 03:51PM 4 03:51PM 5 03:51PM 6 03:51PM 7 03:51PM 8 03:51PM 9 03:51PM 10 03:51PM 11 03:51PM 12 03:51PM 13 03:51PM 14 03:51PM 15 03:52PM 16 03:52PM 17 03:52PM 18 03:52PM 19 03:52PM 20 03:52PM 21 03:52PM 22 03:52PM 23 03:52PM 24 03:52PM 25

THOSE ARE NOT IN THE INDICTMENT SPECIFICALLY, BUT I THINK I CAPTURED HER SENTIMENT.

MR. SCHENK.

MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE GOVERNMENT OPPOSES REMOVING 126 FOR CAUSE.

SHE KNOWS VERY LITTLE ABOUT THIS CASE. SHE SAID, MY KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE IS LOW, BUT IF THE CASE IS ABOUT HEALTH CARE, THEN THAT'S WHAT MY BACKGROUND IS IN, AND I THINK THAT THAT FIELD BRINGS WITH IT CERTAIN OBLIGATIONS, CERTAIN MORAL OR ETHICAL OBLIGATIONS.

AND IT REALLY SEEMS UNFAIR OF THE POSITION THAT MR. COOPERSMITH WOULD PUT JURORS IN, AND THAT IS, IF THE GOVERNMENT PRESENTS A CASE THAT SUGGESTS THAT FRAUD HAPPENED, IT'S UNFAIR FOR HER TO BE A JUROR, OR JURORS LIKE HER, BECAUSE SHE MIGHT BE TROUBLED BY FRAUD.

OF COURSE. THERE'S NOTHING UNIQUE ABOUT HER.

IF WE CONVINCE HER, IF THE GOVERNMENT PUTS ON A CASE AND CONVINCES A JUROR THAT FRAUD OCCURRED, IT'S NOT OBJECTIONABLE THAT A JUROR WOULD BE TROUBLED BY THAT OCCURRING.

THE QUESTION IS, DOES SHE KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT THE CASE THAT WOULD PREVENT HER FROM BEING A FAIR JUROR IN THE CASE? DOES SHE HAVE AN OPINION OR SOME PREEXISTING KNOWLEDGE THAT SHE CAN'T PUT ASIDE?

AND NONE OF THAT IS PRESENT HERE. SHE CAN COME TO THE COURT WITH AN OPEN MIND. SHE CAN HEAR THE EVIDENCE AND

1 03:52PM 03:52PM 2 3 03:52PM 03:52PM 4 03:52PM 5 03:52PM 6 03:52PM 7 03:52PM 8 03:52PM 9 03:52PM 10 03:52PM 11 03:53PM 12 03:53PM 13 03:53PM 14 03:53PM 15 03:53PM 16 03:53PM 17 03:53PM 18 03:53PM 19 03:53PM 20 03:53PM 21 03:53PM 22 03:53PM 23 03:53PM 24 03:53PM 25

EVALUATE THE EVIDENCE. AND SHE HAS NOT SUGGESTED ANYTHING TO US TO SUGGEST THAT SHE CAN'T DO THAT, THAT SHE CAN'T HEAR AND EVALUATE THE EVIDENCE FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY.

IN FACT, SHE SAID THAT TO US SEVERAL TIMES.

THE COURT: I THINK SHE SAID IN HER QUESTIONNAIRE SHE DID NOT KNOW WHO ELIZABETH HOLMES WAS. I THINK THAT WAS ONE OF THE ANSWERS. IT'S NOT ON POINT TO THIS.

MR. COOPERSMITH: RIGHT. AND OUR ISSUE IS THAT SHE HAS SOME PARTICULAR CASE KNOWLEDGE.

THE COURT: RIGHT.

MR. COOPERSMITH: AND WHERE I TAKE ISSUE WITH MR. SCHENK IN TERMS OF HIS REMARKS JUST NOW IS THAT THERE ARE SEVERAL ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSES AT HAND, RIGHT? AND ONE OF THEM IS THE ELEMENT OF FALSITY.

SO IS IT THE CASE THAT THE BLOOD TESTING TECHNOLOGY THAT IS AT ISSUE DIDN'T WORK? OR DID IT WORK? I MEAN, THAT'S ONE ISSUE.

ANOTHER ISSUE IS, DID MR. BALWANI KNOW THAT? DID HE INTEND TO DECEIVE?

AND THE CONCERN WITH THIS JUROR IS THAT ONCE -- IF SHE WERE CONVINCED, AND I DON'T KNOW IF SHE WILL BE, BUT IF SHE WERE CONVINCED THAT THERE WAS SOME PROBLEM WITH THE BLOOD TESTING, IN FACT, THEN THIS WOULD SO COLOR HER VIEW OF THE WORLD THAT SHE WOULD JUST WANT TO CONVICT AT THAT POINT BECAUSE SHE THINKS, ACCORDING TO HER OWN WORDS, THAT THIS IS, YOU KNOW,

AN ETHICAL, MORAL ISSUE WHEN YOU GET INTO THE HEALTH CARE 1 03:53PM 03:53PM 2 FIELD. SO THAT'S OUR CONCERN WITH 126. 3 03:53PM 03:53PM 4 THE COURT: YEAH. REGRETTABLY, WE DIDN'T FOLLOW UP 03:53PM 5 WITH THAT, DID WE, ABOUT HER ETHICS AND MORALITY? 03:54PM 6 SHE TOLD US, AS A HEALTH CARE PROVIDER, SHE HAS GREAT 03:54PM 7 PRIDE IN THE PROFESSION AND OTHER PROFESSIONALS. I THINK SHE DID EXPRESS SOME CONCERN ABOUT ETHICS, 03:54PM 8 MORALITY. NONE OF US FOLLOWED UP, I THINK, WITH QUESTIONS 03:54PM 9 03:54PM 10 ABOUT THAT OR DISTINGUISHING MORALITY FROM ELEMENTS OF 03:54PM 11 OFFENSES, WHICH IS SOMETHING THAT'S IMPORTANT IN THE CASE. 03:54PM 12 I HAD THOUGHT TO, AND MAYBE I SHOULD HAVE ASKED HER ABOUT 03:54PM 13 WHETHER OR NOT THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO ARGUE IN THEIR CASE THAT THIS WAS IMMORAL OR UNETHICAL CONDUCT OR SOMETHING. I 03:54PM 14 03:54PM 15 DON'T THINK THERE WAS THAT ARGUMENT IN THE PREVIOUS CASE. SO IT'S -- YOU KNOW, LET ME DEFER ON HER. I WANT TO READ 03:54PM 16 THE TRANSCRIPT. I WANT TO READ WHAT SHE ACTUALLY SAID TO THE 03:54PM 17 03:54PM 18 QUESTIONS. 03:54PM 19 MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. 03:54PM 20 THE COURT: SO WE'LL PASS HER FOR TOMORROW. MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU. 03:55PM 21 03:55PM 22 AND THEN TWO MORE ON THE CAUSE FOR TODAY'S PANEL. ONE IS 03:55PM 23 JUROR NUMBER 181. 03:55PM 24 JUROR NUMBER 181, THERE WERE TWO ISSUES. THIS WAS THE 03:55PM 25 JUROR WHO SAID HE HAD A GOOD FRIEND WHO WAS A LAW ENFORCEMENT

OFFICER, AND HE DID SAY HE COULD AVOID DISCUSSING IT AND ALL OF 1 03:55PM 03:55PM 2 THAT. BUT HE ALSO SAID THAT HE HAD A VIEW THAT LAW 3 03:55PM 03:55PM 4 ENFORCEMENT -- AND THEN HE SAID IT WAS STARTING AT SORT OF A 03:55PM 5 HIGHER PLANE OF CREDIBILITY, AND THEN IT WOULD TAKE THEM MORE 03:55PM 6 TO PUT THEM DOWN TO THE LEVEL OF A NORMAL WITNESS. 03:55PM 7 AND THEN HE SAID THE SAME THING ABOUT DOCTORS, THAT HE HAD A SIMILAR VIEW OF DOCTORS, THAT HE WOULD CREDIT THEIR 03:55PM 8 03:55PM 9 TESTIMONY. 03:55PM 10 AND SO I THINK THERE LIKELY WILL BE DOCTORS TO TESTIFY IN 03:55PM 11 THIS CASE, INCLUDING DR. ROSENDORFF. 03:55PM 12 SO THOSE WERE THE REASONS THAT I THOUGHT HE WOULD NOT BE A SUITABLE JUROR FOR THIS CASE. 03:55PM 13 THE COURT: OKAY. 03:55PM 14 03:55PM 15 MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, THE GOVERNMENT OPPOSES EXCUSING 181 FOR CAUSE. THE JUROR HAS SAID THAT HE COULD 03:56PM 16 03:56PM 17 FOLLOW THE COURT'S INSTRUCTIONS. 03:56PM 18 THERE WERE NO LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS THAT TESTIFIED IN 03:56PM 19 THE TRIAL AGAINST MS. HOLMES. THERE CERTAINLY WERE DOCTORS. 03:56PM 20 IT SEEMS NATURAL THAT A JUROR WOULD THINK, BASED ON 03:56PM 21 SOMEONE'S TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE, EITHER LAW ENFORCEMENT OR A 03:56PM 22 DOCTOR, THAT I SHOULD TRUST WHAT THEY SAY MORE ON THOSE TOPICS 03:56PM 23 THAN OTHERS. 03:56PM 24 HE DIDN'T HAVE THE BENEFIT OF THE MODEL INSTRUCTION ON 03:56PM 25 CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES.

THE COURT FOLLOWED UP IN A COLLOQUY, IF I INSTRUCT YOU 1 03:56PM 2 WITNESSES SHOULD START ON AN EVEN PLAYING FIELD, THAT ONE 03:56PM SHOULD NOT BE HIGHER THAN THE OTHER? 3 03:56PM HE THEN AFFIRMED AN ABILITY TO UNDERSTAND THAT INSTRUCTION 03:56PM 4 AND FOLLOW THAT. 03:56PM 03:56PM 6 SO I THINK WHAT WE SAW THERE WAS SORT OF A NATURAL 03:56PM 7 STATEMENT FROM AN INDIVIDUAL TO RESPECT TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE, EITHER IN LAW ENFORCEMENT OR IN MEDICINE. HIS 03:56PM 8 INCLINATION WAS TO APPLY THAT IN A COURTROOM, BUT HE DIDN'T 03:57PM 9 03:57PM 10 HAVE THE BENEFIT OF THE MODEL INSTRUCTION ON CREDIBILITY OF 03:57PM 11 WITNESSES. 03:57PM 12 AND, IN FACT, ON SOME OCCASIONS THE DOCTORS, MAYBE IN ALL 03:57PM 13 OF THE OCCASIONS, THE DOCTORS TESTIFIED IN THE HOLMES TRIAL AS EXPERTS, SO THE COURT GIVES A SPECIFIC INSTRUCTION ON HOW 03:57PM 14 03:57PM 15 WITNESSES, BECAUSE OF THEIR TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE, ARE ALLOWED TO TESTIFY ABOUT OPINIONS ON SUBJECTS WHEN THAT 03:57PM 16 03:57PM 17 WOULDN'T BE APPROPRIATE FOR OTHERS. 03:57PM 18 SO I THINK WE HAVE SUFFICIENT MODEL INSTRUCTIONS TO CURE 03:57PM 19 ANY CONCERNS THAT WOULD BE REMAINING WITH THIS JUROR, THOUGH I 03:57PM 20 SUBMIT TO YOU THERE SHOULDN'T BE ANY REMAINING CONCERNS BECAUSE 03:57PM 21 OF THE WITNESS'S STATEMENTS ABOUT -- I'M SORRY, WITH THE JUROR 'S STATEMENTS ABOUT HIS ABILITY TO FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS. 03:57PM 22 MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, TWO OTHER THINGS IN 03:57PM 23 RESPONSE TO MR. SCHENK. 03:57PM 24 03:57PM 25 SO, FIRST OF ALL, THERE WERE DOCTORS WHO TESTIFIED IN THE

1 03:57PM 2 03:57PM 3 03:58PM 03:58PM 4 03:58PM 03:58PM 03:58PM 7 8 03:58PM 03:58PM 9 03:58PM 10 03:58PM 11 03:58PM 12 03:58PM 13 03:58PM 14 03:58PM 15 03:58PM 16 03:58PM 17 03:58PM 18 03:58PM 19 03:58PM 20 03:59PM 21 03:59PM 22 03:59PM 23 03:59PM 24 03:59РМ 25

HOLMES CASE WHO WOULD NOT QUALIFY AS EXPERTS, SPECIFICALLY THE ONES I REMEMBER WERE DR. ROSENDORFF AND DR. DAS. SO THAT'S ONE THING.

BUT THE OTHER ISSUE IS THAT, YOU KNOW, MR. LEACH TOOK THE TIME THIS MORNING TO INTRODUCE FEDERAL AGENTS SITTING AT THE TABLE AND SITTING IN THE AUDIENCE AS PART OF THE PROSECUTION TEAM, AND I AM VERY CONCERNED ABOUT A JUROR WHO HAS THAT KIND OF A RELATIONSHIP WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AND REALLY HAS THAT VIEW.

IT'S FINE TO HAVE THAT VIEW. THE PROBLEM IN THIS CASE IS THAT I THINK IT COLORS, YOU KNOW, HIS JUDGMENTS AS A JUROR.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

YOU KNOW, WHAT WAS TELLING TO ME -- AND I THINK I POSED THE QUESTION AND I THINK, MR. COOPERSMITH, YOU DID, TOO -- DO YOU THINK LAW ENFORCEMENT MAKES MISTAKES AND DO YOU THINK DOCTORS MAKE MISTAKES?

AND HE SAID, OH, YEAH. HE WASN'T SHY ABOUT ANSWERING THAT QUESTION, WHICH SUGGESTS THAT HE'S ABLE TO RECOGNIZE A MISTAKE FROM EVERYONE, AND PARTICULARLY THOSE TWO PROFESSIONS.

IF HE HAD SAID NO, I THINK THEY'RE, WHATEVER THEY SAY, YOU KNOW, IS SACROSANCT, BUT HE DIDN'T SAY THAT. HE SAID, NO, THEY'RE LIKE EVERYONE ELSE, THEY MAKE MISTAKES, WHICH HELPED ME TO UNDERSTAND A BIT MORE ABOUT HIS FRIENDSHIP WITH HIS FRIEND, AND WITH THE DOCTORS.

ONE THING WE DIDN'T TALK ABOUT, AND I DON'T MEAN TO GET

OFF ON A DIFFERENT TOPIC, BUT DID HE HAVE A HEALTH ISSUE 1 03:59PM 2 REGARDING A TUMOR OR SOMETHING ELSE? I THOUGHT THERE WAS 03:59PM SOMETHING ABOUT THAT. MAYBE I HAVE A DIFFERENT NOTE ON THAT. 3 03:59PM MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, HE DID. IN HIS 03:59PM 4 QUESTIONNAIRE HE TALKED ABOUT SYMPTOMS SINCE THE REMOVAL, BUT 03:59PM 03:59PM 6 IN COURT TODAY HE ACTUALLY TALKED ABOUT A DIFFERENT HARDSHIP, 03:59PM 7 THAT WAS SITTING AND STANDING FOR EXTENDED PERIODS OF TIME. THE COURT: RIGHT. 03:59PM 8 MR. COOPERSMITH: HE TALKED ABOUT HAVING 03:59PM 9 03:59PM 10 CONCENTRATION, VISION, AND SPEECH ISSUES FROM A BRAIN TUMOR 04:00PM 11 REMOVAL IN 2020. 04:00PM 12 BUT MR. SCHENK IS RIGHT, HE TALKED ABOUT A DIFFERENT HARDSHIP THIS AFTERNOON. 04:00PM 13 THE COURT: TODAY. AND THE ONE EARLIER THAT COULD 04:00PM 14 04:00PM 15 BE ACCOMMODATED. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 04:00PM 16 04:00PM 17 WELL, THANK YOU. I'M NOT INCLINED TO EXCUSE HIM FOR 04:00PM 18 CAUSE. I FOUND HIM, CANDIDLY, VERY -- I WAS GOING TO USE THE 04:00PM 19 WORD ENTERTAINING, AND THAT'S NOT THE RIGHT ANSWER -- BUT I 04:00PM 20 THOUGHT HE WAS VERY CANDID AND OPEN ABOUT HIS STATEMENTS IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS, PARTICULARLY ABOUT THE ONES THAT YOU 04:00PM 21 04:00PM 22 RAISED ABOUT THE POLICE AND DOCTORS, AND WHAT INFORMS THE COURT IS HIS RECOGNITION THAT THEY MAKE MISTAKES. THEY'RE HUMAN LIKE 04:00PM 23 04:00PM 24 EVERYBODY ELSE. 04:00PM 25 SO I'M GOING TO DECLINE YOUR INVITATION TO STRIKE 181 FOR

04:00PM	1	CAUSE.
04:00PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
04:00PM	3	AND THEN THE LAST ONE, JUST REALLY BRIEFLY. I STILL HAVE
04:00PM	4	A CONCERN ABOUT 165 JUST BECAUSE WE ASKED HIM A LOT OF
04:01PM	5	QUESTIONS ABOUT HIS HABITS AND LOOKING AT THE INTERNET AND NEWS
04:01PM	6	MEDIA, AND HE SEEMED TO BE HAVING A LOT OF DIFFICULTY
04:01PM	7	ACKNOWLEDGING THAT HE WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO DO THAT.
04:01PM	8	AND IN FAIRNESS, I MEAN, NO ONE, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IS
04:01PM	9	GOING TO TELL HIM YOU CAN'T READ ANYTHING, YOU CAN'T WATCH
04:01PM	10	ANYTHING, YOU HAVE TO SPEND YOUR TIME STARING AT THE WALL OR
04:01PM	11	SOMETHING.
04:01PM	12	BUT HE SEEMED TO HAVE DIFFICULTY UNDERSTANDING THAT. YOU
04:01PM	13	KNOW, THE COURT IS GOING TO TELL HIM YOU CAN'T LOOK AT ANYTHING
04:01PM	14	ABOUT THIS CASE. AND HE YOU KNOW, HE WAS ACKNOWLEDGING
04:01PM	15	THAT, YEAH, HE GETS THAT, BUT THERE WAS SOME HESITATION THERE I
04:01PM	16	THINK.
04:01PM	17	THE COURT: DID HE IS THIS THE JUROR THAT SAYS HE
04:01PM	18	TOLD US HE SPENDS ABOUT AN HOUR AND WHAT AM I GOING TO DO WITH
04:01PM	19	THAT HOUR?
04:01PM	20	MR. COOPERSMITH: BASICALLY, YES.
04:01PM	21	THE COURT: HOW DO I FILL THAT HOUR? AND I WAS
04:01PM	22	WAITING FOR ALL OF US TO OFFER SOME SUGGESTIONS.
04:01PM	23	(LAUGHTER.)
04:01PM	24	THE COURT: WELL, THANK YOU.
04:01PM	25	MR. SCHENK, ANYTHING FURTHER ON HIM?

MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, I'LL BE BRIEF AS WELL. 1 04:01PM THE GOVERNMENT OPPOSES EXCUSING 165. IN RESPONSE TO 2 04:02PM QUESTIONS ABOUT ACCESSING MEDIA REGARDING THE CASE, HE SAID IT 3 04:02PM 04:02PM 4 WOULD BE DOABLE, I CAN DO IT. THERE IS ALSO ONE OTHER THING THAT IS USEFUL AND THAT IS 04:02PM THAT I BELIEVE HE TALKED ABOUT A DOCUMENTARY OR MAYBE IT WAS A 04:02PM 6 04:02PM 7 "TED TALK," BUT HE DID NOT WATCH THE WHOLE THING. THE COURT: RIGHT. 04:02PM 8 MR. SCHENK: SO THE DRAW MIGHT NOT BE THERE. 04:02PM 9 04:02PM 10 HE MIGHT SPEND A LOT OF TIME RESEARCHING, BEING UP TO DATE 04:02PM 11 ON NEWS, BUT THE PARTICULAR CONCERN THAT HE WOULD BE ATTRACTED 04:02PM 12 TO NEWS ON THIS CASE SEEMS TO BE LESSENED BY THE FACT THAT HE 04:02PM 13 ENGAGED WITH SOME MEDIA ON IT BUT DIDN'T FIND IT ENGAGING ENOUGH TO FINISH, AND THAT COMBINED WITH HIS STATEMENT THAT IT 04:02PM 14 04:02PM 15 WOULD BE DOABLE AND I CAN DO IT. I THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE TO KEEP 165 AS PART OF THE VENIRE 04:02PM 16 04:02PM 17 PANEL. 04:02PM 18 THE COURT: THANK YOU. IT SEEMED LIKE WHAT WAS MOST 04:03PM 19 TROUBLING TO HIM IS NATURE ABHORS A VACUUM AND HOW IS HE GOING 04:03PM 20 TO FILL THAT ONE HOUR IF HE'S NOT ABLE TO FILL IT WITH VIDEO? 04:03PM 21 AND BAKING, GARDENING, CYCLING, ALL OF THOSE THINGS CAME TO MIND. BUT THAT'S NOT IN THE NINTH CIRCUIT MODEL 04:03PM 22 04:03PM 23 INSTRUCTIONS, SO I'M NOT GOING TO INSTRUCT HIM ON THAT. 04:03PM 24 ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. I'M NOT GOING TO EXCUSE HIM FOR 04:03PM 25 CAUSE, BUT THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE CONVERSATION. I

04:03PM	1	APPRECIATE IT.
04:03PM	2	ANY OTHERS?
04:03PM	3	MR. COOPERSMITH: NOT FOR TODAY'S PANEL. WE JUST
04:03PM	4	HAVE A MATTER OF BOTH HARDSHIP AND CAUSE ISSUES FOR YESTERDAY'S
04:03PM	5	PANEL.
04:03PM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. ARE WE GOING TO TAKE THOSE UP
04:03PM	7	TOMORROW THEN? IS THAT WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO?
04:03PM	8	MR. COOPERSMITH: THAT WOULD BE FINE, YOUR HONOR.
04:03PM	9	MR. SCHENK: MAY I JUST HAVE ONE MOMENT?
04:03PM	10	THE COURT: SURE. YES.
04:03PM	11	(DISCUSSION AMONGST GOVERNMENT COUNSEL OFF THE RECORD.)
04:04PM	12	MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, YOUR HONOR.
04:04PM	13	THE COURT: SHOULD WE SEE EACH OTHER TOMORROW
04:04PM	14	MORNING? IS THAT AT 9:00 O'CLOCK?
04:04PM	15	MR. SCHENK: IT IS. IF I COULD MAKE ONE SUGGESTION,
04:04PM	16	I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S DOABLE, BUT I KNOW THAT MR. COOPERSMITH IS
04:04PM	17	ASKING FOR INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE OF SOME MEMBERS OF YESTERDAY'S
04:04PM	18	PANEL, AND I DON'T RECALL WHETHER JUDGE FREEMAN IS STILL IN
04:04PM	19	TRIAL TOMORROW OR NOT OR WHETHER THERE WILL BE A JURY HERE OR
04:04PM	20	NOT FOR THAT TRIAL.
04:04PM	21	THE GOVERNMENT DOESN'T THINK THAT INDIVIDUAL VOIR DIRE IS
04:04PM	22	NECESSARY, BUT IF WE DO IT, IS IT TOO LATE IN THE DAY TO INVITE
04:04PM	23	JUST THOSE SPECIFIC JURORS TO COURT TOMORROW SO THAT AS WE
04:04PM	24	DISCUSS THE INDIVIDUAL CHALLENGES, HARDSHIP CAUSE FROM
04:05PM	25	WEDNESDAY 'S PANEL, IF THE COURT DECIDES IT WANTS TO

04:05PM	1	INDIVIDUALLY VOIR DIRE ANY INDIVIDUAL JURORS, THEY WOULD BE
04:05PM	2	HERE FOR THAT? IT MIGHT BE TOO LATE IN THE DAY TO ACCOMPLISH
04:05PM	3	THIS, BUT I THOUGHT I WOULD OFFER IT.
04:05PM	4	THE COURT: IT'S NOT YET 5:00.
04:05PM	5	DO WE HAVE THE UNIVERSE OF THAT LIST? DO YOU HAVE THAT
04:05PM	6	NUMBER?
04:05PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
04:05PM	8	THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE COPIES FOR US OR
04:05PM	9	MR. COOPERSMITH: WE CAN DO THAT, YOUR HONOR. YEAH.
04:05PM	10	IT'S FIVE NAMES.
04:05PM	11	THE COURT: OKAY.
04:05PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: FIVE NUMBERS. SO THESE ARE THE
04:05PM	13	PEOPLE WHO RAISED THEIR HAND WHO WERE AWARE OF THE
04:05PM	14	RELATIONSHIP: THAT'S JUROR NUMBER 10, JUROR NUMBER 34, JUROR
04:05PM	15	NUMBER 48, JUROR NUMBER 80, JUROR NUMBER 82.
04:05PM	16	AND THEN ONE ANOMALY, AND I THINK I MENTIONED THIS THE
04:05PM	17	OTHER DAY OR YESTERDAY, YOUR HONOR, BUT WHEN WE CHECKED THE
04:06PM	18	TRANSCRIPT, ONE OF THE JURORS WHO RAISED THEIR HAND SAID 63,
04:06PM	19	AND ALL OF OUR TEAM WROTE IN THEIR NOTES 63, AND THE COURT
04:06PM	20	REPORTER ALSO CAPTURED 63.
04:06PM	21	WE DON'T THINK THIS IS JUROR 63 BECAUSE I DON'T THINK
04:06PM	22	JUROR 63 WAS THERE. WE THINK IT MAY HAVE BEEN JUROR 83 BASED
04:06PM	23	ON THE REREADING THE QUESTIONNAIRE.
04:06PM	24	SO I CAN'T SAY THAT WITH 100 PERCENT CERTAINTY, BUT THAT'S
04:06PM	25	WHAT WE THOUGHT MIGHT BE THE CASE. SO 83 MIGHT NEED TO BE

04:06PM	1	ADDED TO THAT LIST. SO THAT WOULD BE THE SIX.
04:06PM	2	I HATE TO BRING THE PERSON BACK WHEN MAYBE THAT'S NOT
04:06PM	3	CORRECT, BUT THAT'S THE BEST WE COULD DO GIVEN THAT THERE WAS A
04:06PM	4	MISHEARING OF THE NUMBER BY EVERYONE.
04:06PM	5	THE COURT: DO YOU WANT SOME TIME TO GET AN
04:06PM	6	INVESTIGATOR ON THIS TO TRACK THIS DOWN?
04:06PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, I DON'T THINK I WILL DO THAT.
04:06PM	8	THERE MIGHT BE SOME OTHER ISSUES.
04:06PM	9	THE COURT: OKAY. SO YOUR SUGGESTION IS THAT WE ADD
04:06PM	10	83 AND PERHAPS THE FIRST QUESTION IS TO ASK 83 SHOULD YOU BE
04:06PM	11	HERE?
04:06PM	12	MR. COOPERSMITH: THE ANSWER TO THAT WILL PROBABLY
04:06PM	13	BE NO, YOUR HONOR.
04:07PM	14	BUT I GUESS THE QUESTIONNAIRE, AND WE CAN PULL IT.
04:07PM	15	(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)
04:07PM	16	MR. COOPERSMITH: YEAH. SO IN QUESTION I THINK
04:07PM	17	IT'S QUESTION 38. IT'S A LITTLE CRYPTIC, BUT THE QUESTION WAS:
04:07PM	18	HAVE YOU READ, WATCHED, LISTENED TO, OR BEEN TOLD OF ANY STORY
04:07PM	19	OR DESCRIPTION IN THE MEDIA? AND THEN IT GOES ON. TALKED
04:07PM	20	ABOUT THIS CASE OR ABOUT SUNNY BALWANI, ELIZABETH HOLMES, OR
04:07PM	21	THERANOS IN GENERAL?
04:07PM	22	AND THE ANSWER WAS YES. AND IT'S NEWSPAPER AND DON'T
04:07PM	23	REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY WHEN.
04:07PM	24	THAT'S VERY CRYPTIC. YOU KNOW, IT DOESN'T REALLY DEAL
04:08PM	25	WITH THE RELATIONSHIP ISSUE, BUT BECAUSE OF THE PERHAPS

04:08PM	1	SIMILARITY BETWEEN 83 AND 63, WE THINK THAT'S A POSSIBILITY.
04:08PM	2	BUT THAT'S WHAT I KNOW ABOUT THAT, RIGHT.
04:08PM	3	THE COURT: OKAY.
04:08PM	4	MR. SCHENK: I NOTICED THE 63 IN MY NOTES AS WELL,
04:08PM	5	AND THAT THERE WASN'T A JUROR NUMBER 63 PRESENT IN COURT
04:08PM	6	YESTERDAY.
04:08PM	7	TO QUESTION 42, WHICH ASKS IF YOU HAVE KNOWLEDGE OF THE
04:08PM	8	RELATIONSHIP, 83 SAID NO. MAYBE 83 GOT IT WRONG AND MAYBE 83
04:08PM	9	ALSO GOT HIS JUROR NUMBER WRONG.
04:08PM	10	I WOULD NOT OPPOSE BRINGING IN 83 TOMORROW.
04:08PM	11	THE COURT: I'VE JUST BEEN INFORMED IF WE'RE GOING
04:08PM	12	TO DO THIS, I NEED TO NOTICE THE COMMISSIONER NOW TO DO THIS.
04:08PM	13	SO LET'S BRING BACK TOMORROW 10, 34, 48, 80, 82, AND 83.
04:08PM	14	THE QUESTION IS THE TIME. 10:00 O'CLOCK?
04:08PM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
04:08PM	16	AND I GUESS THE QUESTION IS IS THE COURT PLANNING TO HAVE
04:09PM	17	THE ARGUMENT ON THAT MOTION BEFORE THAT OR AFTER THAT?
04:09PM	18	THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S WHY I SAID 10:00. IF WE
04:09PM	19	HAVE THE MOTION SCHEDULED FOR 9:00 THEN WE CAN MOVE INTO THEM
04:09PM	20	AT 10:00.
04:09PM	21	I THINK THEY WOULD PROBABLY BE MORE PLEASED TO COME IN
04:09PM	22	LATER IN THE MORNING THAN EARLY. I'M JUST ASSUMING THAT.
04:09PM	23	(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
04:09PM	24	THE COURT: WHY DON'T I SAY 10:00 O'CLOCK. CAN WE
04:09PM	25	ASK THEM TO COME AT 10:00 O'CLOCK TO THE JURY ASSEMBLY ROOM.

04:09PM	1	AND THAT ALSO PUTS A LITTLE PRESSURE ON US TO BE EFFICIENT.
04:10PM	2	MR. COOPERSMITH: YOUR HONOR, THAT'S FINE. I JUST
04:10PM	3	WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S ENOUGH TIME FOR THE ARGUMENT,
04:10PM	4	BECAUSE THAT'S AN IMPORTANT ISSUE.
04:10PM	5	THE COURT: SURE. THERE WILL BE. I DON'T MEAN TO
04:10PM	6	SUGGEST I'M GOING TO LIMIT YOU AND YOUR ARGUMENT.
04:10PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
04:10PM	8	THE COURT: I'VE SEEN THE PLEADINGS, AND I THINK I
04:10PM	9	UNDERSTAND THE ISSUE SUFFICIENTLY THAT YOU'LL BE ABLE TO BRING
04:10PM	10	THEM TO MY ATTENTION EFFICIENTLY.
04:10PM	11	OKAY.
04:10PM	12	MR. SCHENK: THANK YOU.
04:10PM	13	THE COURT: GREAT. SEE YOU IN THE MORNING. THANK
04:10PM	14	YOU.
04:10PM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
04:10PM	16	THE CLERK: COURT IS ADJOURNED.
04:10PM	17	(COURT ADJOURNED AT 4:10 P.M.)
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
4	
5	
6	
7	WE, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS OF THE
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
9	CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO
10	HEREBY CERTIFY:
11	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS
12	A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE
13	ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
14	Overe Rodriguez
15	Charle wounded
16	IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8076
17	
18	Spe-Am Shorting
19	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595
20	
21	DATED: MARCH 10, 2022
22	
23	
24	
25	